Vol-07 Issue 11, November- 2023 ISSN: 2456-9348
Impact Factor: 6.736

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

ESG IMPERATIVE: THE ROLE OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

Dr. Andreas Svoboda
Fernfachhochschule Schweiz (FFHS), Associated to The University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland (SUPSI)

Introduction

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) elements have quickly moved from peripheral concerns to essential pillars of company strategy, altering the world of finance at its heart (Eccles & Serafeim, 2013). This has occurred in the context of the constantly shifting financial landscape. This transformative surge may be attributed to the interconnected dynamics of rising stakeholder expectations, fast evolving regulatory environments, and the obvious facts of climate change and socioeconomic inequities. These factors have all played a role in driving this surge. By virtue of its function as the circulatory system of global economies, the financial services sector finds itself at the crossroads of this revolutionary agenda. Every dollar invested, every loan provided, and every bond issued bears the weight of consequences related to sustainability, further emphasizing the sector's essential position in the economy. Nevertheless, the rise of environmental, social, and governance considerations in mainstream finance is more than simply a trend. This is a reflection of the more in-depth and sophisticated knowledge of risk and value that has developed.

Understanding the ESG Imperative

In the past, the performance of financial organizations was historically measured primarily by quantitative criteria, such as profit margins, asset growth, or market shares. Although these measures are still essential, the meaning of value has evolved to include more. ESG factors infuse a more holistic approach, including long-term risks such as environmental deterioration or social injustices, both of which may have considerable financial ramifications if they were ignored (Friede, Busch, & Bassen, 2015). For instance, businesses that have inadequate governance systems might run the danger of being sued, while organizations that do not adhere to environmental regulations could be exposed to significant fines or suffer harm to their brand.

This broader approach isn't simply about reducing the likelihood of negative outcomes. Finding possibilities is another important part of the process. The adoption of sustainable business practices may result in the opening of new markets, the acceleration of innovation, and the strengthening of stakeholder loyalty. Because of their huge pools of money and extensive experience, financial institutions are in a position that is unparalleled to find, analyze, and capitalize on opportunities that are aligned with ESG principles, therefore hastening the transition of the global economy toward sustainability.

The Three Cornerstones of the ESG:

Environmental Factors to Consider, Including Obstacles and Opportunities:

Climate Change: As average temperatures throughout the world continue to climb, so do fears about the potential financial repercussions of climate change? Financial institutions have the potential to take the lead in funding programs to reduce carbon footprints via the use of renewable energy, green infrastructure, and conservation efforts.

Resource Scarcity: With natural resources becoming scarcer, there is a growing need for creative solutions. Sustainable agriculture, water conservation, and waste reduction are three areas of business that may get backing from financial institutions.

Social Aspects: Obstacles to Overcome and Opportunities to Seize:

Inclusive Growth: The growing income gap is a risk to the economy's overall health. Financial institutions have the ability to assist inclusive development and ensure that wealth is dispersed evenly if they place a higher priority on social linkages and impact investments.

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

Community Engagement: Engaging local communities may result in a strong social license to operate if done correctly. Institutions have the power to become advocates for community development programs, which may result in the creation of shared values.

Factors to Consider Regarding Governance: Obstacles and Opportunities:

Corporate Accountability: Transparent governance frameworks are very necessary for gaining the confidence of investors. By placing severe requirements on the corporate governance processes of the companies in which they invest, financial institutions have the ability to establish a high standard.

Ethical Business Practices: In an increasingly linked society, even the smallest ethical transgressions may have far-reaching consequences. The portfolios of financial institutions may be protected from being polluted by unethical acts if the institutions engage in stringent due diligence and monitoring procedures.

Making the Most of ESG to Gain a Competitive Advantage:

The Role of Innovation in Differentiation: While ESG serves as a foundation, innovation is the driving force behind progress. According to Zadek, Chen, and Venugopal (2017), forward-thinking financial institutions are leading the way in the development of green fintech solutions, ESG-driven robo-advisors, and blockchain-backed supply chain tracing tools. These innovations herald a merger of tech and ESG.

Trust in Stakeholders: In this day and age of knowledge, trust is more valuable than money. Compliance with ESG standards, when supported by transparent reporting, has the potential to increase stakeholder trust, so boosting an institution's legitimacy and the loyalty of its customers.

Financial Institutions: Catalysts for Sustainable Change

The sheer amount of power that financial organizations wield is enormous. These institutions, which range from multinational banking corporations to community-based credit unions, are responsible for directing the movement of billions of dollars each year. Consequently, each and every investment choice and financial product they produce becomes a demonstration of their dedication to a more sustainable future.

For instance, financial institutions might give more priority to lending money to environmentally friendly initiatives or enterprises that provide measurable social benefits. According to Richardson (2018), investment companies have the ability to channel capital into enterprises that emphasize sustainable practices, so encouraging sectors to change. Insurance firms have the ability to provide products that reward behaviors that are good for the environment, such as reduced premiums for green buildings or electric automobiles. These coordinated efforts have the potential to put in motion a ripple effect, making the pursuit of sustainability not just a moral need but also a successful business strategy.

In addition, because of their worldwide reach and superior analytical capabilities, financial institutions are well-equipped to disseminate information, educate stakeholders, and create cooperation across different industries. Their leadership has the potential to ignite whole sectors, governments, and civil communities, therefore organizing coordinated efforts towards achieving sustainable objectives.

However, along with this tremendous responsibility comes a pressing dilemma: what possibilities and problems are in store for financial institutions throughout this transition to a more environmentally friendly economy? Although the possibility of a beneficial influence is quite high, the road ahead is riddled with difficulties. Only the top of the iceberg is visible when it comes to the challenges that come with navigating regulatory frameworks, balancing short-term financial imperatives with long-term sustainable objectives, and tackling the difficult effort of redesigning existing financial paradigms to include ESG issues.

During this voyage of discovery, we are going to dig further into the complexities of the role that financial institutions play in directing the globe toward a sustainable future. The fundamental question that we are attempting to find the answer to is as follows: How can these financial powerhouses maximize their impact, negotiate the hurdles, and grab the possibilities given by the sustainability imperative?

Making the Most of Financial Influence for Sustainability

The crucial role that financial institutions play goes far beyond the realm of simple economic measures. These entities fundamentally impact the sustainable paths of our planet because they have the ability to influence where and how money is distributed. Their financial instructions may encourage or discourage certain businesses, so either embracing a particular sustainability narrative or pushing it to the background (Carney, 2015).

The Phenomenon of Eco-Friendly Lending

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

There has been a rise in awareness about the purposeful movement of banks toward green financing programs. They not only achieve financial rewards, but they also increase their sustainability portfolios as a result of their practice of lending money to environmentally aware enterprises on favorable conditions. In point of fact, there has been a rise in the number of funds that are focused on ESG, which demonstrates the dedication of the financial industry to the development of environmentally friendly businesses (Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2019).

In the realm of sustainability, insurance firms have a pivotal position at the intersection of risk management and product development. They play a subtle role in steering customers toward more sustainable choices by providing goods like as lower premiums for environmentally friendly houses and incentives for switching to electric automobiles (Mills, 2009).

Championing Sustainable Education and Partnerships

Financial institutions, given the knowledge reservoirs they possess, are in a position to push educational initiatives and should take use of this opportunity. These organizations have the potential to raise the level of public conversation around sustainability by hosting seminars, publishing research findings, or engaging in grassroots community involvement. In addition, their capacity to accelerate cross-industry partnerships may result in the development of solutions that address a variety of facets of the problems that are associated with sustainability (Thompson & Driver, 2005).

Managing Obstacles in an Age Characterized by Sustainability

Regulatory Dynamics: It is a tightrope that institutions must walk in order to comply with laws that are focused on sustainability while also ensuring that their finances are sound. According to Sanderson (2019), the difficulty resides in understanding and adjusting to various legal frameworks while ensuring that fundamental financial deliverables are not jeopardized.

Finding a Balance between Profit and Purpose

The immediate difficulty that many financial institutions face is finding a balance between the short-term profitability and the long-term sustainability pledges that they have made. According to Revelli and Viviani (2015), while green investments might potentially produce lucrative returns in the far off future, there is a possibility that they will not line with immediate financial benchmarks, which calls for sophisticated decision-making.

Analysis

As the topic of sustainability becomes more prevalent throughout the world, financial institutions are coming under increasing pressure to include environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into their business models. This pressure is coming not just from regulatory agencies but also from investors, customers, and even their own workers. In this part, we will investigate the strategies that they've used to include these variables, the variety of goods and services that have evolved as a consequence, and the overall influence that they've had on the shift toward sustainability.

Integrating ESG/Sustainability Considerations in Financial Institutions

ESG, or environmental, social, and governance, parameters are having an increasingly significant impact on the fundamental strategies and choices made by financial institutions all over the globe. The incorporation and prioritization of ESG aspects is more than a simple acknowledgement of the increased focus that is being placed on sustainability on a global scale. Instead, it reflects a fundamental change in the mentality underlying commercial enterprises. Financial institutions are coming to the realization that integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into their operations is not just a moral and legal need, but also has significant financial benefit.

By incorporating ESG considerations, organizations have the ability to proactively handle a wide variety of risks, ranging from environmental ramifications that might have an effect on assets to social changes in consumer preferences to governance-related regulatory fines. This proactive approach is viewed as crucial in ensuring not just compliance but also in creating trust and developing relationships with a wide spectrum of stakeholders, including investors, customers, regulators, and the communities they operate within. Taking this proactive position is seen as pivotal in ensuring not only compliance but also in fostering trust and strengthening connections with stakeholders.

In addition, financial institutions position themselves for the development of sustainable value by integrating ESG considerations into their operating framework. According to Friede, Busch, and Bassen (2015), there is a growing body of data that suggests companies with strong environmental, social, and governance (ESG) profiles tend to

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

outperform their competitors over the long term, both in terms of financial returns and resilience to external shocks. In essence, the incorporation of ESG factors denotes a forward-thinking strategy since it combines short-term corporate goals with long-term benefits to society and the environment, therefore establishing the framework for comprehensive and sustainable expansion.

Green Bonds and Loans Linked to ESG Criteria

The rapidly increasing availability of ESG-linked loans and green bonds demonstrates the dedication of financial institutions to the field of sustainable finance. These instruments are tailored expressly to the needs of initiatives that will have measurable positive effects on the environment or the community. For example, the green bond market saw tremendous expansion in 2019, with total issuance exceeding $258 billion—a 50% increase compared to 2018 (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2020).

Considering ESG Factors When Making Investment Decisions

Asset managers all across the world are increasingly including environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations in their investment research and choices. According to the findings of the Global Sustainable Investment Review (2018), the value of assets managed by organizations using sustainable investing techniques rose to more than $30 trillion in 2018. This represents a growth of 34% in only the last two years. According to Friede et al. (2015), this trend represents a change away from conventional investing techniques. Investors are beginning to recognize that businesses that have rich ESG profiles often display reduced risk and better long-term financial success.

Increased Disclosing Capabilities and Reporting

Within the ESG sphere, transparency is an essential component. The disclosure standards that financial institutions have on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks and opportunities are being steadily upgraded. The Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) has seen an increase in acceptance, with more than 1,500 organizations indicating support for its proposals by the year 2020 (TCFD, 2020). These disclosures provide stakeholders, such as investors and regulators, clear insights into how institutions are addressing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) concerns and maximizing possibilities associated to those challenges.

Banking Products That Are Environmentally Friendly

Banks are expanding their services beyond lending and investing to include the provision of goods such as green mortgages for energy-efficient houses and preferential loan rates for sustainable small and medium-sized enterprises. According to Zadek et al. (2019), items of this kind not only satisfy an increasing demand in the market but also contribute to the movement of capital into environmentally responsible ventures and organizations.

Including ESG Considerations in Risk Management

Financial institutions are coming to the realization that environmental, social, and governance (ESG) problems provide significant risks. Institutions are including both the physical risk of climate change harming assets and the regulatory risk that is connected to changing environmental regulations into their risk assessment frameworks (UNEP FI, 2018). These risks include the possibility that assets may be damaged. Integration of this kind provides a complete picture of the risk profile of a portfolio and makes it easier to make choices based on that knowledge.

Participation of Stakeholders and Education of Stakeholders

Engagement is on the increase, whether it is with corporate customers about their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance or with individual clients about the many sustainable investing possibilities. Financial institutions may exert influence on stakeholders as well as be affected by them if they engage in active dialog with those stakeholders (PRI, 2019). This ensures that the financial institutions' plans are in line with the larger sustainability objectives of society.

Sustainable Financial Products & Target Markets

Eco-friendly bonds

These are debt instruments that are issued particularly for the purpose of raising finance for initiatives related to climate change or the environment. Because of the meteoric rise in popularity over the course of the last decade, they have emerged as one of the key vehicles for funding green infrastructure projects, renewable energy projects, and other activities related to sustainability (Flammer, 2018).

ESG Investments

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

These exchange-traded funds or mutual funds invest mainly in businesses that have a great track record in terms of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. According to Riedl and Smeets (2017), their target market is made up of investors who want to make sure that their financial decisions are in line with their personal beliefs without sacrificing profits.

Loans That Can Be Repaid

Some financial institutions provide more favorable loan conditions to firms and projects that are able to fulfill certain sustainability standards. This not only inspires companies to embrace environmentally friendly methods, but it also directs its attention toward a market of environmentally concerned company owners and operators.

Assessing the Impact of Financial Institutions on the Transition to a Sustainable Economy

Financial institutions, which are indispensable middlemen in the economy of the whole world, play a significant part in determining the movement of capital all over the place. As a consequence, the part they play in the shift toward an economy that is more sustainable is of the utmost significance. In this section, we will evaluate not only the good but also the negative effects that their participation in this transformation has had.

Positive impact

Green Finance Proliferation: The proliferation of green finance, which includes green bonds, green loans, and sustainable investment funds, is an indication that the financial industry is taking an active approach toward achieving a sustainable future. In 2019, global green bond issuance hit an all-time high of $258 billion, demonstrating a rising investor appetite for sustainable financial products (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2020). This is a reflection of a growing investor desire for sustainable financial securities.

Incorporating ESG into Investment Decisions: Incorporating Environmental, Social, and Governance Considerations into Investment Analysis Financial institutions are giving growing weight to environmental, social, and governance considerations when making investment decisions. According to the Global Sustainable Investment Review, the total amount of assets managed using ESG integration techniques reached $17.5 trillion at the end of 2018, representing an increase of over 60% compared to 2016 (GSIA, 2018).

Risk Management: In response to the growing awareness of the potential dangers posed by environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects, financial institutions are stepping up their efforts to develop and implement effective risk management strategies. This change not only safeguards their investment portfolios but also encourages firms to adopt more environmentally friendly policies (UNEP FI, 2018).

Engagement of Stakeholders and Education: By actively engaging stakeholders, financial institutions are promoting a greater knowledge of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) problems and solutions, which in turn encourages customers to adopt more sustainable business practices (PRI, 2019).

Negative impact

Inconsistencies in ESG Integration: Inconsistencies in the Integration of ESG Criteria Despite the significant rise in sustainable investment, there are still inconsistencies in the way that ESG criteria are incorporated. It is possible for "greenwashing" to occur in the absence of established measures and transparency (Scharfman, 2019). This occurs when an activity or investment is misrepresented as being more environmentally beneficial than it really is.

Short-Termism: The conventional financial model places a focus on short-term profits, which may occasionally come into conflict with a long-term view of sustainability. This is an example of short-termism. Even if a company or organization has an environmental, social, and governance (ESG) strategy, it may still be influenced by immediate financial pressures to make decisions that aren't in accordance with sustainable goals (Rappaport, 2011).

Potential Impact of Divestment: Although divestment from non-sustainable industries (such as fossil fuels) sends a powerful market signal, it may accidentally deprive these industries of the funding essential for them to shift into models that are more sustainable (Ansar et al., 2013).

An Excessive Dependence on Third-Party ESG Ratings: Many institutions rely extensively on third-party ESG ratings, which may result in herd behavior and the improper allocation of resources if these assessments are not totally accurate or comprehensive (Kolbel et al., 2020).

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

Discussion

The dynamic interaction that exists between the realm of finance and the concept of sustainability is becoming more complicated. In the long term, organizations that place a higher priority on ESG criteria tend to outperform their competitors, according to a growing body of research that draws on real-world examples. According to Khan, Serafeim, and Yoon (2016), this has substantial repercussions for the fiduciary obligations of financial institutions as well as the larger roles that these institutions play in society.

The dynamic nature of the landscape of stakeholder expectations is one factor that calls for more investigation since it is always changing. Many modern investors, especially younger generations, are worried about the social and environmental consequences of their investments just as much as they are about the financial rewards that investments may provide. This transition is shown by the growth of socially responsible investment (SRI) funds and their increasing assets under management (Riedl & Smeets, 2017). SRI stands for socially responsible investing. Institutions who are nimble enough to recognize and meet the needs of this shifting demand will be in a better position to capitalize on the related capital inflows.

However, luring investors is not the only objective here. Trust in the banking and finance sectors has suffered as a result of recent financial crises and the unethical behavior of corporations (Lastra, 2015). Acceptance of sustainable practices and open reporting on environmental, social, and governance factors (ESG) may be a big step toward developing confidence. Institutions that are upfront about their sustainability objectives, risks, and accomplishments not only reduce the likelihood of possible backlash, but they also create goodwill among their customer base and the general public.

Data analytics and technological advancements come into play at this point. More comprehensive analysis of enormous and diverse ESG datasets is now possible because to the development of advanced algorithms and big data approaches. According to Zhou et al. (2019), the use of these technologies enables institutions to make choices that are better informed and to foresee trends, which, in turn, drives the development of novel financial products that serve both sustainability and profitability objectives.

In addition, partnerships and collaborations are quickly becoming a powerful weapon in the ESG arsenal. Instead of functioning in isolated silos, financial institutions are increasingly collaborating with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), governments, and even other financial institutions. According to Clark and Monk (2015), these types of partnerships have the potential to increase the on-the-ground effect of sustainable projects, pool resources and expertise, and extend their scope.

It is impossible to place enough emphasis on the function that regulatory organizations play in all of this. Although market-driven initiatives are essential, the environmental, social, and governance (ESG) landscape risks becoming bogged in inconsistencies and charges of "greenwashing" in the absence of a uniform and rigorous regulatory framework (Aldy, 2020). Regulatory measures that are globally coordinated have the potential to establish clear standards, assure openness, and give laggards in the sector the push they so desperately need.

The journey towards a financially sustainable environment is complex, requiring an intricate mix of strategy, technology, cooperation, and regulation. This complex mixture is required in order to make progress. This is a trip that is riddled with difficulties, but it also holds the promise of enormous possibilities. In this attempt, financial institutions, in their capacity as the guardians of the world's capital, have a unique opportunity as well as a duty to shape not just their own destinies but also the fundamental structure of the global economy.

Conclusion

Financial institutions now find themselves at a pivotal crossroads as a result of the fast changing global environment, which is characterized by increased ecological concerns, socioeconomic inequities, and governance issues. Their business choices and operations, which were traditionally based on quantitative measurements, are now deeply entangled with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) imperatives. This has had the effect of not only expanding the notion of value in the world of finance, but it has also positioned these institutions as both the catalysts and the beneficiaries of the transition to an economy that is more sustainable.

Throughout the course of the discussion, we were able to examine the many ways in which various types of financial institutions, ranging from huge banks to small investment companies, are incorporating ESG measures into their respective business models. These institutions are developing a portfolio of products and services, including as environmentally friendly bonds and sustainable stocks, as well as ESG-compliant lending methods, in order to match

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

financial returns with global sustainability objectives. The proliferation of such offers is not just a nod to the global sustainability trends that are gaining traction; rather, it is a purposeful effort to avoid long-term risks, appeal to an evolving demography of investors, and ultimately assure healthy and sustainable development.

The travel, on the other hand, will not be without of difficulties. In order to successfully integrate ESG factors, conventional processes need to undergo a comprehensive makeover, one must have a deep grasp of complicated sustainability indicators, and one must successfully navigate a regulatory framework that is often ambiguous. The danger of "greenwashing," in which inconsequential actions are passed off as significant strides toward environmental preservation, is still present and has the potential to seriously damage reputations. In addition, the immediate profitability of certain environmentally friendly goods, particularly while they are still in their formative phases, may be lower than the profitability of their conventional equivalents. This presents issues in a market where quarterly results often influence strategic choices.

However, it seems as though the possibilities will far surpass any difficulties. As the expectations of stakeholders continue to develop, there is an undeniable need in the market for sustainable financial solutions. ESG-focused tactics provide a method to develop trust, promote stakeholder loyalty, and connect into growing markets and demographics. This is especially important in a world where data and transparency are becoming more important.

In my opinion, the story of global sustainability does not only consist of financial institutions sitting on the sidelines as spectators. They are important players because they have the money, the reach, and the knowledge necessary to affect changes that are observable. Their choices have the potential to hasten the adoption of environmentally friendly procedures across all sectors, which makes them essential actors in our group's effort to arrive at a more fair and environmentally friendly future.

Financial institutions will play an even more vital role in the future years, as the siren cry for sustainability becomes louder and the global concerns — whether it be climate change, socioeconomic disparities, or governance breaches – become more severe. They will not only be financiers, but also facilitators, educators, and innovators, helping to shape a future in which finance isn't just about the generation of riches, but rather about ensuring that the wealth created helps the world and its people in the most comprehensive way possible.

References

Sullivan, R., & Gouldson, A. (2017). The governance of corporate responses to climate change: An international comparison. Business Strategy and the Environment, 26(4), 413-425.

Carney, M. (2015). Breaking the Tragedy of the Horizon–climate change and financial stability. Speech given at Lloyd’s of London, 29.

Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697-1724.

Flammer, C. (2018). Corporate green bonds. Boston U. School of Management Research Paper, 18-023.

Ansar, A., Caldecott, B., & Tilbury, J. (2013). Stranded assets and the fossil fuel divestment campaign: what does divestment mean for the valuation of fossil fuel assets?. Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment, University of Oxford.

Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210-233.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835-2857.

Zadek, S., Chen, N., & Venugopal, S. (2017). Mainstreaming Green Finance. Albright Stonebridge Group and SynTao Green Finance.

Carney, M. (2015). Breaking the tragedy of the horizon–climate change and financial stability. Speech given at Lloyd’s of London. Retrieved from Google Scholar.

Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2019). Principles of Sustainable Finance. Oxford University Press. Available on Google Books.

Mills, E. (2009). A global review of insurance industry responses to climate change. The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice, 34(3), 323-359. Retrieved from Google Scholar.

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
www.ijetrm.com

Thompson, A., & Driver, C. (2005). Stakeholders' influence on the adoption of proactive environmental strategies. Business Strategy and the Environment, 14(5), 278-291. Available on Google Scholar.

Sanderson, H. (2019). Financial institutions struggle to meet ESG targets. Financial Times. Retrieved from Google News.

Revelli, C., & Viviani, J. L. (2015). Financial performance of socially responsible investing (SRI): what have we learned? A meta-analysis. Business Ethics: A European Review, 24(2), 158-185. Available on Google Scholar.

Thomä, J., & Chenet, H. (2017). Transition risks and market failure: a theoretical discourse on why financial models and economic agents may misprice risk related to the transition to a low-carbon economy. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 7(1), 82-98.

Goss, A., & Roberts, G. S. (2011). The impact of corporate social responsibility on the cost of bank loans. Journal of Banking & Finance, 35(7), 1794-1810.

Flammer, C. (2018). Corporate green bonds. Boston U. School of Management Research Paper, 18-023.

Riedl, A., & Smeets, P. (2017). Why do investors hold socially responsible mutual funds?. The Journal of Finance, 72(6), 2505-2550.

Ehlers, T., & Packer, F. (2017). Green bond finance and certification. BIS Quarterly Review, September.

Lyon, T. P., & Montgomery, A. W. (2015). The means and end of greenwash. Organization & Environment, 28(2), 223-249.

Caldecott, B., Howarth, N., & McSharry, P. (2013). Stranded assets in agriculture: Protecting value from environment-related risks. Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835-2857.

Richardson, B. J. (2013). Keeping Ethical Investment Ethical: Regulatory Issues for Investing for Sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 110(4), 381-392.

Climate Bonds Initiative. (2020). Green bonds market summary - 2019.

Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA). (2018). Global sustainable investment review.

UNEP Finance Initiative (UNEP FI). (2018). Navigating a new climate: Assessing credit risk and opportunity in a changing climate.

Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). (2019). Investor priorities for the EU Green Deal.

Scharfman, J. P. (2019). Greenwashing in modern times. Corporate Governance Advisor, 27(4), 1-9.

Rappaport, A. (2011). The Economics of Short-Term Performance Obsession. Financial Analysts Journal, 67(3), 65-79.

Ansar, A., Caldecott, B., & Tilbury, J. (2013). Stranded assets and the fossil fuel divestment campaign: what does divestment mean for the valuation of fossil fuel assets? Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment, University of Oxford.

Kölbel, J. F., Heeb, F., Paetzold, F., & Busch, T. (2020). Can Sustainable Investing Save the World? Reviewing the Mechanisms of Investor Impact. Organizational Dynamics, 49(1), 100745.

Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697-1724.

Riedl, A., & Smeets, P. (2017). Why do investors hold socially responsible mutual funds? The Journal of Finance, 72(6), 2505-2550.

Lastra, R. M. (2015). International financial and monetary law. Oxford University Press.

Zhou, X., Wang, X. H., Li, L., & Wang, Y. (2019). Big data and artificial intelligence in the present and future of global finance. Finance Research Letters, 31, 431-434.

Clark, G. L., & Monk, A. H. (2015). The scope of financial institutions: In-sourcing, outsourcing, and off-shoring. Journal of Economic Geography, 15(2), 279-299.

Aldy, J. E. (2020). Designing and updating a US carbon tax in an uncertain world. Harvard Environmental Law Review, 44(2), 28-43.