

**AI PERSONAL GUARDIAN: AN INTELLIGENT MULTI-MODAL REAL-TIME SAFETY SYSTEM****Sneha R**

Final Year BCA Student, Department of Computer Applications, VISTAS, Chennai, India

**Dr. S. Rani**

Professor, Department of Computer Applications, VISTAS, Chennai, India

**ABSTRACT**

The AI Personal Guardian is an advanced intelligent safety and assistance system designed to provide real-time protection, monitoring, and personalized support to individuals in their daily lives. Leveraging state-of-the-art artificial intelligence technologies—including deep learning, natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and edge computing—this system acts as a proactive digital companion that continuously analyzes the user's environment, behavior, and physical well-being. The system integrates seamlessly with smartphones, wearable devices, and smart home ecosystems, monitoring for potential threats, health anomalies, and emergency situations, alerting the user, trusted contacts, or emergency services as appropriate. Key components include a real-time threat detection engine powered by YOLOv8 computer vision models, an NLP-based conversational interface built on transformer architectures, a wearable health sensor integration module, and an encrypted cloud communication backbone. The system achieves an average threat detection accuracy of 94.7% and emergency response latency of under 2.3 seconds on standard mobile hardware. Extensive evaluation confirms that the AI Personal Guardian significantly outperforms existing personal safety applications in both accuracy and response time.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Personal Safety System, AI Personal Guardian, Real-Time Monitoring, Deep Learning, Computer Vision, Edge Computing, Health Monitoring.

**INTRODUCTION**

In an increasingly complex and rapidly urbanizing world, personal safety has emerged as one of the foremost concerns for individuals across all demographics—from young students navigating college campuses to elderly individuals living independently, from professionals working late hours to children commuting to school. Rising population density, increased mobility, and unpredictable urban environments have intensified safety challenges, necessitating smarter and more reliable protection mechanisms.

Traditional safety mechanisms—door locks, CCTV cameras, and alarm systems—are fundamentally reactive in nature. They primarily function by recording incidents or triggering alerts after a threat has already occurred, offering limited capability in preventing danger beforehand. Moreover, these systems are often location-bound and fail to provide continuous protection when individuals are on the move. Statistics from organizations such as the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) and the World Health Organization consistently indicate that millions of incidents—including assaults, road accidents, medical emergencies, and theft—occur each year. A 2024 WHO report estimates that nearly 40% of injury-related fatalities could be prevented if immediate assistance were available.

The rapid proliferation of smartphones, wearable devices, and ubiquitous internet connectivity has opened new possibilities for transforming personal safety. Modern devices are equipped with advanced sensors such as GPS, accelerometers, microphones, and cameras, enabling continuous data collection and real-time monitoring.

Breakthroughs in artificial intelligence—particularly in computer vision, speech recognition, and predictive analytics—have significantly enhanced the ability of machines to interpret and respond to real-world situations. AI models can now analyze visual scenes, detect abnormal sounds, recognize behavioral patterns, and predict potential risks with increasing accuracy.

The AI Personal Guardian is designed as a multi-layered intelligent system that operates across three computational tiers—Edge, Fog, and Cloud—to ensure real-time responsiveness, efficient data processing, and scalable intelligence. By integrating these advancements, the system moves beyond passive monitoring toward proactive and preventive safety solutions, providing continuous, intelligent, and real-time protection tailored to

individual needs. This paper presents the complete architecture, implementation, datasets, and evaluation of this system.

### RELATED WORK

A comprehensive review of existing research was conducted across the domains of personal safety systems, AI-powered surveillance, wearable health monitoring, and conversational AI, spanning peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings (IEEE, ACM) published between 2019 and 2025.

#### Traditional and IoT-Based Safety Systems

Rahman et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review of 47 personal safety mobile applications, finding that 89% relied exclusively on manual SOS triggers and 73% lacked robust end-to-end encryption, with none incorporating proactive AI threat detection [1]. Sharma and Mehta (2022) proposed an IoT-based safety system integrating GPS, GSM communication, and a panic button connected to a cloud-based alert mechanism, but it remained dependent on user intervention with an average alert latency of 4.8 seconds [2].

#### Computer Vision for Threat Detection

The YOLO (You Only Look Once) family has driven significant progress in real-time threat detection. Narayanan et al. (2023) applied YOLOv8 to weapon detection in surveillance footage, achieving 96.3% mAP on the Open Images V7 dataset with inference times of 87ms per frame on mid-range Android devices [4]. Liu et al. (2024) combined MediaPipe Pose with an LSTM classifier to identify fighting, grabbing, and threatening gestures with 91.2% accuracy at 15 FPS on mobile hardware [5].

#### Audio Anomaly and Health Monitoring

Mukherjee et al. (2023) built a dataset of 12,000 labelled audio clips and trained a MobileNetV3-based classifier achieving 93.7% accuracy for scream and distress detection [8]. For health monitoring, Nho et al. (2021) demonstrated that transformer-based models applied to accelerometer time-series data achieve 97.4% sensitivity and 95.1% specificity for fall detection [10]. Reiss and Stricker (2023) developed a personalized baseline model for heart rate anomaly detection that reduced false positives by 68% compared to universal threshold systems [11].

#### Research Gap

The literature reveals a clear gap: no existing system integrates visual threat detection, audio anomaly detection, biometric health monitoring, and conversational AI into a unified, privacy-preserving, personalized personal safety platform. The AI Personal Guardian addresses this gap comprehensively.

### SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The AI Personal Guardian follows a three-tier distributed architecture designed for minimal latency, maximum privacy, and high availability: the Edge Tier, the Fog Tier, and the Cloud Tier. This architecture separates time-critical on-device processing from resource-intensive cloud operations.

#### Edge Tier (On-Device Processing)

The Edge Tier operates on the user's smartphone and wearable devices, handling real-time data acquisition and immediate decision-making. Lightweight machine learning models analyze sensor inputs—GPS, accelerometer, microphone, and camera—to detect anomalies such as falls, distress sounds, or unsafe surroundings. Local processing ensures low latency, fast response times, and improved privacy.

#### Application Layer

The application layer hosts five core intelligence modules: (1) Sensor Fusion Engine—collects and time-synchronizes data from all sensor sources using Kalman filtering for noise reduction; (2) Threat Assessment Engine—integrates outputs from all detection sub-modules (vision, audio, biometric) to compute the composite Safety Risk Score (SRS); (3) Alert Management Module—manages staged emergency response protocols; (4) Personalisation Engine—adapts detection thresholds through online learning algorithms; and (5) Conversational AI Module—handles NLP intent classification, entity extraction, and dialogue management.

#### Cloud Services Architecture

The cloud backend follows a microservices architecture deployed on Kubernetes. Key services include an API Gateway (Kong + Node.js), User Service (FastAPI + PostgreSQL), Alert Service (FastAPI + Kafka + Redis), NLP Service (FastAPI + Hugging Face), and a Notification Service (Firebase/APNs). All sensitive data is stored using AES-256 on-device encryption and zero-knowledge cloud storage.

#### On-Device AI Pipeline

The Camera Processing Pipeline captures frames at 10 FPS (default) or 15 FPS in elevated risk mode, using a lightweight MobileNetV3 scene classifier (2.3 MB) for pre-screening before YOLOv8-Nano performs person, weapon, and gesture detection. The Audio Processing Pipeline buffers 2-second overlapping audio windows at

16 kHz, computes log-mel spectrograms, and classifies 23 event classes including screams, gunshots, glass breaking, and vehicle collision sounds. The Biometric Pipeline samples accelerometer/gyroscope at 50 Hz for fall detection using a two-stage free-fall plus impact algorithm.

### IMPLEMENTATION

The AI Personal Guardian is implemented as a cross-platform mobile application (Flutter for Android/iOS), a wearable companion app, a voice assistant interface, and an optional web dashboard for trusted contacts. The system is built modularly to ensure maintainability, scalability, and ease of integration with future hardware.

#### Threat Detection Module

The visual threat detection engine is powered by a custom-trained YOLOv8-Nano model fine-tuned on a curated dataset of 12,000 surveillance images spanning 8 threat categories. Pose estimation uses MediaPipe Pose Landmarker to feed an LSTM classifier for behaviour classification. The Safety Risk Score (SRS) is computed as a weighted composite:  $SRS = 0.30 \times \text{visual\_risk} + 0.25 \times \text{audio\_risk} + 0.25 \times \text{health\_risk} + 0.12 \times \text{location\_risk} +$

$0.08 \times \text{context\_risk}$ .

#### NLP Module

The conversational AI module uses DistilBERT (distilbert-base-multilingual-cased) fine-tuned on the custom Guardian-NLU dataset—24,000 labelled utterances across 18 intent classes and 12 entity types in 12 languages. The model was trained for 5 epochs with a learning rate of  $2 \times 10^{-5}$ , batch size of 32, and warmup ratio of 0.1, achieving 93.8% F1-score on the held-out test set.

#### Route Safety Module

A FastAPI-based Route Safety Score API evaluates travel routes by querying crime statistics, lighting conditions, crowd density, and historical incident data, returning candidate routes ranked by safety score. The API achieves a response time of under 1.5 seconds for standard route queries.

#### Privacy and Security

All biometric baselines, safety logs, and personal preferences are stored in AES-256 encrypted local databases (SQLCipher for Android, Core Data with encryption for iOS). Cloud backup uses zero-knowledge encryption—data is encrypted client-side before transmission so the cloud provider cannot access plaintext data. Differential privacy is applied to all anonymized analytics.

### EVALUATION

The system was evaluated using a combination of publicly available benchmark datasets, proprietary collected datasets, and synthetically augmented data, adhering to GDPR and India's DPDP Act 2023. Evaluation was conducted against benchmark datasets and real-world simulated emergency scenarios.

#### Detection Performance

<i>Metric</i>	<i>Target</i>	<i>Achieved</i>	<i>Priority</i>
<i>Threat Detection Accuracy</i>	<i>&gt;92%</i>	<i>94.7%</i>	<i>Critical</i>
<i>Emergency Alert Latency</i>	<i>&lt;3 sec</i>	<i>2.3 sec</i>	<i>Critical</i>
<i>Fall Detection Sensitivity</i>	<i>&gt;95%</i>	<i>97.1%</i>	<i>High</i>
<i>NLP Intent Recognition (F1)</i>	<i>&gt;90%</i>	<i>93.8%</i>	<i>High</i>
<i>False Positive Rate</i>	<i>&lt;5%</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>High</i>
<i>Battery Impact (Idle Mode)</i>	<i>&lt;3%/hr</i>	<i>2.1%/hr</i>	<i>Medium</i>
<i>Route Safety API Response</i>	<i>&lt;1.5 sec</i>	<i>1.2 sec</i>	<i>Medium</i>

**Table 1. System Performance Metrics vs. Target Values**

#### Comparative Analysis

The AI Personal Guardian was benchmarked against five leading personal safety applications. Threat detection accuracy was found to be 94.7% versus an average of 67% across competing apps. Emergency alert latency of 2.3 seconds significantly outperforms the 4.8–12 second range observed in manual-trigger systems. Fall detection at 97.1% sensitivity exceeds the 82–89% reported for comparable wearable-based systems. Crucially,

the AI Personal Guardian is the only system in the comparison that achieves autonomous detection—all competing solutions require manual user activation, rendering them ineffective when users are unconscious or incapacitated.

#### Personalisation Effectiveness

The personalisation engine, which adapts detection thresholds over a 7-day onboarding period, reduced false positives by an estimated 65% compared to generic threshold systems. This improvement was validated across 42 simulated participants using the Guardian-Fall and Guardian-NLU datasets.

### CONCLUSION

The AI Personal Guardian represents a significant advancement in personal safety technology. By integrating state-of-the-art artificial intelligence across vision, audio, health, and language domains into a cohesive, privacy-preserving mobile platform, the system addresses the fundamental limitations of existing safety solutions that are reactive, manual, and single-modality. The system successfully demonstrates autonomous threat detection with 94.7% average accuracy, emergency alert delivery in under 2.3 seconds, fall detection sensitivity of 97.1%, and NLP intent recognition at 93.8% F1-score across 12 languages.

The privacy-first design—with AES-256 on-device encryption, zero-knowledge cloud storage, differential privacy in anonymized analytics, and explicit informed consent—positions the AI Personal Guardian as a trustworthy system that individuals can rely on without compromising personal information. The personalisation engine's 65% reduction in false positives makes the guardian genuinely useful in everyday life without alert fatigue. The comprehensive dataset curation effort, including the original Guardian-NLU and Guardian-Audio datasets, provides a foundation for the broader research community working on AI-powered personal safety applications.

### FUTURE WORK

The AI Personal Guardian platform is designed for iterative enhancement across three development phases.

#### Phase 2 (6–12 months)

Integration with low-earth orbit satellite networks (Starlink, Apple Emergency SOS via Satellite, Iridium) will enable emergency alerts in areas without cellular coverage—critical during natural disasters or in rural terrain. Federated learning (using TensorFlow Federated) will allow model improvements to be learned on-device with only gradient updates shared centrally, dramatically improving privacy while enabling continuous improvement. An Augmented Reality Safety Overlay using ARCore/ARKit will highlight persons of concern, mark safe zones, and provide real-time navigation guidance overlaid on the camera view. A dedicated Child Safety Module will offer age-appropriate features including parental dashboards, school geofencing, and cyberbullying detection.

#### Phase 3 (12–24 months)

A Community Safety Network will enable privacy-preserving, anonymized safety intelligence sharing among users, creating a collaborative risk map while protecting individual privacy through differential privacy. An Emotional Well-being Module will analyze voice prosody, typing patterns, and activity data to detect signs of anxiety, depression, or acute stress, providing supportive interventions with explicit user consent. Smart City Integration via API will connect the guardian to municipal CCTV networks, public transport systems, and emergency services dispatch to enrich environmental risk assessment. An Enterprise and Institutional deployment tier will serve corporate environments, university campuses, hospitals, and transportation fleets with centralized management dashboards.

#### Long-term Research Directions

Long-term research directions include: multimodal Large Language Models (LLMs) for richer contextual safety reasoning; neuromorphic computing for ultra-low-power always-on sensor processing; Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) integration for hands-free guardian interaction for users with physical disabilities; predictive safety modeling using historical personal and environmental data; and integration with autonomous vehicle systems for passenger safety during self-driving trips.

### REFERENCES

1. Rahman, M. A., Islam, M. Z., & Kabir, M. N. (2021). A systematic review of mobile personal safety applications: Features, limitations, and future directions. *IEEE Access*, 9, 112456–112481.
2. Sharma, P., & Mehta, R. (2022). IoT-based women's safety system with real-time location

sharing and emergency alerting. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 13(4), 78–85.

3. Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. (2016). You Only Look Once: Unified, real-time object detection. *Proceedings of CVPR 2016*, 779–788.
4. Narayanan, V., Krishnamurthy, R., & Patel, S. (2023). Mobile-class weapon detection using YOLOv8: A deployment study on Android devices. *Proceedings of IEEE ICCV 2023 Workshop on AI for Safety*.
5. Liu, W., Zhang, H., & Chen, J. (2024). Skeleton-based aggression detection for personal safety applications using MediaPipe and LSTM. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 178, 45–53.
6. Piczak, K. J. (2015). Environmental sound classification with convolutional neural networks. *Proceedings of IEEE MLSP 2015*.
7. Guzhov, A., Raue, F., Hees, J., & Dengel, A. (2021). ESCNet: An end-to-end network for audio classification using learnable sinusoidal spectrograms. *Neurocomputing*, 462, 300–310.
8. Mukherjee, P., Das, A., & Roy, S. (2023). Distress sound detection for personal safety using MobileNetV3 and a new annotated dataset. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 212, 118752.
9. Igual, R., Medrano, C., & Plaza, I. (2013). Challenges, issues and trends in fall detection systems. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*, 12(1), 66.
10. Nho, Y. H., Ryu, J., & Kwon, D. S. (2021). Real-time fall detection system using a wearable device and a transformer-based model. *Sensors*, 21(14), 4844.
11. Reiss, A., & Stricker, D. (2023). Personalized heart rate anomaly detection using 14-day baseline calibration for cardiac emergency alerting in wearables. *ACM CHI 2023 Extended Abstracts*.
12. Park, J., Kim, S., & Lee, H. (2023). Safety-domain intent recognition using fine-tuned BERT for personal safety applications. *Proceedings of EMNLP 2023*, 4512–4521.
13. McMahan, B., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., & y Arcas, B. A. (2017). Communication-Efficient Learning of Deep Networks from Decentralized Data. *Proceedings of AISTATS 2017*, 1273–1282.