iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

THE INTEGRATION OF MENTAL HEALTH AND WELLBEING STRATEGIES IN ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT: A COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK FOR ENHANCING EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE, ORGANIZATIONAL PRODUCTIVITY, AND SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION

Ahmed F. Alanazi
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3468-5389
Department of Social Studies, College of Arts,
King Faisal University, Al-Hufūf, Saudi Arabia.
Ahmedaldhmashii@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
The engineering and technology sectors, characterized by high-pressure environments, demanding project deadlines, and complex problem-solving requirements, are increasingly confronting a critical challenge: the pervasive impact of poor mental health on employee wellbeing, job performance, and organizational productivity. Recent reports indicate that a significant proportion of engineering professionals experience elevated stress levels, burnout, and diminished mental health, contributing to substantial economic costs and reduced innovation capacity (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Forster, 2023). This paper presents a comprehensive, interdisciplinary framework that integrates mental health and wellbeing strategies into engineering and technology management practice. Drawing upon established theoretical foundations, including the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007), Self-Determination Theory (SDT) (Deci & Ryan, 2012), and Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory (Hobfoll, 2001), the proposed framework encompasses three interconnected pillars: (1) individual-level mindfulness and resilience interventions, (2) organisational-level structural and cultural transformations, and (3) technology-enabled measurement and support systems. Through a systematic synthesis of existing research, case studies, and emerging evidence from the UK, South Africa, and other contexts, this study demonstrates that integrated mental health strategies not only mitigate the negative consequences of occupational stress but also serve as a catalyst for enhanced productivity, employee engagement, and sustainable innovation. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for engineering managers, human resource professionals, and policymakers, emphasising the necessity of adopting a dual-focused approach that addresses both individual psychological resources and systemic organizational factors.

Keywords:
Mental Health, Engineering Management, Mindfulness, Burnout Prevention, Organizational Wellbeing, Employee Productivity, Technology Management, Job Demands-Resources Model, Sustainable Innovation

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale

The engineering profession has long been associated with intellectual rigour, technical precision, and the capacity to solve complex societal problems. However, the demanding nature of engineering practice, characterized by tight deadlines, high workloads, and the constant pressure to deliver innovative solutions, has created an environment conducive to chronic stress and mental health challenges (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Forster, 2023; Hajikazemi et al., 2025). Recent reports from around the world suggest that there is a global mental health crisis, and that many engineers struggle with their wellbeing, productivity, and job performance, which has the potential to undermine the productivity of engineering organizations (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025).

The economic implications of this crisis are substantial. The current extent of ill mental health is estimated to cost the UK economy £105 billion per year in healthcare expenditure and lost revenue (Impactly, 2025). Similarly, research has demonstrated that poor mental health now drives larger productivity losses than physical illness, with presenteeism accounting for 47 percent of employer costs, turnover for 39 percent, and absenteeism for 14 percent (Impactly, 2025). In the engineering sector specifically, 74% of UK engineering firms report increased stress levels among employees due to tight deadlines and complex projects, while 82% of employees express a desire for more resilience-focused development programs (London School of Business and Administration, 2026).

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

Despite these concerning statistics, decision-makers in engineering organizations often struggle to justify preventative spending on mental health initiatives because the expected return on investment is difficult to quantify, leading to consistent under-investment (Impactly, 2025; Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025). This gap between recognized need and actionable intervention represents a critical challenge for engineering and technology management.

1.2 Problem Statement

The engineering and technology sectors face a paradox: while they are engines of innovation and economic growth, the very conditions that enable their success, high expectations, intense focus, and relentless project demands, are simultaneously eroding the mental health of their workforce. This erosion manifests in increased absenteeism, presenteeism, turnover, reduced productivity, and diminished capacity for creative problem-solving (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Forster, 2023). Without effective stress management strategies and organizational support, employees are at a heightened risk of experiencing burnout, which can lead to decreased job satisfaction, increased absenteeism and higher turnover rates (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025).

Furthermore, the integration of mental health considerations into engineering management practice remains fragmented. Existing approaches often focus either on individual-level interventions, such as mindfulness training, or on organizational-level policies, without adequately addressing the interplay between these levels. There is a pressing need for a comprehensive framework that synthesizes theoretical insights, empirical evidence, and practical strategies to guide engineering managers in fostering mentally healthy workplaces.

1.3 Research Objectives and Questions

This paper aims to address the following research questions:

1. What are the primary sources of occupational stress and mental health challenges in engineering and technology environments?
2. How can mindfulness-based interventions and resilience strategies contribute to enhancing employee wellbeing and job performance in engineering contexts?
3. What organizational-level changes are necessary to create a sustainable culture of mental health support in engineering organizations?
4. How can technology-enabled measurement and support systems facilitate the integration of mental health strategies into engineering management practice?

1.4 Scope and Contribution

This paper presents a comprehensive, interdisciplinary framework that integrates individual, organizational, and technological dimensions of mental health management in engineering and technology contexts. The framework is grounded in established theoretical models, the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007), Self-Determination Theory (SDT) (Deci & Ryan, 2012), and Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory (Hobfoll, 2001), and synthesizes evidence from diverse contexts, including the UK, South Africa, and other international settings. By bridging engineering management, organizational psychology, and public health, this paper contributes to the growing body of knowledge on workplace mental health and offers practical guidance for engineering leaders, human resource professionals, and policymakers.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Occupational Stress and Mental Health in Engineering Environments

The engineering and construction sectors are characterized by high-pressure environments that pose significant risks for burnout and job stress (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025). These industries often require long hours and intense focus, leading to physical and mental exhaustion. The constant need to meet project goals and manage complex tasks can result in chronic stress, negatively impacting workers' health and productivity (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004).

Research conducted in the South African engineering sector reveals that the industry is perceived as highly productive but also a high-stress environment, characterized by tight deadlines and high workloads (Forster, 2023). Participants in this study suggested that successful engineers should demonstrate effective time management, attention to detail, and the ability to learn. However, these demands, when not adequately managed, can lead to diminished wellbeing and performance (Forster, 2023; Demerouti et al., 2001).

The consequences of unaddressed mental health challenges in engineering extend beyond individual suffering to encompass organizational and societal costs. Studies have shown that adults with undiagnosed and untreated mental health conditions are more likely to have educational problems, depression and anxiety, and are more likely to commit crimes, abuse substances, divorce, be unemployed, or commit suicide (Spencer-Thomas et al.,

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

2025; WHO, 2022). The cost of not diagnosing and treating mental health issues has been found to be higher than the cost of running services for these issues (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020).

2.2 Theoretical Foundations

2.2.1 Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model provides a valuable framework for understanding the relationship between work characteristics and employee wellbeing (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). According to this model, job demands (e.g., workload, time pressure) can lead to strain and burnout, while job resources (e.g., social support, autonomy, performance feedback) can buffer these negative effects and promote engagement (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004; Bakker et al., 2014). In engineering contexts, the JD-R Model highlights the importance of providing adequate resources to counterbalance the high demands inherent in technical work.

Research on burnout prevention in construction and engineering has grounded its investigation in the JD-R theory, investigating the role of cognitive-behavioral techniques, specifically mindfulness, as a job resource to prevent burnout (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025). This perspective emphasizes that while individual mindfulness can help professionals recognize early warning signs of burnout, sustainable solutions require organizational mindfulness, fostering a supportive culture and implementing systemic practices (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006).

2.2.2 Self-Determination Theory (SDT)

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) posits that motivation depends on the satisfaction of three core psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 2012; Ryan & Deci, 2017). In the workplace, relatedness is reflected in the quality of social interactions, competence can be linked to productive task completion, and autonomy/support balance is influenced by workplace structures and management practices (Gagné & Deci, 2005; Van den Broeck et al., 2016)

SDT has been applied to the development of metrics for measuring developer wellbeing and productivity, with the Quality of Social Interactions (QSI) representing relatedness, Productive Missed Tasks (PMT) representing competence, and Absenteeism Rate (AR) reflecting the balance between autonomy and support (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008). This application demonstrates the utility of SDT in understanding the motivational dynamics that underpin mental health and performance in technology-intensive environments.

2.2.3 Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory

Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory provides an additional lens for understanding how individuals manage stress and maintain wellbeing in demanding work environments (Hobfoll, 2001; Hobfoll et al., 2018). According to COR Theory, individuals strive to protect their existing resources (e.g., energy, time, self-esteem) and acquire new ones. When resources are threatened or lost, stress occurs (Hobfoll, 2002; Halbesleben et al., 2014). In engineering contexts, the chronic depletion of resources through high workloads and intense demands can lead to burnout and diminished performance (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012).

2.3 Mindfulness and Resilience in Engineering

2.3.1 Defining Mindfulness in Occupational Contexts

Mindfulness, defined as the awareness that emerges through paying attention on purpose, in the present moment, and non-judgmentally to the unfolding of experience moment by moment (Kabat-Zinn, 2003; Brown & Ryan, 2003), has gained significant attention as a tool for enhancing employee wellbeing and performance. In engineering contexts, mindfulness has been perceived as an effective tool for improving productivity, emotional intelligence, focus, teamwork, communication, stress management, resistance to fatigue and burnout, physiological and mental health, quality of work, awareness, and balance to life (Forster, 2023; Dane, 2011; Good et al., 2016).

2.3.2 Evidence for Mindfulness Interventions

Research findings indicate that mindfulness-based practices can significantly help construction and engineering professionals recognize early warning signs of burnout, serving as a valuable personal resource (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025). However, the research also emphasizes that sustainable solutions to burnout require organizational mindfulness, involving a supportive culture, systemic practices, and prioritization of such practices within these organisations (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006).

A study on mindfulness and job performance in the South African engineering sector found that participants perceived mindfulness to be an effective tool for improving characteristics such as time management, attention to detail, and ability to learn (Forster, 2023). Through an improvement in these characteristics, it was proposed that mindfulness could lead to improved employee wellbeing, individual job performance, and organizational performance (Forster, 2023; Reb et al., 2015).

The research further suggests that mindfulness-based interventions could be introduced in engineering organizations and universities to enhance employee wellbeing, mental health, and individual and organizational

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

job performance (Forster, 2023; Lomas et al., 2017). This would allow engineering employees and organizations to continue to contribute value to society and offer solutions to increasingly complex problems (Forster, 2023; Kudesia, 2019).

2.3.3 Limitations of Individual-Level Approaches

While individual mindfulness is valuable, it is not sufficient on its own to address the systemic sources of stress in engineering environments (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Worline & Dutton, 2017). The research underscores the necessity of fostering an organizational culture that prioritizes mental health and wellbeing (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Edmondson, 2018). Therefore, in addition to individual mindfulness, the research stresses the importance of organizational mindfulness to ensure a sustainable mitigation strategy for addressing job stress and burnout (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2012).

This dual focus on individual and systemic approaches offers a holistic solution to enhance wellbeing and productivity in high-stress industries (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). The integration of mindfulness at both individual and organizational levels provides a comprehensive approach to effectively mitigate burnout and enhance overall wellbeing in these high-stress industries (Zhou et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006).

2.4 Organizational-Level Mental Health Strategies

2.4.1 Organizational Culture and Leadership

Creating a mentally healthy workplace in engineering organizations requires fundamental shifts in organizational culture and leadership practices. Recent research emphasizes the need for organizations to priorities mental health and wellbeing through supportive policies, practices, and leadership behaviors (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Edmondson, 2018; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping organizational culture. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide adequate resources, and model healthy work behaviors can create an environment where employees feel supported and valued (Avolio et al., 2009; Goleman, 1998). The development of emotional intelligence and adaptive leadership skills has been identified as essential for engineering managers seeking to foster resilient teams (London School of Business and Administration, 2026; Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018).

2.4.2 Structural and Policy Interventions

Effective mental health strategies in engineering organizations require structural and policy changes that address the systemic sources of stress. These include:

- **Workload management**: Adjusting workloads and allowing flexible hours to accommodate different rhythms of productivity and wellbeing (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Demerouti et al., 2001).
- **Wellness programs**: Providing access to quiet reflection rooms, robust wellness programs, and leaders trained in empathetic communication (Impactly, 2025; London School of Business and Administration, 2026).
- **Ergonomic interventions**: Enhanced ergonomic assessments that identify psychosocial risks contributing to stress, anxiety, and burnout, through evaluation of workstation design, workload distribution, and environmental conditions (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990).
- **Return on Investment frameworks**: Implementing data-driven modelling services that help employers build a clear business case for promoting mental health, linking mental health scores to predicted reductions in presenteeism, absenteeism, and staff turnover (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020).

2.4.3 The Case for Organizational Mindfulness

Organizational mindfulness represents a paradigm shift in how engineering organizations approach mental health. Unlike individual mindfulness, which focuses on personal practices and awareness, organizational mindfulness encompasses the collective capacity of an organization to anticipate and respond to challenges, maintain situational awareness, and foster a culture of psychological safety (Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2012).

Research on burnout prevention in construction and engineering emphasizes that sustainable solutions require organizational mindfulness, fostering a supportive culture and implementing systemic practices that priorities mental health and wellbeing (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Worline & Dutton, 2017). This dual focus on individual and systemic approaches offers a holistic solution to enhance wellbeing and productivity in high-stress industries (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

2.5 Technology-Enabled Mental Health Measurement and Support

2.5.1 Digital Mental Health Solutions

The broad use of ICT services, social media, and smartphone applications has an increasingly important role to play in the delivery of clinical mental health services and in supporting societal mental health and wellbeing more

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

generally (Graham et al., 2019; Mohr et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019). In engineering contexts, digital mental health solutions offer promising avenues for monitoring wellbeing, providing accessible support, and enabling early intervention (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).

The Innovate UK Mindset program, with a £20 million investment, is helping to catalyse the growth of immersive digital mental health solutions in the UK (Health Innovation Network South London, 2026). By funding projects that develop therapeutic interventions, the program is establishing a supportive ecosystem to facilitate the market entry of these innovations. Through fostering collaboration between the immersive technology sector and mental health providers, Mindset aims to accelerate treatment by leveraging immersive digital therapies to offer faster and more accessible support for individuals with mental health concerns (Health Innovation Network South London, 2026; Freeman et al., 2017).

2.5.2 Measuring Developer Wellbeing and Productivity

Recent research in empirical software engineering has developed metrics for measuring developer wellbeing and productivity that integrate mental health considerations (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008). This work draws on Self-Determination Theory and the Job Demands–Resources Model to construct a holistic approach to measuring individual mental health (IMH) (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

Key metrics include:

- **Motivation Rate (MR)**: Computed from the Quality of Social Interactions (QSI), Absenteeism Rate (AR), and Productive Missed Tasks (PMT), representing complementary aspects of motivational balance (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Deci & Ryan, 2012).
- **Number of Commits (NOC)**: Capturing development activity by quantifying code updates within a version control system, intended as a contextual indicator of development activity rather than a direct proxy for productivity (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008).
- **Number of Resolved Issues (NRI)**: Tracking the number of issues successfully resolved, designed as a descriptive indicator of workflow progress and team activity (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Bakker et al., 2014).

These metrics enable engineering organizations to monitor mental health indicators alongside productivity metrics, facilitating data-driven interventions and continuous improvement (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Impactly, 2025).

2.5.3 The Role of AI and Immersive Technologies

Artificial intelligence and immersive technologies are increasingly being explored for their potential to support mental health in engineering and technology contexts (Wang et al., 2025; Freeman et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019). However, the development of these technologies requires careful attention to ethical considerations, stakeholder engagement, and the balance between technical complexity and user accessibility (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Graham et al., 2019).

A recent study on the development of an AI-supported youth mental health app highlighted the challenges of multidisciplinary collaboration, including balancing co-creation with young people and the technical complexity of AI development (Wang et al., 2025). The study found that while co-creation was extensive during the development and design of the interface, it did not extend to the level of modeling or coding, limiting the ability of some stakeholders to meaningfully engage in these parts of decision-making (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023). This finding underscores the importance of developing inclusive approaches to technology development that enable meaningful participation across disciplines and levels of expertise (Wang et al., 2025; Tong et al., 2026).

2.6 Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration

The integration of mental health strategies into engineering and technology management requires collaboration across disciplines, including engineering, psychology, public health, and data science (Tong et al., 2026; Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023). Cross-disciplinary collaborations have been identified as essential for developing tools and interventions that are both technically robust and biologically and psychologically meaningful (Tong et al., 2026; Graham et al., 2019).

Key success factors for cross-disciplinary collaboration include:

- **Clear communication plans**: Establishing shared vocabulary and realistic rhythms for interaction (Tong et al., 2026; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).
- **Structured routines with flexible communication**: Balancing formal meetings with informal interactions (Tong et al., 2026; Edmondson, 2018).
- **Shared methods and regular meetings**: Creating infrastructure that prevents progress from dying in the silence between emails (Tong et al., 2026; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Based on the literature review, this paper proposes a comprehensive theoretical framework for integrating mental health and wellbeing strategies into engineering and technology management. The framework synthesises insights from the Job Demands-Resources Model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007), Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2012), and Conservation of Resources Theory (Hobfoll, 2001), and encompasses three interconnected pillars: individual-level mindfulness and resilience interventions, organizational-level structural and cultural transformations, and technology-enabled measurement and support systems.

3.1 Pillar 1: Individual-Level Interventions

The first pillar focuses on equipping engineering professionals with the psychological resources necessary to navigate the demands of their work. Key components include:

- **Mindfulness training**: Providing structured programs that develop awareness of thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations, enabling professionals to recognize early signs of stress and burnout (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Kabat-Zinn, 2003; Forster, 2023).
- **Resilience coaching**: Building mental toughness, adaptability, and team cohesion in high-pressure environments (London School of Business and Administration, 2026; Lomas et al., 2017).
- **Stress management techniques**: Equipping professionals with practical strategies for managing workload, maintaining work-life balance, and preventing burnout (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

3.2 Pillar 2: Organizational-Level Strategies

The second pillar addresses the systemic factors that contribute to mental health challenges in engineering environments. Key components include:

- **Organizational mindfulness**: Fostering a supportive culture that prioritizes mental health and wellbeing, implementing systemic practices, and creating conditions for sustainable change (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2012).
- **Leadership development**: Training engineering managers in emotional intelligence, adaptive leadership, and empathetic communication (Avolio et al., 2009; Goleman, 1998; Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018).
- **Structural and policy changes**: Implementing workload management, wellness programs, ergonomic interventions, and data-driven ROI frameworks (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Impactly, 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990).

3.3 Pillar 3: Technology-Enabled Measurement and Support

The third pillar leverages technology to monitor mental health indicators, provide accessible support, and enable data-driven decision-making. Key components include:

- **Digital mental health solutions**: Utilizing ICT services, social media, and smartphone applications to deliver mental health support and interventions (Graham et al., 2019; Mohr et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019).
- **Wellbeing and productivity metrics**: Implementing comprehensive measurement systems that integrate mental health indicators with productivity metrics (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008).
- **AI and immersive technologies**: Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence and immersive technologies to provide personalized, accessible mental health support (Wang et al., 2025; Freeman et al., 2017; Health Innovation Network South London, 2026).

3.4 Interconnections and Synergies

The three pillars of the framework are interconnected and mutually reinforcing. Individual-level interventions enable professionals to better navigate workplace demands, while organizational-level strategies create an environment conducive to mental health and wellbeing. Technology-enabled measurement provides the data necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions and guide continuous improvement (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).

This integrated approach addresses the limitations of fragmented interventions by recognizing that sustainable mental health strategies require attention to multiple levels, individual, organizational, and technological, and the interactions between them (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design

This study employs a systematic literature review and synthesis approach to develop a comprehensive framework for integrating mental health and wellbeing strategies into engineering and technology management. The

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

methodology is grounded in established principles for conducting systematic reviews in management and organizational research (Tranfield et al., 2003; Denyer & Tranfield, 2009).

4.2 Search Strategy

A systematic search was conducted across multiple databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect, using the following keywords and combinations:

- "Mental health" AND "engineering" AND "workplace"
- "Mindfulness" AND "engineering" AND "burnout"
- "Organizational wellbeing" AND "engineering management"
- "Job Demands-Resources" AND "engineering" AND "mental health"
- "Self-Determination Theory" AND "workplace wellbeing"
- "Digital mental health" AND "engineering" AND "technology"

4.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and book chapters published between 2010 and 2026. Additional sources, including government reports, industry white papers, and doctoral dissertations, were included to provide practical insights and contextual examples. Sources were included if they addressed mental health, wellbeing, stress, burnout, or related topics in engineering, construction, technology, or similar high-pressure professional contexts.

4.4 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data were extracted from included sources using a standardized template that captured author, year, research focus, theoretical framework, methodology, key findings, and implications for engineering management. The findings were synthesized thematically, organizing the literature around the three pillars of the proposed framework (Denyer & Tranfield, 2009; Popay et al., 2006).

5. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Sources of Stress in Engineering Environments

The literature review reveals consistent patterns in the sources of stress in engineering environments. Key stressors include:

Workload and Time Pressure: Engineering work is characterized by tight deadlines, demanding workloads, and the constant pressure to deliver high-quality outputs (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Forster, 2023; Hajikazemi et al., 2025). The need to manage complex tasks while meeting project goals can result in chronic stress, negatively impacting workers' health and productivity (Demerouti et al., 2001; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004).

High Expectations and Perfectionism: Engineering professionals often face expectations for precision, accuracy, and innovation, contributing to perfectionism and self-criticism (Forster, 2023; Wang et al., 2025). Successful engineers are expected to demonstrate effective time management, attention to detail, and the ability to learn (Forster, 2023; London School of Business and Administration, 2026).

Limited Autonomy and Control: In many engineering contexts, professionals have limited control over their work schedules, priorities, and workloads (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Karasek & Theorell, 1990). This lack of autonomy can undermine motivation and wellbeing, as reflected in Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2012; Gagné & Deci, 2005).

Inadequate Organizational Support: Engineering organisations often fail to provide adequate resources, including social support, performance feedback, and mental health services (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Edmondson, 2018). This inadequacy is reinforced by the difficulty of quantifying the return on investment for mental health interventions, leading to consistent under-investment (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020).

5.2 Effectiveness of Mindfulness Interventions

The review provides strong evidence for the effectiveness of mindfulness interventions in engineering contexts. Key findings include:

Recognition of Early Warning Signs: Mindfulness-based practices can significantly help construction and engineering professionals recognise early warning signs of burnout, serving as a valuable personal resource (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025; Kabat-Zinn, 2003).

Enhanced Psychological Resources: Mindfulness has been perceived as an effective tool for improving productivity, emotional intelligence, focus, teamwork, communication, stress management, resistance to fatigue and burnout, physiological and mental health, quality of work, awareness, and balance to life (Forster, 2023; Dane, 2011; Good et al., 2016; Reb et al., 2015).

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

Improved Job Performance: Through improvements in characteristics such as time management, attention to detail, and ability to learn, mindfulness has been proposed to lead to improved employee wellbeing, individual job performance, and organizational performance (Forster, 2023; Reb et al., 2015; Lomas et al., 2017).
Limitations of Individual Approaches: While individual mindfulness is valuable, it is not sufficient on its own. Sustainable solutions require organizational mindfulness, fostering a supportive culture and implementing systemic practices within these organizations (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2012).

5.3 Organizational Strategies for Mental Health Support

The review identifies several organizational strategies for promoting mental health and wellbeing in engineering contexts:
Creating a Supportive Culture: Organizations must priorities mental health and wellbeing through supportive policies, practices, and leadership behaviors (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Edmondson, 2018; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). This involves fostering a culture where employees feel safe discussing mental health concerns and accessing support (Edmondson, 2018; Worline & Dutton, 2017).
Implementing Data-Driven Approaches: Data-driven modelling services that help employers build a clear business case for promoting mental health can overcome the "no immediate pay-off" barrier that often blocks corporate action on mental health (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020). These services link mental health scores to predicted reductions in presenteeism, absenteeism, and staff turnover, providing evidence-based return on investment calculations (Impactly, 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).
Providing Comprehensive Wellbeing Programs: Organizations should provide access to quiet reflection rooms, robust wellness programs, and leaders trained in empathetic communication (Impactly, 2025; London School of Business and Administration, 2026; Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025). These programs should address the whole person, recognizing the interconnectedness of physical and emotional needs (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990).
Integrating Ergonomic and Psychosocial Interventions: Enhanced ergonomic assessments can identify psychosocial risks that contribute to stress, anxiety, and burnout (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990). By evaluating factors such as workstation design, workload distribution, and environmental conditions, these assessments can uncover elements that negatively impact mental health (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Demerouti et al., 2001).

5.4 Technology-Enabled Solutions

The review reveals a growing role for technology in supporting mental health in engineering and technology contexts:
Digital Mental Health Platforms: Digital solutions, including apps and online platforms, offer accessible and scalable mental health support (Graham et al., 2019; Mohr et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019). The development of these platforms requires careful attention to user needs, ethical considerations, and stakeholder engagement (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).
Immersive Digital Therapies: The Innovate UK Mindset program is catalyzing the growth of immersive digital mental health solutions, leveraging virtual and augmented reality to offer faster and more accessible support (Health Innovation Network South London, 2026; Freeman et al., 2017).
Wellbeing Measurement Systems: Comprehensive measurement systems that integrate mental health indicators with productivity metrics enable organizations to monitor wellbeing and guide interventions (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008). The development of these systems is grounded in established theories, including SDT and the JD-R Model (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).
Data-Driven Decision-Making: Technology-enabled measurement provides the data necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of mental health interventions and guide continuous improvement (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Impactly, 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

5.5 Barriers and Challenges

Despite the growing evidence base, several barriers and challenges impede the integration of mental health strategies into engineering and technology management:
Quantification Difficulties: Decision-makers struggle to justify preventative spending on mental health initiatives because the expected return on investment is hard to quantify (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020).
Cultural Resistance: Engineering culture often emphasizes technical competence over emotional intelligence and wellbeing, creating resistance to mental health interventions (Forster, 2023; Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Edmondson, 2018).

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

Implementation Challenges: The implementation of mental health strategies requires significant organisational change, including shifts in leadership practices, policies, and resource allocation (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006).
Interdisciplinary Barriers: Cross-disciplinary collaboration between engineering, psychology, and public health is challenging, with differences in language, methods, and epistemological assumptions impeding effective integration (Tong et al., 2026; Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).
Technology Integration Challenges: The integration of technology-enabled mental health solutions into existing engineering workflows and systems presents technical, organisational, and ethical challenges (Wang et al., 2025; Graham et al., 2019; Torous et al., 2019).

6. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

Based on the findings and theoretical foundations, this paper proposes the **Integrated Engineering Mental Health and Wellbeing (I-EMHW) Framework**. The framework comprises three interconnected pillars, individual interventions, organizational strategies, and technology-enabled systems, supported by cross-cutting enablers and contextual considerations.

6.1 Pillar 1: Individual Interventions

Objective: Equip engineering professionals with psychological resources to navigate workplace demands.

Component	Key Activities	Outcomes
Mindfulness Training	Structured programs (e.g., MBSR, MBCT); daily mindfulness practice; stress awareness (Kabat-Zinn, 2003; Forster, 2023)	Early recognition of burnout; enhanced focus; stress management (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Good et al., 2016)
Resilience Coaching	One-on-one coaching; team-based programs; emotional intelligence development (London School of Business and Administration, 2026; Lomas et al., 2017)	Mental toughness; adaptability; team cohesion (Avolio et al., 2009; Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018)
Stress Management	Work-life balance strategies; boundary setting; self-care practices (Demerouti et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017)	Reduced burnout; improved wellbeing; job performance (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004; ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012)

6.2 Pillar 2: Organizational Strategies

Objective: Create a supportive environment that prioritizes mental health and wellbeing.

Component	Key Activities	Outcomes
Organizational Mindfulness	Supportive culture; systemic practices; leadership commitment (Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Vogus & Sutcliffe, 2012; Hajikazemi et al., 2025)	Sustainable mental health support; reduced stigma (Edmondson, 2018; Worline & Dutton, 2017)
Leadership Development	Empathetic communication; emotional intelligence; adaptive leadership (Goleman, 1998; Avolio et al., 2009; Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018)	Supportive leadership; empowered teams (London School of Business and Administration, 2026)
Structural Changes	Workload management; flexible hours; wellness programs; ergonomic interventions (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990; Impactly, 2025)	Reduced stress; improved engagement; retention (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Demerouti et al., 2001)
Data-Driven ROI	Mental health metrics; cost-benefit analysis; continuous evaluation (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020)	Evidence-based investment; accountability (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017)

6.3 Pillar 3: Technology-Enabled Systems

Objective: Leverage technology to monitor wellbeing, provide support, and enable data-driven improvement.

Component	Key Activities	Outcomes
Digital Mental Health Platforms	App-based interventions; online counselling; self-help resources (Graham et al., 2019; Mohr et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019)	Accessible support; scalability (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023)

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

Component	Key Activities	Outcomes
Immersive Digital Therapies	VR/AR interventions; therapeutic applications (Health Innovation Network South London, 2026; Freeman et al., 2017)	Enhanced engagement; innovative treatment (Freeman et al., 2017)
Wellbeing Measurement	Integrated metrics (e.g., IMH); real-time monitoring; analytics (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008)	Data-informed interventions; continuous improvement (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017)
AI and Predictive Analytics	Predictive models; early warning systems; personalisation (Wang et al., 2025; Torous et al., 2019)	Proactive support; targeted interventions (Graham et al., 2019)

6.4 Cross-Cutting Enablers

Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration: Effective integration requires collaboration across engineering, psychology, public health, and data science (Tong et al., 2026; Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023). Key success factors include clear communication plans, shared vocabulary, and structured routines with flexible communication (Tong et al., 2026; Edmondson, 2018).

Stakeholder Engagement: Meaningful participation of all stakeholders, including employees, managers, and external experts, is essential for developing effective mental health strategies (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023). This includes addressing power imbalances and ensuring inclusive decision-making (Wang et al., 2025; Tong et al., 2026).

Continuous Evaluation and Improvement: Mental health strategies must be continuously evaluated and refined based on data, feedback, and emerging evidence (Impactly, 2025; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).

7. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 For Engineering Managers

1. **prioritize mental health as a strategic imperative**: Recognize that mental health is not a "soft" issue but a critical factor in organizational performance, innovation, and sustainability (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Impactly, 2025).
2. **Model healthy behaviors**: Demonstrate work-life balance, take breaks, and openly discuss mental health to reduce stigma and create psychological safety (Edmondson, 2018; Worline & Dutton, 2017; Avolio et al., 2009).
3. **Invest in leadership development**: Develop emotional intelligence, empathetic communication, and adaptive leadership skills to create a supportive work environment (Goleman, 1998; Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018; London School of Business and Administration, 2026).
4. **Implement data-driven approaches**: Use mental health metrics to build a business case for investment and to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions (Impactly, 2025; Knapp & Wong, 2020; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).
5. **Foster cross-disciplinary collaboration**: Engage with psychologists, public health experts, and other professionals to develop comprehensive mental health strategies (Tong et al., 2026; Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).

7.2 For Human Resource Professionals

1. **Integrate mental health into HR policies**: Develop comprehensive policies addressing workload management, flexible work arrangements, and access to mental health services (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).
2. **Implement wellbeing programs**: Provide access to mindfulness training, resilience coaching, and wellness resources (Forster, 2023; Hajikazemi et al., 2025; London School of Business and Administration, 2026).
3. **Measure and monitor**: Implement systems to track mental health indicators alongside productivity metrics (Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Meyer & Gagné, 2008; Impactly, 2025).
4. **Create supportive infrastructure**: Provide quiet reflection rooms, ergonomic assessments, and other resources that support mental and physical wellbeing (Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Karasek & Theorell, 1990).

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

5. **Partner with technology providers**: Collaborate with digital mental health providers to offer accessible, scalable support (Graham et al., 2019; Mohr et al., 2017; Health Innovation Network South London, 2026).

7.3 For Policymakers

1. **Support research and innovation**: Fund research on mental health in engineering and technology contexts, including the development of digital and immersive solutions (Health Innovation Network South London, 2026; Freeman et al., 2017; Torous et al., 2019).
2. **Develop regulatory frameworks**: Create standards and guidelines for workplace mental health, including reporting requirements and quality standards for digital interventions (WHO, 2022; Knapp & Wong, 2020).
3. **Promote cross-sector collaboration**: Facilitate partnerships between engineering organizations, mental health providers, and technology developers (Tong et al., 2026; Wang et al., 2025).
4. **Invest in education and training**: Integrate mental health and wellbeing content into engineering curricula and professional development programs (Forster, 2023; Lomas et al., 2017; London School of Business and Administration, 2026).

8. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive framework for integrating mental health and wellbeing strategies into engineering and technology management. The Integrated Engineering Mental Health and Wellbeing (I-EMHW) Framework encompasses three interconnected pillars, individual-level mindfulness and resilience interventions, organizational-level structural and cultural transformations, and technology-enabled measurement and support systems, supported by cross-cutting enablers including cross-disciplinary collaboration, stakeholder engagement, and continuous evaluation.

The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that poor mental health is a significant challenge in engineering environments, with substantial implications for individual wellbeing, organizational productivity, and societal costs (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Forster, 2023; Hajikazemi et al., 2025). However, this challenge also presents an opportunity. By investing in mental health strategies that address both individual and systemic factors, engineering organizations can enhance employee wellbeing, improve job performance, foster innovation, and create sustainable competitive advantage (Impactly, 2025; Spencer-Thomas et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023).

The proposed framework offers practical guidance for engineering managers, human resource professionals, and policymakers seeking to create mentally healthy workplaces. By adopting a dual-focused approach that addresses both individual psychological resources and systemic organizational factors, engineering organizations can transform the challenge of mental health into a strategic opportunity for building more resilient, productive, and innovative workforces (Hajikazemi et al., 2025; Weick & Sutcliffe, 2006; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017).

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies evaluating the effectiveness of integrated mental health strategies in engineering contexts, the development of standardised metrics for measuring wellbeing and productivity, and the exploration of emerging technologies, including AI and immersive digital therapies, for supporting mental health in engineering environments (Wang et al., 2025; Veldmeijer et al., 2023; Torous et al., 2019).

REFERENCES

1) Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. (2009). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 421-449.
2) Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.
3) Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273-285.
4) Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Sanz-Vergel, A. I. (2014). Burnout and work engagement: The JD–R approach. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1(1), 389-411.
5) Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2003). The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological wellbeing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(4), 822-848.
6) Dane, E. (2011). Paying attention to mindfulness and its effects on task performance in the workplace. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 997-1018.
7) Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Self-determination theory. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. W. Kruglanski, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology* (pp. 416-436). Sage Publications.

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

8) Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499-512.
9) Denyer, D., & Tranfield, D. (2009). Producing a systematic review. In D. A. Buchanan & A. Bryman (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of organizational research methods* (pp. 671-689). Sage Publications.
10) Edmondson, A. C. (2018). *The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth*. John Wiley & Sons.
11) Forster, K. (2023). *Mindfulness and Job Performance: How is mindfulness perceived to affect job performance, employee wellbeing, and organisational performance in the engineering sector in South Africa?* [Master's thesis, University of Cape Town].
12) Freeman, D., Reeve, S., Robinson, A., Ehlers, A., Clark, D., Spanlang, B., & Slater, M. (2017). Virtual reality in the assessment, understanding, and treatment of mental health disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(14), 2393-2400.
13) Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331-362.
14) Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
15) Good, D. J., Lyddy, C. J., Glomb, T. M., Bono, J. E., Brown, K. W., Duffy, M. K., ... & Lazar, S. W. (2016). Contemplating mindfulness at work: An integrative review. *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 114-142.
16) Graham, A. K., Lattie, E. G., & Mohr, D. C. (2019). Experimental therapeutics for digital mental health. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(12), 1223-1224.
17) Hajikazemi, S., et al. (2025). Exploring mindfulness as a tool for recognition and prevention of burnout in construction and engineering. *Journal of Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
18) Halbesleben, J. R., Neveu, J. P., Paustian-Underdahl, S. C., & Westman, M. (2014). Getting to the "COR": Understanding the role of resources in conservation of resources theory. *Journal of Management*, 40(5), 1334-1364.
19) Health Innovation Network South London. (2026). Mindset-XR Innovation Support Programme.
20) Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The influence of culture, community, and the nested-self in the stress process: Advancing conservation of resources theory. *Applied Psychology*, 50(3), 337-421.
21) Hobfoll, S. E. (2002). Social and psychological resources and adaptation. *Review of General Psychology*, 6(4), 307-324.
22) Hobfoll, S. E., Halbesleben, J., Neveu, J. P., & Westman, M. (2018). Conservation of resources in the organizational context: The reality of resources and their consequences. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 5, 103-128.
23) Impactly. (2025). Mental Health at Work (MHAW): A data-driven modelling service.
24) Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 144-156.
25) Karasek, R., & Theorell, T. (1990). *Healthy work: Stress, productivity, and the reconstruction of working life*. Basic Books.
26) Knapp, M., & Wong, G. (2020). Economics and mental health: The current scenario. *World Psychiatry*, 19(1), 3-14.
27) Kudesia, R. S. (2019). Mindfulness as metacognitive practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 44(2), 405-423.
28) Lomas, T., Medina, J. C., Ivtzan, I., Rupprecht, S., & Eiroa-Orosa, F. J. (2017). The impact of mindfulness on the wellbeing and performance of educators: A systematic review of the empirical literature. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 61, 132-141.
29) London School of Business and Administration. (2026). Professional Certificate in Resilience Coaching for Engineering Teams.
30) Meyer, J. P., & Gagné, M. (2008). Employee engagement from a self-determination theory perspective. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 60-62.
31) Mohr, D. C., Burns, M. N., Schueller, S. M., Clarke, G., & Klinkman, M. (2017). Behavioral intervention technologies: Evidence review and recommendations for future research in mental health. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 35(4), 332-338.
32) Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., ... & Duffy, S. (2006). Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews. *ESRC Methods Programme*, 1(1), b92.

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

33) Reb, J., Narayanan, J., & Ho, Z. W. (2015). Mindfulness at work: Antecedents and consequences of employee awareness and absent-mindedness. *Mindfulness*, 6(1), 111-122.
34) Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press.
35) Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
36) Spencer-Thomas, S., Kimlinger, D., Lombardo, K., Smith, A., & Pastukhova, Y. (2025). Emotional Ergonomics – How the Intersection of Ergonomics, Pain, and Mental Health Shape Worker Wellbeing. *Facility Safety Management*, July 2025.
37) ten Brummelhuis, L. L., & Bakker, A. B. (2012). A resource perspective on the work-home interface: The work-home resources model. *American Psychologist*, 67(7), 545-556.
38) Tong, W., Gubert, C., Jung, J., et al. (2026). The Art of the Start: How a Coffee Chat (and a Handbook) Built a Bridge Between Engineering and Psychiatry. Graeme Clark Institute.
39) Torous, J., Andersson, G., Bertagnoli, A., Christensen, H., Cuijpers, P., Firth, J., ... & Arean, P. (2019). Towards a consensus around standards for smartphone apps and digital mental health. *World Psychiatry*, 18(1), 97-98.
40) Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207-222.
41) Uhl-Bien, M., & Arena, M. (2018). Leadership for organizational adaptability: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(1), 89-104.
42) Van den Broeck, A., Ferris, D. L., Chang, C. H., & Rosen, C. C. (2016). A review of self-determination theory's basic psychological needs at work. *Journal of Management*, 42(5), 1195-1229.
43) Veldmeijer, L., Terlouw, G., Van Os, J., Van Dijk, H., Van 't Veer, J., & Boonstra, N. (2023). Co-creation in digital mental health: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*.
44) Vogus, T. J., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2012). Organizational mindfulness and mindful organizing. In K. S. Cameron & G. M. Spreitzer (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of positive organizational scholarship* (pp. 338-349). Oxford University Press.
45) Wang, H., et al. (2025). Decision-making on an AI-supported youth mental health app: A multilogue among ethicists, social scientists, AI-researchers, biomedical engineers, young experiential experts, and psychiatrists. *SSM - Mental Health*.
46) Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2006). Mindfulness and the quality of organizational attention. *Organization Science*, 17(4), 514-524.
47) WHO. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. World Health Organization.
48) Worline, M. C., & Dutton, J. E. (2017). *Awakening compassion at work: The quiet power that elevates people and organizations*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
49) Zhou, J., et al. (2025). Dual Pathways of Mindfulness in Construction Occupational Psychological Health: Long- and Short-Term Mechanisms of Positive Emotions and Psychological Capital. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*.
50) Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2011). Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(2), 127-152.
51) Bishop, S. R., Lau, M., Shapiro, S., Carlson, L., Anderson, N. D., Carmody, J., ... & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11(3), 230-241.
52) Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89-136.
53) Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 98(2), 310-357.
54) Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: A theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(5), 834-848.
55) Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2011). The Job Demands-Resources model: Challenges for future research. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 37(2), 1-9.

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.

iJETRM
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

56) Gartner, J., & Appel, M. (2021). Digital mental health: A systematic review of the evidence and future directions. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 85, 102002.
57) Hülsheger, U. R., Alberts, H. J., Feinholdt, A., & Lang, J. W. (2013). Benefits of mindfulness at work: The role of mindfulness in emotion regulation, emotional exhaustion, and job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(2), 310-325.
58) Ivtzan, I., & Lomas, T. (2016). *Mindfulness in positive psychology: The science of meditation and wellbeing*. Routledge.
59) Jansen, K. J., & Shipp, A. J. (2019). A review and agenda for incorporating time in turnover research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 13(1), 319-355.
60) Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness*. Delacorte.
61) Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer Publishing Company.
62) Leiter, M. P., & Maslach, C. (2016). Latent burnout profiles: A new approach to understanding the burnout experience. *Burnout Research*, 3(4), 89-100.
63) Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103-111.
64) Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 2(3), 223-250.
65) Reb, J., & Atkins, P. W. (2015). *Mindfulness in organizations: Foundations, research, and applications*. Cambridge University Press.
66) Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A critical review of the Job Demands-Resources Model: Implications for improving work and health. In G. F. Bauer & O. Hämmig (Eds.), *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health* (pp. 43-68). Springer.
67) Zhang, J., & Chen, Y. (2025). Mindfulness, emotional intelligence, and job performance in high-stress engineering environments. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 46(2), 345-367.

 © Author(s) | CC BY 4.0 International License.