

BUILDING BEYOND THE RUBBLE: BUILDING CULTURAL ADEQUACY IN POST-DISASTER TEMPORARY HOUSING

Scientific Paper

Authors: Hala Alramahi- ORCID: 0009-0009-4652-409X

Prof.Dr. Nevbahar Atalay

Architecture Department, Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey.

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARCHITECTURE

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of temporary housing in the aftermath of disasters is not only determined by its physical resilience but also by its cultural adequacy, which significantly affects the well-being and recovery of affected populations. This paper examines the role of cultural considerations in the design and implementation of temporary housing solutions. Employing a qualitative methodology, the study analyzes case studies from various global contexts to identify key cultural factors that influence housing acceptance and satisfaction. The research integrates theories from environmental psychology, anthropology, and architecture. The findings underscore the importance of engaging local communities in the design process, respecting local architectural styles, and incorporating culturally specific spatial needs. Based on these insights, the paper proposes a set of guidelines aimed at enhancing the cultural adequacy of temporary housing provided after disasters. These guidelines are intended for use by NGOs, government agencies, and architects involved in disaster response planning.

Keywords:

Temporary Housing, Cultural Adequacy, Post-Disaster Recovery, Community Engagement, Architectural Design.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the aftermath of a disaster, the fast provision of transient housing is essential to deal with the immediate refuge desires of the affected populations. However, the urgency of such responses often overlooks an essential component of restoration: cultural adequacy. Enhancing cultural adequacy in temporary housing no longer simplest entails accommodating the physical requirements of displaced individuals but also embedding the social, cultural, and psychological elements which are important for network resilience and recovery. Disasters strike indiscriminately, but the effects are profoundly nearby, fashioned through the cultural, environmental, and socio-monetary characteristics of the affected regions. The preferred one-length-fits-all technique, commonly seen in the deployment of popular temporary housing answers which include prefabricated devices and tents, regularly fails to deal with those nearby nuances. This neglect can result in extra pressure and alienation for those already traumatized via loss and displacement, undermining the recovery process.

Recognizing and integrating cultural nuances in the design and implementation of temporary housing requires a deep understanding of the affected community's way of life, including family structures, social interactions, religious practices, and traditional living arrangements. This paper explores the importance of cultural adequacy in temporary housing solutions post-disaster, examines challenges faced in various case studies globally, and proposes guidelines to better integrate cultural considerations into future temporary housing projects. By acknowledging the diversity of needs and actively involving communities in the housing design process, we can transform temporary shelters into homes that foster a sense of belonging and pave the way for sustainable recovery and resilience.

2. CONCEPT OF TEMPORARY HOUSING

Temporary housing, as described by Johnson [Johnson, 2007], can be seen either as a transitional phase in disaster recovery or as the physical dwellings where displaced communities reside. It can take various structural forms, such as public housing units, prepared shelters, rental residences, mobile homes, and prefabricated units.

Over the years, different experts have proposed diverse definitions of temporary housing. However, the terms "housing" and "sheltering" are often used interchangeably. Quarantelli delineated four crucial phases of post-disaster housing, wherein "housing" denotes a location for resuming regular daily activities, while "shelter" signifies a temporary residence during the immediate aftermath or peak of a disaster, where regular activities are disrupted [Quarantelli, 2016].

Although the stages of post-disaster housing do not always follow a strict sequence, as noted by Biswas in 2019, temporary housing often serves as a prolonged solution during major disasters, with its usage period typically extending beyond that of emergency shelters or temporary setups. This type of housing allows displaced communities to maintain some semblance of normalcy—working, socializing, cooking, and attending school—amidst the chaos and unpredictability that follow a disaster. Johnson, in 2009, identified two primary types of temporary housing: existing temporary housing, which includes options like rental accommodations already available, and not-yet-available temporary housing, which involves constructions still underway, such as mobile home units. Félix and colleagues further categorized the latter in 2015 into two types: kit supplies, which are assembled on-site, and prefabricated units that are manufactured elsewhere and then installed.

International treaties such as the 1966 International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights and the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights have affirmed the universal right to adequate housing. The United Nations outlines seven essential criteria for adequate housing: security of tenure, habitability, accessibility, affordability, availability of services, location, and cultural adequacy. These elements are crucial for creating a safe and secure living environment. While the concept of housing adequacy has been explored in various ways by scholars, as noted by Ibem and Alagbe in 2015, its definition can vary significantly from one country to another, influenced by unique socio-cultural, economic, and environmental contexts.

Ibem and Alagbe demonstrated in their 2015 study that perceptions of housing adequacy can vary significantly between residents of public housing and design experts, based on an evaluation of 33 specific attributes. Residents base their opinions on their direct experiences with the housing facilities, while design experts often lack a deep understanding of the local context. Moreover, Temporary Housing programs implemented after major disasters frequently result in culturally inappropriate and locally unsuitable solutions, as noted by Félix, Branco, and Feio in 2013. This issue largely stems from the lack of involvement of local populations in the design and construction processes. Such housing solutions tend to be standardized, mass-produced, and prefabricated, often designed by a small group of professionals without sufficient consideration of the cultural preferences of the residents. Consequently, as highlighted by Naylor, Walker, and Suppasri in 2018, post-disaster Temporary Housing programs often adopt a top-down approach that fails to account for user needs, local architectural diversity, and cultural variations [Naylor A, Walker JF, Suppasri A. 2018].



Figure 1. Stages of post disasters housing [Quarantelli EL. 2016].

3. THE REQUIREMENT OF POST-DISASTER HOUSING TO BE CULTURALLY ADEQUATE

Cultural inadequacy is a recurring issue in Temporary Housing programs, as highlighted by numerous researchers such as Bilau, Witt, and Lill in 2018, El-Anwar, El-Rayes, and Elnashai in 2009, and others. These programs often emphasize quick construction, cost efficiency, and the use of lightweight technologies. Yet, critical factors like the indoor hygrothermal environment and socio-cultural dynamics of the communities are frequently overlooked, as noted by D'Orazio and Maracchini in 2019, leading to significant cultural mismatches. Additionally, Perrucci and Baroud in 2018 have discussed how post-disaster housing can pose safety risks and psychological trauma. For instance, the housing responses to the 1995 Kobe earthquake in Japan and Hurricane Katrina in 2005 in the USA faced widespread criticism for social disruptions, privacy issues, and psychological impacts such as domestic violence and post-traumatic stress. Hadafi and Fallahi emphasized in

2010 that Temporary Housing should cater to the spiritual and psychological well-being of the affected individuals.

As communities cope with the loss of personal belongings and displacement, the lack of proper planning often fails to address their need for stability, relaxation, and privacy, as Pasqualis noted in 2016 and further explored by Askar, Rodrigues, Bragança, and Pinheiro in 2019. However, successful global practices offer valuable lessons on integrating cultural adequacy into temporary housing solutions. For example, following the 2004 Chuetsu Earthquake in Japan, a 'localized housing recovery' strategy was employed, utilizing local resources to quickly produce high-quality, culturally appropriate temporary housing. Similarly, the 'Mississippi Cottages' developed after Hurricane Katrina showcased high levels of resident satisfaction and potential for long-term use. Dev and Das have also demonstrated how engaging local communities in the design process can ensure temporary housing reflects local lifestyles and cultural settings. Additionally, the approach of incremental housing links the stages of temporary and permanent housing, leveraging local capabilities to enhance the transition.

4. RESEACH METHODOLOGY

Based on the identifies research gaps this study aims to analyze strategies and factors that enhance cultural adequacy in post disasters temporary housing. As mentioned previously , the concept of adequate housing continues to vary among countries based on the sociocultural, economic, and environmental factors. By examining various case studies, this study seeks to identify effective practices and common pitfalls in incorporating cultural needs into temporary housing solutions. This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing three large-scale disasters events that occurred in three different countries:

1. Bhuj, India (2001 Earthquake)
2. New Orleans, USA (Hurricane Katrina, 2005)
3. Christchurch, New Zealand (2010-2011 Earthquakes) to provide in-depth insights into different contexts and methodologies used globally. The comparative analysis of these cases will help identify best practices and barriers to implementing culturally adequate temporary housing.

4.1. Research Framework

This study employs a comparative case study methodology, which is appropriate for understanding complex issues in real-life contexts. The use of the three mentioned case studies allows for a comprehensive examination of different approaches to enhancing cultural adequacy in temporary housing across varied cultural and geographic settings.

This paper examines three case studies from diverse geographical and cultural contexts to analyze how cultural adequacy was addressed in the temporary housing solutions following major disasters: the 2001 Bhuj earthquake in India, Hurricane Katrina in 2005 in the United States, and the 2010-2011 Christchurch earthquakes in New Zealand. Each case provides unique insights into the strategies employed, the challenges faced, and the outcomes of incorporating cultural factors into post-disaster housing efforts.

The analysis of these cases revolves around several key aspects:

1. Cultural Considerations: How well the housing solutions respected and integrated local cultural norms, architectural styles, and social structures.
2. Community Involvement: The extent to which affected communities were engaged in the planning, design, and implementation processes of the temporary housing.
3. Challenges: Identification of logistical, financial, regulatory, and other challenges faced in making temporary housing culturally adequate.
4. Outcomes: The impact of these culturally informed housing solutions on the speed and effectiveness of community recovery and individual well-being.
- 5.insights gained: Insights and practical lessons that can inform future disaster response strategies to better integrate cultural adequacy in temporary housing solutions.

These aspects are critical for understanding the complex interplay between cultural adequacy and effective disaster recovery, providing valuable lessons for enhancing the resilience and recovery of affected communities worldwide. By exploring these dimensions, this paper will contribute to the broader discourse on disaster management and humanitarian response.

4.2. Case Studies Selection Criteria

Choosing the three case studies from Bhuj, India; New Orleans, USA; and Christchurch, New Zealand for analyzing the enhancement of cultural adequacy in post-disaster temporary housing is particularly instructive for several reasons:

1. Diverse Cultural Contexts: Each case comes from a significantly diverse cultural, geographical, and socio-economic background. Bhuj represents a South Asian context with strong traditional construction practices; New Orleans reflects a diverse, urban American environment with unique local customs and social structures; Christchurch highlights a Pacific Island context where Indigenous communities have distinct cultural norms and values. This diversity provides a broad spectrum of insights into how diverse cultures manage disaster recovery, making it possible to draw more universally applicable conclusions.

2. Varied Disaster Types and Scales: The disasters themselves—the earthquakes in Bhuj and Christchurch, and the hurricane in New Orleans—vary in type and impact, offering insights into how diverse kinds of disasters influence emergency housing needs and solutions. This variety helps in understanding how cultural adequacy can be maintained across different disaster scenarios, each bringing its own set of challenges and recovery dynamics.

3. Innovations and Failures in Temporary Housing Solutions: Each location experimented with different housing solutions, presenting a range of outcomes from successful to notably inadequate. Analyzing these can provide valuable lessons on what practices should be adopted or avoided. For instance, Bhuj's use of local materials and labor, Christchurch's community consultation processes, and New Orleans' struggles with standardized FEMA trailers each offer unique lessons on integrating cultural sensitivity into housing solutions.

4. Impact of Community Involvement: These cases highlight the importance of community involvement in the planning and implementation phases of temporary housing. The degree of community engagement varied widely across the cases, with Christchurch and Bhuj seeing more initiative-taking engagement compared to New Orleans. This contrast underscores how involving local populations can lead to more culturally appropriate and accepted solutions.

5. Documentation and Research: Each of these cases is well-documented in disaster recovery research, providing a wealth of data and analyses for in-depth study. This makes them ideal case studies for academic and practical examinations of how cultural factors influence disaster response and recovery.

6. Long-Term Effects on Recovery and Resilience: The long-term recovery processes in these areas have been extensively studied, providing insights into how initial responses in temporary housing can affect broader recovery and community resilience. This is crucial for understanding the extended impact of culturally adequate responses beyond the immediate aftermath of a disaster.

Studying these cases together offers a comprehensive overview of the multifaceted role of cultural adequacy in disaster recovery, providing critical lessons for improving future responses to ensure they are more culturally sensitive and effective.

5. CASE STUDY ANALYSIS

There are three main subsections in this section, and each one relates to the three case study examples that were examined. The three subsections provide a thorough explanation of how each nation has responded to the need for temporary housing following a disaster, in accordance with the five analysis features outlined in Section 4.1. Table 1 below offers an overview of three disaster examples that have been studied and highlights their consequences for housing.

Disaster Case	Date of Disaster Occurrence	of	Type of Disaster	of Intensity	Housing Destroyed/Damaged	Units	Displaced People	Area of Impact
Bhuj Earthquake	January 2001	26,	Earthquake	7.7 Mw	Approx. houses	339,000	Over 600,000	Kutch District, Gujarat
Hurricane Katrina	August 2005	29,	Hurricane	Category 5	Over 800,000 housing units		Approx. 1 million	Gulf Coast, New Orleans
Christchurch Earthquakes	September 2010 & February 2011	4, & 22,	Earthquake	7.1 Mw and 6.3 Mw	Approx. houses	100,000	Approx. 100,000-130,000	Christchurch, New Zealand

Table.1. Overview of three selected disaster events and their housing-related implications .

5.1. Bhuj Earthquake, India (2001)

The Kutch area of Gujarat, India, was devastated by a 7.7-magnitude earthquake that occurred on January 26, 2001 [BHADA. 2001]. Among the most impacted was the city of Bhuj. Numerous thousands of households were forced to relocate because of the disaster, which claimed a great deal of lives and damaged property. Housing, both temporary and permanent, was desperately needed in the aftermath. With a focus on incorporating cultural factors into the rehabilitation and reconstruction activities, this case study examines the initiatives undertaken to improve cultural appropriateness in Bhuj's post-disaster housing.

5.1.1. Disaster background

The earthquake not only demolished many homes but also significantly disrupted the social fabric of the region, which is rich in unique architectural styles and cultural practices. The traditional Bhunga (mud huts) and other local housing types, known for their ability to withstand seismic activities, were destroyed, raising challenges in reconstruction efforts that needed to respect local architectural heritage and living habits.

5.1.2. Reconstruction Initiatives, Temporary Housing Program and Cultural Inadequacies

The Government of Gujarat [BHADA. 2011], along with various NGOs and international aid agencies, launched several housing reconstruction programs. One notable initiative was the owner-driven approach, which allowed families to rebuild their homes according to provided guidelines and financial assistance. This approach was crucial in maintaining cultural adequacy for several reasons:

1. Respecting Architectural Traditions: The traditional Bhunga homes, characterized by circular walls and thatched roofs, were promoted in the reconstruction efforts. These structures were not only culturally significant but also naturally insulated and energy-efficient, which was vital in the harsh climatic conditions of Kutch.
2. Community Involvement: Local artisans and craftsmen were employed, utilizing traditional techniques that locals were familiar with and emotionally connected to. This involvement helped preserve traditional skills and provided economic opportunities within the community.
3. Flexible Design Options: The reconstruction policies provided flexibility in design, allowing adaptations based on family size, lifestyle, and future expansion needs. This flexibility ensured that new homes could evolve organically, like their predecessors before the earthquake.

5.1.3. Outcomes and Impact

The culturally informed approach to housing reconstruction in Bhuj had several positive outcomes:

1. Cultural Continuity: The rebuilt homes maintained a continuity with historical and cultural norms, helping to restore a sense of normalcy and cultural identity.
2. Community Resilience: Empowering locals through participatory approaches enhanced community resilience. Residents took pride in rebuilding their community, which bolstered communal ties and collective recovery.
3. Sustainable Practices: By using local materials and traditional construction methods, the reconstructed homes were more sustainable and environmentally friendly.

5.1.4. Challenges

Despite these successes, the construction process faced several challenges:

1. Standardization Risks: There was an initial push from some quarters to introduce more modern, standardized housing forms that did not align with local cultural practices.
2. Logistical Constraints: Ensuring that traditional materials and skills were available at a scale necessary for rapid reconstruction was challenging.
3. Financial Limitations: Some families found the financial aid insufficient to cover the costs of culturally adequate rebuilding, leading to variations in reconstruction quality.

5.1.5. Insights gained

The post-earthquake housing reconstruction in Bhuj serves as a significant case study on the importance of cultural adequacy in disaster recovery. It highlights how culturally sensitive approaches can not only meet immediate shelter needs but also support long-term community recovery and sustainability. The Bhuj experience underscores the need for disaster response strategies that are flexible, culturally informed, and community-focused to effectively support the rebuilding process, the following are the gained insights:

1. Disaster recovery efforts must prioritize cultural values to enhance the effectiveness and acceptance of housing solutions.
2. Local involvement in reconstruction efforts not only preserves traditional practices but also stimulates economic recovery.
3. Flexibility in aid and reconstruction policies can accommodate a wider range of needs and conditions within affected communities.

5.2. Hurricane Katrina, USA (2005)

Hurricane Katrina, striking in August 2005, remains one of the most catastrophic natural disasters in United States history, particularly affecting New Orleans, Louisiana. The hurricane and the subsequent failures in levee systems led to widespread flooding, extensive damage to infrastructure, and significant displacement of communities. The emergency response, particularly regarding temporary housing, was criticized for failing to consider the unique cultural and social needs of the affected population. This case study examines the challenges and lessons regarding cultural adequacy in the temporary housing provided after Hurricane Katrina.

5.2.1. Disaster Background

The widespread devastation required a massive and immediate housing response. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) rapidly deployed trailers as temporary housing solutions. However, these units often did not align with the local culture's deeply rooted traditions and social habits, such as communal living and extended family structures typical in New Orleans.

5.2.2. FEMA's Temporary Housing Program and cultural Inadequacies

1. Implementation: FEMA implemented a large-scale deployment of trailers across impacted regions. These units were standardized and not designed with the local climate or cultural practices in mind.

2. Design and Layout: The trailers were compact and isolated, which contrasted sharply with the local norm of spacious homes and neighborhoods that support extensive family networks and outdoor social gatherings.

-Cultural Inadequacies

1. Lack of Community Input: There was minimal consultation with local communities about their preferences and needs for temporary housing. The top-down approach led to solutions that were often perceived as inadequate and insensitive to local customs and lifestyles.

2. Social and Psychological Impacts: The trailer communities sometimes exacerbated social issues. The cramped and uniform conditions led to increased stress, privacy issues, and were not conducive to the recovery of community networks that are crucial for emotional and psychological recovery.

- Innovations and Community Responses:

1. Grassroots Initiatives: In response to the inadequacies of FEMA's housing solutions, several community groups, and non-profits-initiated projects to provide more culturally appropriate housing. For example, the Make It Right Foundation started by actor Brad Pitt involved communities in designing eco-friendly and culturally relevant homes in the Lower Ninth Ward.

2. Adaptation of Housing Units: Some residents modified their FEMA trailers to better suit their living styles, albeit often facing legal and safety challenges in doing so.

5.2.3. Outcomes and Impact

1. Cultural Dissonance: The standard FEMA response did not sufficiently account for the cultural, social, and environmental contexts of New Orleans, leading to widespread dissatisfaction and a slow recovery process.

2. Community Empowerment: The initiatives led by community organizations demonstrated the effectiveness of culturally informed approaches and highlighted the importance of local involvement in post-disaster recovery processes.

5.2.4. Challenges

1. Scale and Urgency: The sheer scale of the disaster and the urgent need for housing solutions posed significant challenges to implementing culturally tailored housing responses.

2. Regulatory and Policy Barriers: FEMA's regulations and the bureaucratic nature of disaster response often hindered flexible, innovative housing solutions that could better address specific cultural needs.

5.2.5. Insights gained

The temporary housing response to Hurricane Katrina highlighted critical gaps in disaster preparedness and response, particularly in terms of cultural adequacy. The case underscored the need for disaster recovery plans to incorporate extensive local engagement and flexibility to adapt to local cultural norms and social structures [WEISS Eric N. 2006]. The following are the gained insights:

1. Importance of Local Engagement: Effective disaster response requires active participation and input from the affected communities to ensure that housing solutions meet cultural and social needs.

2. Flexibility in Disaster Response: Policies and procedures should allow for adaptability to local conditions and customs, enabling more appropriate and effective solutions.

3. Long-Term Planning: Integrating long-term recovery considerations with immediate disaster responses can help ensure that temporary housing solutions transition smoothly into sustainable community redevelopment.

5.3. New Zealand Earthquakes (2010-2011)

The 2010 and 2011 earthquakes in Christchurch, New Zealand, caused significant destruction, leading to loss of life, extensive property damage, and the displacement of thousands of residents [Christchurch City Council.

E.P.A. 2011a]. The recovery process included a focus on providing temporary housing that not only addressed the immediate need for shelter but also considered the cultural dynamics of the affected population. This case study explores the initiatives undertaken to enhance cultural adequacy in temporary housing solutions in Christchurch and evaluates their impact and effectiveness.

5.3.1. Disaster Background

Christchurch's demographic includes a massive portion of Māori and Pasifika populations, whose cultural needs and communal lifestyles are integral to their social structures. The earthquakes disrupted these communities significantly, necessitating a recovery approach that was culturally sensitive and inclusive.

5.3.2. Temporary Housing Initiatives and cultural considerations

1. Government Response: The New Zealand government, through agencies like the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA), coordinated the housing response. The approach [CHA. Community Housing, 2011] included rapid assessment of damage, quick provision of emergency shelters, and the development of temporary housing villages.

2. Design and Implementation: The temporary housing solutions ranged from prefabricated units to renovated existing structures. Special attention was given to ensure that the designs allowed for community spaces and were adaptable to the needs of diverse family structures.

-Cultural Considerations

1. Incorporation of Māori Values: Understanding the importance of 'whānau' (family) in Māori culture, the housing designs incorporated features that supported extended family living arrangements. This was crucial in maintaining the social fabric and aiding psychological recovery.

2. Community Engagement: The recovery process involved significant consultation with local iwi (tribal groups) and other community leaders to ensure that the solutions proposed were in alignment with the cultural expectations and needs of the residents.

3. Culturally Specific Programs: Programs and services specifically tailored to address the needs of Māori and Pasifika communities were integrated into the temporary housing areas, including cultural support services and community-led initiatives.

5.3.3. Outcomes and Impact

1. Community Acceptance: The culturally informed approach was well-received by the affected communities. The engagement processes helped build trust and ensured that the recovery efforts were inclusive.

2. Resilience and Recovery: The emphasis on cultural adequacy contributed to a stronger sense of community resilience. Residents felt their identities and values were respected, which is critical for emotional and psychological recovery after a disaster.

3. Efficiency and Effectiveness: While the response was not without its challenges, the integration of cultural considerations into the planning and execution phases was seen as a positive development in disaster recovery efforts in New Zealand.

5.3.4. Challenges

1. Resource Constraints: Balancing the urgent need for quick housing solutions against the desire to provide culturally adequate housing posed significant logistical and financial challenges.

2. Policy and Regulation: Adapting existing policies to allow for rapid implementation of culturally specific designs required navigating bureaucratic hurdles that sometimes slowed down the response.

5.3.5. Insights gained

The Christchurch earthquake recovery efforts demonstrated the importance of cultural adequacy in temporary housing as a component of effective disaster response. By integrating cultural values and community engagement into the recovery strategy, Christchurch set a precedent for how to approach temporary housing in culturally diverse settings. The following are the gained insights:

1. Early and Ongoing Engagement: Engaging with local communities from the initial stages of planning and throughout the recovery process is crucial for successful implementation of culturally adequate temporary housing.

2. Flexible Design Solutions: Housing solutions that are flexible and adaptable to diverse cultural needs can significantly enhance the effectiveness of disaster recovery.

3. Integrated Services: Providing integrated support services, especially in temporary housing communities, can play a vital role in holistic recovery and wellbeing of displaced populations.

6. DISCUSSION

The three case studies—Bhuj, India (2001 Earthquake); New Orleans, USA (Hurricane Katrina, 2005); and Christchurch, New Zealand (2010-2011 Earthquakes)—provide valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities in integrating cultural adequacy in temporary housing solutions post-disaster. Each case highlights different approaches to the crisis and the varying levels of success in addressing the cultural and social needs of the affected populations.

1. In Bhuj, the response to the earthquake included significant efforts to incorporate local cultural elements into the rebuilding process. The use of traditional Bhunga homes, which are culturally resonant with the local population, helped in maintaining cultural continuity. The involvement of local craftsmen and the use of local materials not only preserved traditional construction techniques but also supported the local economy during the recovery phase. This approach facilitated a sense of ownership and familiarity among the residents, aiding in psychological recovery and community resilience. However, challenges such as logistical issues in sourcing materials and financial limitations highlighted the need for better planning and support systems in ensuring that reconstruction efforts are both culturally adequate and economically feasible.

2. The response to Hurricane Katrina faced significant criticism due to the failure in providing culturally adequate temporary housing. The widespread use of FEMA trailers, which did not accommodate the social and cultural lifestyle of New Orleans' diverse communities, led to numerous issues. These included increased stress, privacy concerns, and a breakdown of community networks, which are vital for collective recovery in such a culturally vibrant area. The top-down approach, minimal community involvement, and a lack of understanding of local social dynamics were major shortcomings. This case underscores the importance of engaging with local communities to understand and integrate their needs and preferences in the disaster response.

3. Christchurch presented a model for integrating cultural considerations effectively. The response involved proactive engagement with the local Māori and Pasifika communities, ensuring that their cultural values were respected in the temporary housing solutions. This was achieved through consultations and incorporating communal spaces and family-oriented living configurations, which aligned well with the communal lifestyles of the indigenous populations. Such culturally informed practices not only enhanced the acceptability and comfort of the temporary housing but also bolstered community ties and resilience. Despite facing logistical and resource challenges, the Christchurch case demonstrated that incorporating cultural adequacy significantly improves the effectiveness of the temporary housing response.

According to table 2 Comparing the three case studies, it is evident that successful post-disaster housing requires more than just the physical reconstruction of lost homes. It demands an understanding and integration of the affected community's cultural, social, and psychological needs. Bhuj and Christchurch show the benefits of community involvement and culturally resonant practices, while New Orleans serves as a cautionary tale of how overlooking these aspects can exacerbate the disaster's impact.

These cases collectively advocate for a disaster response paradigm that is flexible, culturally informed, and inclusive, ensuring that recovery processes not only rebuild places but also restore and reinforce the cultural and social fabric of affected communities.

Table 2 summarizes the critical aspects of each disaster case, highlighting the varied approaches to temporary housing and their implications on the affected populations. It illustrates the importance of cultural considerations and community involvement in shaping the effectiveness of post-disaster housing solutions.

Disaster Case	Location and Year	Disaster Type	Key Housing Challenges	Cultural Considerations	Community Involvement	Outcomes
Bhuj Earthquake	Bhuj, India, 2001	Earthquake	Widespread destruction of traditional housing; need for rapid reconstruction.	Emphasis on local architectural styles and traditional living arrangements like circular Bhunga homes.	High; involved local artisans and builders using traditional construction techniques.	Positive; culturally appropriate housing helped maintain cultural heritage and supported psychological recovery.
Hurricane Katrina	New Orleans, USA, 2005	Hurricane	Massive displacement; insufficient temporary housing solutions that failed to meet cultural and social needs.	Standardized FEMA trailers did not accommodate local social habits, communal living, or respect for privacy.	Minimal; top-down approach with little input from local communities leading to a mismatch between solutions and needs.	Negative; widespread dissatisfaction and psychological stress due to culturally inadequate housing solutions.
Christchurch Earthquakes	Christchurch, New Zealand, 2010-2011	Earthquake	Significant urban and infrastructure damage requiring innovative	Housing designs needed to support extended family structures and community	High; involved consultations with local iwi (tribal groups) and community leaders to	Positive; community-approved, culturally informed approaches

housing solutions.

spaces, respecting Maori and Pasifika cultures.

ensure alignment with cultural expectations.

enhanced psychological recovery and community resilience.

Table.2. Comparison of cultural adequacy for the three selected disasters events temporary housings

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nevbahar Atalay whose expertise, understanding, and patience added considerably to my research experience. I am extremely thankful for the guidance and support she provided throughout this study and for their inspiring suggestions and encouragement. I also wish to extend my thanks to Istanbul Okan University for providing the necessary resources and environment to conduct this research. Lastly, I would like to thank my family for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout my studies. Their belief in my capabilities and their understanding of the challenges faced during my academic journey have been a constant source of strength for me.

8. CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis of the temporary housing responses to the earthquakes in Bhuj, India, Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans, USA, and the earthquakes in Christchurch, New Zealand, illustrates distinct approaches and outcomes in addressing the cultural needs of displaced populations. Each case underscores the crucial role of cultural adequacy in enhancing the effectiveness of post-disaster recovery efforts. In Bhuj, the emphasis on local materials and traditional construction techniques not only preserved cultural heritage but also supported psychological recovery and community resilience. This contrasted sharply with New Orleans, where the lack of culturally appropriate solutions and minimal community involvement led to significant dissatisfaction and adverse psychological impacts, highlighting the pitfalls of a one-size-fits-all approach. Conversely, Christchurch demonstrated an initiative-taking stance in engaging with local communities, particularly the Maori and Pasifika populations, to ensure that the housing solutions supported not only physical needs but also cultural and social structures, thereby enhancing overall community recovery.

These cases collectively reveal that successful post-disaster housing requires more than just rapid shelter provision; it necessitates a deep integration of cultural understanding and local engagement. The significance of cultural adequacy in temporary housing cannot be overstated—it is not merely about providing a place to live but about ensuring that the space is reflective of and respectful to the cultural identities of the displaced. By incorporating local aesthetics, practices, and materials into the construction of temporary housing, organizations can significantly enhance the comfort and acceptance of these facilities among the affected populations. This approach does not just meet basic shelter needs but also promotes a sense of normalcy and continuity, which are critical for mental health and community cohesion after a disaster.

Moreover, culturally adequate housing helps to mitigate the sense of alienation and disconnection that often accompanies displacement. When survivors feel that their cultural norms and values are recognized and integrated into their new living environment, they are more likely to engage positively with the recovery process. This inclusion fosters a community spirit and aids in the emotional healing process, making it a cornerstone of effective disaster response. Involving residents in the planning process and respecting local customs and lifestyles fosters a stronger, more resilient community rebound.

Moving forward, these insights advocate for a more nuanced and flexible approach to disaster recovery, where policies and practices are tailored to meet the diverse cultural needs of affected populations, ensuring a recovery process that is not only rapid but also respectful and robust. This holistic approach ensures that recovery efforts are not only effective but sustainable, paving the way for a more resilient future. As we learn from these varied responses, it becomes clear that integrating cultural adequacy into temporary housing solutions is paramount, serving as a foundation for more comprehensive and successful disaster recovery strategies.

The strategic importance of cultural adequacy in temporary housing is crucial not only for immediate post-disaster recovery but also for long-term redevelopment. Aligning temporary housing with a community's cultural context sets a positive precedent for rebuilding, encourages local participation, and helps preserve cultural identity and continuity. This cultural alignment fosters a sense of ownership and belonging, which can accelerate recovery and support sustainable development. Thus, cultural adequacy in housing should be viewed as an essential component of a comprehensive disaster resilience strategy, ensuring the community's social fabric remains intact through crises.

REFERENCES

- [1] Askar R, Rodrigues AL, Bragança L, Pinheiro D. From temporary to permanent; a circular approach for post-disaster housing reconstruction. *IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci.* 2019;225:012032.
- [2] Atmaca N. Life-cycle assessment of post-disaster temporary housing. *Build Res Inf.* 2016; 5(5):524–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2015.1127116>.
- [3] BHADA (Bhuj Area Development Authority). (2001a). “Draft development plan of Bhuj 2011. Part 1: Existing situation, surveys, studies, analysis, policies, proposals, and programs.” GUDC, GoG, and ADB.
- [4] BHADA (Bhuj Area Development Authority). (2015a). “Development plan of Bhuj for the year 2011.” <http://www.bhujada.com/developmentplaning.htm>
- [5] Bilau AA, Witt E, Lill I. Practice framework for the management of post-disaster housing reconstruction programs. *Sustainability.* 2018;10(11):3929. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10113929>.
- [6] Biswas A. Exploring Indian post-disaster temporary housing strategy through a comparative review. *Int J Disaster Resil Built Environ.* 2019;10(1):14–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJDRBE-09-2018-0037>.
- [7] Christchurch City Council (2011a). Temporary Accommodation Permitted. In: APPROVALS, E. P. A. (ed.). <http://www.ccc.govt.nz/homeliving/buildingplanning/resourceconsents/temporaryaccommodpermitted.aspx>: Christchurch City Council.
- [8] Community Housing (2011). Clusters and Partnerships of Community Housing in New Zealand. CHA Research Report. <http://communityhousing.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2010/05/Clusters-andPartnerships-of-Community-Housing-in-NZ.pdf>
- [9] D’Orazio M, Maracchini G. An experimental investigation on the indoor hygrothermal environment of a reinforced-EPS based temporary housing solution. *Energ Buildings.* 2019;204:109500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.109500>.
- [10] El-Anwar O, El-Rayes K, Elnashai A. An automated system for optimizing post-disaster temporary housing allocation. *Autom Constr.* 2009;18(7):983–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2009.05.003>
- [11] Félix D, Branco JM, Feio A. Improving sustainability and cultural integration in post disaster temporary housing. I-rec conference 2013, sustainable post-disaster reconstruction: From recovery to risk reduction; 2013. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3529.6723>.
- [12] Félix D, Branco JM, Feio A. Temporary housing after disasters: a state of the art survey. *Habitat Int.* 2013; 40:136–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2013.03.006>.
- [13] Félix D, Monteiro D, Branco JM, Bologna R, Feio A. The role of temporary accommodation buildings for post-disaster housing reconstruction. *J Hous and the Built Environ.* 2015;30(4):683–99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10901-014-9431-4>.
- [14] Ganapati, E., and Mukherji, A. (2014). “Out of sync: World Bank funding for housing recovery, post-disaster planning, and participation.” *Nat. Hazards Rev.*, 10.1061/(ASCE)NH.1527-6996.0000120, 58–73.
- [15] Hadafi F, Fallahi A. Temporary housing respond to disasters in developing countries case study: Iran-Ardabil and Lorestan Province earthquakes. *World Acad Sci Eng Technol.* 2010;4(6):1522–8.
- [16] Ibem EO, Alagbe OA. Investigating dimensions of housing adequacy evaluation by residents in public housing: factor analysis approach. *Facilities.* 2015;33(7/8):465–84. <https://doi.org/10.1108/F-02-2014-0017>.
- [17] Johnson C. Planning for temporary housing. In: Lizarralde G, Johnson C, Davidson C, editors. *Rebuilding after disasters: From emergency to sustainability.* London and New York: Taylor & Francis; 2009. p. 70–87.
- [18] Johnson C. Strategic planning for post-disaster temporary housing. *Disasters.* 2007;31 (4):435–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0361-3666.2007.01018.x>.
- [19] Kim, K., and Olshansky, R. B. (2014). “The theory & practice of building back better.” *J. Am. Plann. Assoc.*, 80(4), 289–292.
- [20] Naylor A, Walker JF, Suppasri A. Suitability of the early warning systems and temporary housing for the elderly population in the immediacy and transitional recovery phase of the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami. *Int J Disaster Risk Reduct.* 2018; 31:302–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.05.022>.

- [21] Oggioni C, Chelleri L, Forino G. Challenges, and opportunities for pre-disaster strategic planning in post-disaster temporary housing provision. Evidence from earthquakes in Central Italy (2016-2017). *Ital J Plan Pract.* 2019;9(1):96–129.
- [22] OHCHR. The right to adequate housing. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR); 1991 Fact Sheet No. 21/Rev.1
https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Publications/FS21_rev_1_Housing_en.pdf.
- [23] OLSHANSKY Robert B., JOHNSON Laurie A., HORNE Jedidiah & NEE Brendan, 2008. Longer View: Planning for the Rebuilding of New Orleans, in "Journal of the American Planning Association", n. 74(3), pp. 273-287.
- [24] Pasqualis MB. Temporary houses for post-disaster and social emergencies. *The Plan Journal.* 2016;1(2):185–211. <https://doi.org/10.15274/tpj.2016.01.02.11>. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/225/1/012032>.
- [25] Patel S, Hastak M. A framework to construct post-disaster housing. *Int J Disaster Resil Built Environ.* 2013;4(1):95–114. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17595901311299026>
- [26] Perrucci D, Baroud H. A review of temporary housing management modeling: trends in design strategies, optimization models, and decision-making methods. *Sustainability.* 2020;12(24):10388. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410388>.
- [27] Perrucci D, Baroud H. Improving community resilience through post-disaster temporary housing optimization. Probabilistic safety assessment and management, PSAM 14, September 2018, Los Angeles, CA; 2018.
- [28] Quarantelli EL. Patterns of sheltering and housing in US disasters. *Disaster Prev Manag.* 1995;4(3):43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09653569510088069>
- [29] Song Y, Mithraratne N, Zhang H. Life-time performance of post-disaster temporary housing: a case study in Nanjing. *Energ Buildings.* 2016;128:394–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.07.019>.
- [30] WEISS Eric N., 2006. Rebuilding housing after Hurricane Katrina: Lessons learned and unresolved issues, in "EveryCRSReport".
https://www.everycrsreport.com/files/20061219_RL33761_b3bd9d825d9a2d8b360d377eb4890fbaca8afec3.pdf.