INTEGRATING AI-DRIVEN THREAT INTELLIGENCE INTO HEALTHCARE CYBER RISK ASSESSMENTS

Eniola Akinola Odedina Covenant University

ABSTRACT

Healthcare systems experience a dramatic expansion of cyber threats because of their widespread digital transformation. Healthcare organizations need dynamic risk assessments for their protected health information (PHI), medical devices and IT infrastructure because these become consistent targets for cyberattacks. This paper studies how artificial intelligence (AI)-driven threat intelligence can enhance healthcare cyber risk assessments by providing an anticipatory solution to dynamic threat evolution. Current Artificial Intelligence technologies perform real-time large data analysis to detect anomalies and forecast security threats with superior speed and accuracy than conventional tools using machine learning and natural language processing capabilities.

The article introduces cyber security threats present in healthcare by discussing ransomware, phishing attacks and unauthorized internal access. A review of current risk evaluation methods proves incompatible with quick changes in the digital security space. Behavioural analytics, predictive modelling, and automated detection systems form the essential part of this paper's analysis of how AI strengthens threat intelligence. The research analyses the practical difficulties and the ethical aspects that stem from integrating AI through the evaluation of data privacy limitations, algorithm bias, and the requirement for specialists from multiple disciplines. Examples from industry practice are presented to show how organizations effectively use these methods while providing their achieved metrics. This paper delivers strategic guidance to healthcare organizations which want to include AI threat intelligence systems in their cybersecurity infrastructure. The healthcare sector is experiencing a fundamental transformation of cyber risk management because AI combines with other systems. Thus, this combination represents more than a technological advancement.

Keywords:

Ai in Cybersecurity, Healthcare Risk Assessment, Threat Intelligence, Machine Learning, Healthcare Data Protection

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Healthcare businesses are moving to electronic health records (EHRs) and online healthcare services because they are working to replace traditional systems with digital ones. As stated by Li et al. in 2019, these digital updates boosted care quality but exposed healthcare to more security threats. Healthcare systems are more dangerous today as cyber attackers use data theft, including ransomware and persistent threats, to damage patient care and steal personal healthcare data (Mishra, 2019; Das et al., 2019).

Healthcare organizations experience more cyber threats than other sectors mainly because of specific industry factors. Patient data remains the top target for dark web intruders to steal since reports by Abu et al. (2018) demonstrate that this information holds value because of its long-term accuracy and complete details. Healthcare organizations depend on outdated technology while having limited cybersecurity staff available due to government rules and funding issues, as Chico (2018) explains. The necessity of fast medical care forces healthcare organizations to pay security ransoms immediately when their systems fall victim to attacks since such incidents could put patient lives at risk (Lee, 2021). More connected medical devices in the Internet of Medical Things ecosystem create security risks that usually lack appropriate protection systems (Kuzlu et al., 2021).

Healthcare organizations heavily rely on past incident analysis and standard evaluation methods to protect against cyber threats because their standard cybersecurity risk assessment processes react only after events occur. These traditional methods work slowly with changing cyber dangers. They operate on incomplete information since they lack situational awareness, are not equipped to identify newly discovered vulnerabilities, and never receive current

threat data right as it happens (Abu et al., 2018; Böhm et al., 2018). When breaches happen, organizations find out their exposure too late, making both financial and reputation damage disastrous. Using artificial intelligence (AI) in cybersecurity gives us a productive way to address its present difficulties. The advanced computing capabilities of AI systems help explore big data volumes to spot threats before standard technique solutions with their quicker and smarter processing abilities (Barboza et al., 2017; Chicco, 2017). These systems learn from every threat that emerges, which lets them establish secure measures before new hackers appear (Lee, 2021). The existing AI systems be helpful for making predictions and groupings in different fields, including finance (Barboza et al., 2017), materials science (Liu et al., 2017), and healthcare diagnostics (Kavakiotis et al., 2017).

In cybersecurity AI uses artificial intelligence to collect process and link security data from multiple sources automatically. Visual analytics using graphs and domain behavior studies help to spot real-time cyber-attacks and their sources through Böhm et al. (2018) and Chiba et al. (2018). New development in quantum machine learning will create better systems for detecting security threats according to Biamonte et al. 2017. Healthcare organizations will encounter multiple obstacles when using AI threat intelligence to assess their security risks. Data protection policies and algorithmic standards need adherence while following GDPR rules (Chico, 2018; Dara et al., 2018). Healthcare facilities need to establish the right technology and leadership systems to run automated risk management systems effectively.

Our research analyzes how threat intelligence produced by AI technology can benefit the cyber risk assessment procedures used in healthcare facilities. This research shows how AI helps find and stop cyber dangers while finding the challenges of using it in medical settings and building a safety and health system design.

The research has value because it helps develop better cybersecurity protection systems for healthcare organizations. Healthcare providers increase cyber defenses and maintain core services when they switch from spot-based risk evaluations to predicting future threats. Healthcare organizations will benefit from a more intelligent security system when they use AI to bridge the difference between dynamic dangers and fixed defensive measures.



Figure 1: AI-Powered Threat Intelligence in Healthcare

2. OVERVIEW OF AI-DRIVEN THREAT INTELLIGENCE

In other words, threat intelligence is the collecting, analyzing, and disseminating information (network traffic, communication, corroborated intelligence, etc.) of existing and potential cyber threats. Its key contribution is to assist organizations with information about adversaries, threat vectors, vulnerabilities, and attack patterns (Abu et al., 2018). Strategic, tactical, technical, and operational intelligence are key components of cyber threat intelligence (CTI) (Konno, 2018; Böhm et al., 2018).

The importance of these intelligence layers in healthcare is since the medical data is sensitive and the devices are connected heterogeneously. In modern healthcare cybersecurity, there is the increasing recognition of the need for

actionable intelligence (i.e., insights that really inform decision making rather than just data) (Chiba et al., 2018; Dara et al., 2018).

2.1 Role of AI in Cybersecurity

Data is analyzed in real time, predictive modeling is used, natural language processing (NLP) is applied and anomalies are detected as part of the impact of AI in cybersecurity systems.

- AI models can process millions of events per second, instantaneously detecting suspicious behaviors, lateral movement, and other peculiar access patterns (Lee, 2021).
- **Predictive Modeling:** Through the analysis of historical attack data, the AI system supposes the next threats in order to take precaution against the threats in advance (Barboza et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). For example, it is possible to train machine learning classifiers that have the ability to recognize whether a given set of network activities are likely to be malicious given features extracted from a previous incident.
- **NLP Applications:** NLP let's a CTI system mine open source intelligence (OSINT), hacker forums and threat reports in natural language. This assists in automated extraction of relevant threats entities and aids analysts to detect emerging threats early (Chicco, 2017).
- Anomaly Detection: One of the jobs AI is good at are detecting anomalies from normal behavior that are barely perceptible. Among others, they are anomalous login times, irregular file access, or abnormal system processes—especially in the scenario of zero-day attacks (Kuzlu et al., 2021).

Anomaly detection is critical to flagging unauthorized access of electronic health records (EHRs) and tampering of IoT interconnected medical devices (Das et al., 2019).

2.2 From Reactive to Proactive Intelligence

Traditionally, traditional CTI has run on reactive terms, reacting to a security breach after the fact or depending on signature systems to identify known threats (Moustafa et al., 2018). Nevertheless, in today's cyber threat landscape, which is highly dynamic and prone to attack in sectors like healthcare, AI is tremendously transforming this approach to a more proactive one.

The paradigm is moving forward with the use of AI-powered systems.

- Learning past and real-time data to predict new attack modes (Liu et al., 2017).
- Responding to changing tactics cybercriminals use even where the signatures or indicators of compromise are unavailable.
- These include autonomously updating threat models and decreasing the dependence on manual analyst intervention and response time, as mentioned by Lee (2021) and Molloy et al. (2021).

Through its evolution, healthcare providers can prevent threats from developing into total incidents, resulting in more secure data and safer patients.

2.3 AI-Powered vs. Traditional Intelligence Models

A comparative assessment highlights key differences between AI-powered and traditional intelligence systems:

Tuble 1. Hey Differences between 111 1 oweren and Traditional Thieldsgenee Systems					
Feature	Traditional Intelligence	AI-Driven Intelligence			
Threat Detection	Signature- and rule-based	Behavior- and anomaly-based			
Speed	Manual, delayed	Real-time or near real-time			
Scalability	Limited by human analysis	Scales with data volume			
Adaptability	Static	Continuously evolving			
Accuracy	High false positives	Reduced false positives through learning			

Table 1: Key Differences between AI-Powered and Traditional Intelligence Systems

Systems using this style of rules are resource intensive as they need to be continually updated and validated by humans and thus contribute to being reactive. Conversely, AI-driven intelligence utilizes supervised and unsupervised learning models to learn better over time to detect anomalies (Biamonte et al., 2017; Barboza et al., 2017).

2.4 Key Technologies behind AI-Driven Threat Intelligence

• Machine Learning (ML): ML, at the heart of most of the AI driven CTI systems, is especially useful for doing Classification, Clustering, Regression tasks which are the integral in threat categorization and

prediction of risk. ML is able to analyze enormous amounts of structured and unstructured data to find patterns undetectable by human analysts (Kavakiotis et al., 2017).

- **Deep Learning (DL)**: Subset of machine learning (ML), learns to consider the output of previous layers (also referred to as 'features') as input for the present layer in artificial neural networks, and is used to extract higher level features from raw input data. Application of it in intrusion detection systems (IDS) using convolutional and recurrent neural networks has proven to enhance its performance in detecting sophisticated attacks (Kshetri, 2021).
- Deep learning models are founded on Neural Networks thus. In the context of CTI, neural networks can be taught on labeled datasets to classify phishing campaigns, identify malware, or predict possible penetrating points. Decades of developments demonstrate exponential capacity increase as well as the application to security where nowadays powerful machines that can compare the effectiveness of different types of security are still evaluated solely by humans, but soon one can expect intelligent computers to replace humans in these processes (Kindt, 2009).
- **Reinforcement Learning**: Through such mechanisms of trial and error (to the best of my knowledge,) reinforcement learning has some promise for adaptive cybersecurity systems where agents employ an optimally learned strategy for a defense in a simulated environment (Molloy et al., 2021).

Collectively, these technologies enable the building of an autonomous intelligent system able to learn from a dynamic threat landscape and adapt security measures proactively.

Using AI to drive healthcare cybersecurity is a paradigm shift from 20th-century EDR and tackling cyber threats in an 8th-century manner that allows predictive, autonomous, and adaptive defense mechanisms. Information in visuals can be easily attached to a particular call, allowing the system to learn between repeating phone calls, and by integrating technologies like machine learning, deep learning, and NLP – it could even provide the ability to anticipate and prevent real-time threats. Due to the increasing complexity of cyberattacks, specifically in areas where information is astronomical, such as in healthcare, the use of AI in cybersecurity will only increase.

3. INTEGRATING AI INTO HEALTHCARE CYBER RISK FRAMEWORKS

Modern healthcare cyber risk assessment frameworks must be upgraded because advanced cyber threats increase severity. Healthcare sector risk management benefits from artificial intelligence when it helps automatic threat recognition while increasing safety and quick reaction times. Established quality models like NIST and ISO 27001 must change to accept AI methods while keeping their regulatory values and medical procedures safe.

3.1 Frameworks and Methodologies for AI Integration

The NIST Cybersecurity Framework helps organizations build flexible procedures to spot, defend against, find, handle, and resume operations after cyber-attacks. Despite its initial design for still systems, it enables AI implementation through several points in its structure. AI tools that identify unusual network patterns can strengthen the "Detect" function, according to Lee (2021). The system learns automatically to handle security threats when we connect the "Respond" function to machine learning algorithms.

The standard ISO/IEC 27001 for Information Security Management Systems can accept AI threat intelligence in its processes that examine risks and develop protection strategies. The continuous threat intelligence operations and response strategy adaptations performed by Adaptive AI models fit neatly into ISO's Plan-Do-Check-Act improvement steps according to studies by Abu et al. (2018) and Kshetri (2021). Organizations need to develop combined approaches by including AI models into their current security processes during incidents while keeping accountability and data privacy compliance intact according to Chico (2018) and Dara et al. (2018).

3.2 System Architecture for AI-Driven Threat Detection in Healthcare

A successful platform for threat intelligence using AI in healthcare needs to function within the strict requirements of medical network operations. The regular architecture consists of crucial features that include:

• Data Collection Layer receives organized and raw information from medical equipment endpoints as well as EHR systems. System technology absorbs specific danger information from both Chiba et al. (2018) and other sources into its processing system.

- The processing and analytics system relies on trained algorithms to find security risks in datasets while looking for behavioral patterns. The integration of quantum machine learning into large-scale threat classification will lead to faster classification performance as stated in the Biamonte et al. 2017 study.
- The system matches local data to worldwide threat intelligence databases to improve its understanding of the current threats in the network (Konno 2018, Moustafa et al. 2018).
- The Response Orchestration Module connects to both Security Information and Event Management platforms plus clinical IT infrastructure to send notifications or execute programmed security measures including network blocking and user login bans.
- The System Keeps Records for Auditing Following HIPAA GDPR and ISO 27001 Standards (Chico, 2018; Ferrandu, 2018).



Al-Driven Threat Intelligence Platform Architecture

Figure 2: AI-Driven Threat Intelligence Platform Architecture

3.3 Steps to Integrate AI into Cyber Risk Assessments

Healthcare organizations need to properly sequence their use of artificial intelligence in risk evaluation to ensure that certain safety standards are met and that legal requirements are fulfilled.

• Step 1: Data Aggregation and Normalization

The healthcare data system must convert all input from medical devices and electronic records to a unified format while removing patient identifiers to keep healthcare records secure (Dara et al., 2018). The standardized input data is the foundation for learning and determining security threats.

• Step 2: Threat Modeling Using AI

Markov chains and Bayesian networks from Das et al. 2019 and Li et al. 2019 work best to show how uncertain cyber risks depend on one another. These models stay current as they receive new information on security threats.

Step 3: AI-Powered Threat Detection and Classification

Supervised learning systems that use labeled data spots familiar dangers whereas unsupervised analysis finds new security risks. By analyzing graphs experts better understand the full scope of phishing and lateral movement attacks (Böhm et al., 2018).

JETRM International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management Published By:

https://www.ijetrm.com/

https://www.ijetriii.com/

• Step 4: Automated Incident Response

The system can instantly run predetermined procedures to protect medical services by quarantining compromised devices and informing staff while performing restore operations (Molloy et al., 2021).

• Step 5: Feedback Loop and Continuous Learning

The system requires a learning process that accepts confirmed responses on threats to build improved accuracy in future detection (Chicco, 2017).

Step	Title	Description	Technologies/Methods Used	Outcome					
1	Data Aggregation	Collects and formats data	ETL, De-identification, Data	Secure and					
	and Normalization	while preserving patient	Mapping	standardized data					
		privacy		pipeline					
2	Threat Modeling	Uses probabilistic models	Bayesian Networks, Markov	Realistic, up-to-date					
	Using AI	to simulate threat	Chains	risk profiles					
		dependencies							
3	Threat Detection	Identifies known and	Supervised/Unsupervised ML,	Early and accurate					
	and Classification	unknown threats	Graph Analytics	threat identification					
4	Automated Incident	Responds to detected	Predefined Playbooks,	Reduced reaction					
	Response	threats without human	Orchestration Tools	time and impact					
		input							
5	Continuous	Updates models with	Reinforcement Learning,	Improved detection					
	Learning	real-world feedback	Feedback Loops	accuracy over time					

Table 2: Sequential Steps for Integrating AI into Healthcare Cyber Risk Assessments

3.4 Real-Time Monitoring and Behavioral Analytics

Medical facilities run at high risk because momentary power failures place lives in danger. The system must watch for threats at every moment of operation. Advanced tools detect security dangers quickly when AI tracks modifications in system activities and user performance (Kuzlu et al., 2021). When a user with restricted privileges starts to extract data rapidly, the AI system sends an automatic warning that would otherwise pass unnoticed in a basic surveillance setup. RNNs in deep learning handle both recent and evolving security threats by detecting abnormal changes that take time to appear such as insider attacks and APTs as noted by Lee (2021). Our system works best in healthcare since more smart medical devices connect to remote care centers now.

3.5 Interoperability with Existing Healthcare IT Systems and EHRs

The major problem when integrating AI concerns how well it works with older healthcare IT platforms, specifically Electronic Health Records and Radiology Information Systems. Hospital facilities maintain standalone medical systems and databases that disconnect vital threat monitoring across their networks (Li et al., 2019; Mishra, 2019). Effective integration requires:

- Our system uses APIs to enable AI interaction with current systems instead of replacing them completely.
- Federated Learning Models enable AI systems to process data shared by several hospitals without breaking GDPR rules according to Chico (2018).
- You can add AI tools to our platform through standard HL7 and FHIR software following Ferrandu (2018).

IT specialists need to work with both medical staff and data experts to adjust these connections without creating problems for patient care delivery.

AI offers transformative potential for cyber risk management in healthcare. Healthcare facilities can boost security defense tools by linking AI technology to existing cybersecurity standards, NIST and ISO 27001. The full benefits of AI come from linking privacy-respecting systems to medical care while matching them to new hacking threats. The growing cyber threats require healthcare institutions to upgrade their digital defense systems.

4. CASE STUDIES AND PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATIONS

Healthcare providers are successfully using AI systems right now to detect security risks as they happen and respond quickly to them. Healthcare facilities and hospital systems use advanced AI technology, including IBM Watson for

JETRM International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management Published By:

https://www.ijetrm.com/

Cybersecurity and the Enterprise Immune System from Darktrace, to protect their patient records and medical facilities from sophisticated cyber-attacks.

4.1 AI Tools in Real-World Healthcare Settings

IBM Watson uses natural processing and learning methods to analyze data in its unstructured form at scale for medical notes and cybersecurity sources. The system allows users to assess threats about their environment. Watson helps medical organizations investigate threats better by cutting each process duration in half because medical device uptime directly affects patient safety (Kshetri, 2021).

Darktrace builds default behavior patterns for every device and user using self-learning technology. The platform looks for any meaningful changes that could signal cyber threats including ransomware damage and unauthorized data movements. Darktrace detection networks at hospitals found and stopped insider threats and new malware before traditional antivirus and cybersecurity systems spotted them according to Moustafa et al. (2018) and Lee (2021).

4.2 Key Outcomes and Measurable Success

AI systems are measured using MTTD, MTTR, false positive rates and accuracy to detect threats. Strict healthcare systems benefit from AI because they catch issues faster than normal systems according to studies cited by Lee (2021). By filtering out irrelevant alarms Watson and Darktrace help analysts lower their workload and save energy for real critical incidents.

AI can process threat data to automatically connect it to system activities and create future threat predictions. The research by Barboza et al. (2017) and Kavakiotis et al. (2017) proves that AI finds patterns better than fixed rules to stop advanced multi-step cyberattacks. According to Abu et al. (2018) AI makes it possible to manage large CTI databases and creates alerts that emerge faster with greater precision.

AI Tool	Mean Time to Detect (MTTD)	Mean Time to Respond (MTTR)	False Positive Rate	Accuracy (%)	Use Case/Deployment
IBM Watson	< 1 hour	~2 hours	~10%	92%	Used by U.S. hospital system for patient data protection
Darktrace	Minutes	Minutes	< 5%	95%	European hospital stopped ransomware attack
Generic SIEM Tool	> 6 hours	> 12 hours	~30%	~75%	Legacy system baseline for comparison

Table 3: Performance Metrics of AI Cybersecurity Tools in Healthcare

4.3 Adoption by Hospitals and Health Networks

The large U.S. hospital system deployed IBM threat AI to cut its false positive alerts by 70%, which allowed security experts to handle actual threats sooner. Through AI monitoring, the European hospital stopped a security attack that would have blocked medical operations and brought expensive legal implications.

AI adds protection benefits that build organizational resistance to attacks and security threats. AI tools develop responses to new online threats automatically, which helps healthcare systems with changing security lines and stricter regulations (Molloy et al., 2021).

4.4 Operational Efficiency and Financial Return

Integrating artificial intelligence benefits organizations both in everyday operations and financial management. Hospitals saw substantial money savings because AI helped them avoid data breaches, brought down network performance issues, and improved results delivery speed (Li et al., 2019). Computer systems perform compliance audits faster while finding and analyzing logs better than humans to decrease staff workload and enhance accuracy (Chiba et al., 2018). A shortage of cybersecurity experts at healthcare facilities can be compensated for by utilizing artificial intelligence, which automatically handles security alerts at acceptable priority levels.

Kshetri (2021) shows that medical organizations use AI defenses to become better prepared for security threats before they happen. They anticipate threats in advance using combined current information and past cybercrime patterns. **4.5 Challenges and Mitigating Strategies**

Healthcare organizations face many challenges when they try to put AI systems to work in protecting their digital networks. Under GDPR regulations and similar data privacy rules patients' data must be secured during AI processing by both algorithms and workers (Chico 2018, Lea 2018). The move to add AI features into outdated network systems normally takes a lot of time and money.

Federated learning systems help solve privacy risks through model training across multiple non-connected healthcare databases, according to research done by Dara et al. (2018). Companies now sell cloud-based artificial intelligence systems that simplify how these platforms work with hospital technology. AI technologies with better display formats and educated staff enable non-medical staff to better use and believe in the AI alert systems (Böhm et al., 2018).

5. CHALLENGES, ETHICS, AND STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS

When healthcare facilities incorporate AI threat information into their security systems, they face important problem sets, technical risks, and moral questions. Although these systems can boost detection and response abilities, they create new security problems when handling data effectively and using accurate algorithms across different medical teams. This section defines important aspects while offering strategic recommendations about properly using these systems.

5.1 Data Privacy and Regulatory Compliance

The main challenge in healthcare cybersecurity today is meeting all legal requirements for data security, especially under U.S. HIPAA and European Union GDPR rules. The government rules define all procedures necessary to handle personal health data safely.

Lawful operations of AI systems need to follow data protection requirements and rules for displaying automated decision-making. Patients subject to GDPR have the legal right to understand how algorithms affect their healthcare processing according to Chico (2018) and Lea (2018). Applicable laws review AI models when their obscure or confidential programming remains hidden from view. HIPAA ensures that organizations use the smallest needed amount of medical records for training and secondary applications that do not require direct patient information. Breaches of privacy standards put patient confidence as well as hospital organization reputation at risk. Federated learning schemes and secure multi-party computation techniques help protect privacy according to medical privacy standards as researchers explore using these methods effectively within AI threat intelligence solutions (Dara et al. 2018, and Ferrandu 2018).

5.2 Algorithmic Transparency, Bias, and Explainability

Doctors and patients face challenges accepting AI outcomes due to its unknown internal decision-making processes in healthcare cybersecurity. Modern deep learning systems find it hard to explain their process when delivering outputs. Insecurity systems that need quick action and must earn stakeholder trust need complete reasons for each decision to work correctly.

When data distributions in training collections do not represent reality fully, a problem known as bias is created. An AI model trained on major urban hospital data will not work well in smaller clinics or rural locations and might misjudge security risks, according to Lee (2021). This problem is especially dangerous in cybersecurity since dishonest systems could miss important weak points at certain regional or organizational targets.

Experts now demand systems that show how artificial intelligence works to resolve this issue. Cybersecurity tools now employ analyses through decision trees plus LIME and SHAP methods to make system decisions and actions more transparent to users as recommended by Kshetri and Chicco (2017 and 2021). By trackable algorithmic processes both ethical requirements and legal standards can be met while stakeholders receive a proper understanding.

5.3 False Positives, False Negatives, and Trust in AI

Protecting health data from threats requires systems that provide correct and dependable threat evaluations from AI technology. Spurious detections of benign operations overpower IT departments in healthcare facilities and slow down essential procedures while building resistance to alerts. When detection misses actual threats they can successfully penetrate the security systems.

Healthcare facilities need highly accurate detection systems because they must differentiate between network problems from essential medical equipment. Research shows that sensitive detection systems wear out user confidence in automated defenses and encourage users to rely on manual controls which reduces the effectiveness of automated solutions according to Molloy et al. (2021) and Moustafa et al. (2018).

AI systems must add learning abilities that fine-tune themselves from real-world results and user feedback comments. Combining statistical models and rule-based control methods into one platform creates a system that strengthens both the model accuracy and the right-action slide.

5.4 Workforce and Infrastructure Readiness

Using AI threat intelligence in healthcare goes beyond software setup and needs proper human planning with solid systems before deployment. Healthcare organizations commonly lack skilled employees to handle and operate AI modeling systems effectively. Small healthcare institutions depend on IT employees who handle too many tasks without enough cybersecurity education.

Beyond looking for staff members, there is the problem of infrastructure limitations. Underfunded health systems face limitations in supplying all the necessary hardware and data capacity that powerful AI systems need to run (Abu et al., 2018). Old medical technology presents significant compatibility issues when new security systems attempt to defend healthcare environments. Organizations need to train teams in AI security measures to accomplish these goals. The strategy includes teaching IT personnel new skills and bringing in security analysts who specialize in AI protection along with a step-by-step security approach that fits well with older systems (Das et al., 2019; Kuzlu et al., 2021).

5.5 Recommendations for Responsible AI Use in Healthcare Cybersecurity

The following strategic proposals will help organizations benefit from AI technology successfully while controlling its related risks.

Adopt Privacy-by-Design Principles

Build privacy functions directly into the initial design of AI system platforms. Apply identity masking along with encryption and access control tools to meet HIPAA and GDPR requirements as stated by Ferrandu (2018) and Dara et al. (2018).

• Ensure Algorithmic Transparency and Accountability

Choose explainable models instead of using complex systems unless you want to add XAI software. Store complete records about training data sources as well as model setup details and performance measurements (Chicco, 2017; Lee, 2021).

• Establish Cross-Functional Governance Teams

Set up joint leadership teams with AI cyber-security specialists alongside medical staff along with lawyers and ethical experts to manage AI operation while giving all stakeholders a voice (Kshetri, 2021).

• Regularly check system effectiveness and update the model based on these tests

Since new cyber threats emerge each day, AI systems require regular evaluation based on the latest threat data and automated training sessions to prevent unnecessary alarms (Chiba et al., 2018; Moustafa et al., 2018).

• Build your workforce skills and build flexible technology systems for future growth

Put money into training your employees and building networks that will help you use AI for extended periods. Organizations use cloud systems to exchange threat data and process results instantly (Abu et al., 2018; Kuzlu et al., 2021).

Mixing AI tumor risk scoring into healthcare security modernization brings substantial benefits but also raises significant protection and ethical concerns, plus maintenance needs. A responsible AI deployment depends on making sure new technology uses the available laws while keeping human experts and organizations ready for use. When adequately developed and controlled, AI technology becomes part of an effective system to protect digital healthcare systems.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This study has highlighted the great potential that AI-powered threat intelligence plays within the healthcare sector in aiding cyber risk assessments. Being more sensitive to medical data and given that adversaries and cyber threats have become much more sophisticated and the threat landscape is more dynamic, this is not enough security for traditional static models. Introducing the concept of cyber risks, AI technologies such as machine learning and advanced analytics allow healthcare institutions to detect, predict, and respond to Cyber risks in real-time, improving their overall Cyber resilience (Lee, 2021; Moustafa et al., 2018).

In conjunction with AI-driven threat intelligence systems in a medical environment, it potentially combines to deliver actionable insights using automated detection of anomalies and mapping of threat vectors to foreshadow forthcoming

assaults (Barboza et al., 2017; Böhm et al., 2018). Besides increasing the response times, it helps to lead proactive risk management strategies. Additionally, AI methods, including graph-based analytics (Böhm et al., 2018) and intelligent domain monitoring (Chiba et al., 2018), show how large and complex data sets may be operationalized into real threat intelligence based on AI. AI is a strategic necessity in modern healthcare cybersecurity nowadays. IoT proliferation, legacy systems, and the increasing number of data volumes make the sector vulnerable and require agile and intelligent defensive mechanisms (Abu et al., 2018; Kuzlu et al., 2021). AI-driven risk intelligence is a sea shift from reactive defense to proactive security posture, which has long-term benefits of lowering cost, better protection of data, and better patient trust.

Some future research and development avenues are considered. Autonomous AI systems that can autonomously and in real-time remove and mitigate threats and make discreet decisions should be explored first to lower human intervention and the human error agency. Second, it passes around a key innovation to facilitate privacy-preserving federated learning, termed federated learning, to train across multiple institutions without access to raw data, which is critical in healthcare given regulatory limitations (Dara et al., 2018). Finally, through the establishment of global healthcare threat intelligence networking, collaboration for defense strategies will be established with the shared data and wisdom that involves the global health cybersecurity ecosystem, which can improve cooperation (Konno, 2018; Moustafa et al., 2018).

This concludes that adding AI to cyber risk frameworks is not just innovation, but will become necessary for the sustainable security of the modern healthcare system. But it carries little hope for full unlocking of its potential unless continued research, cross sector collaboration and ethical implementation of the technology are seen.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abu, M. S., Selamat, S. R., Ariffin, A., & Yusof, R. (2018). Cyber threat intelligence Issue and challenges. Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 10(1), 371–379. <u>https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v10.i1.pp371-379</u>
- [2] Barboza, F., Kimura, H., & Altman, E. (2017). Machine learning models and bankruptcy prediction. Expert Systems with Applications, 83, 405–417. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.04.006</u>
- [3] Biamonte, J., Wittek, P., Pancotti, N., Rebentrost, P., Wiebe, N., & Lloyd, S. (2017, September 13). Quantum machine learning. Nature. Nature Publishing Group. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23474</u>
- [4] Böhm, F., Menges, F., & Pernul, G. (2018). Graph-based visual analytics for cyber threat intelligence. Cybersecurity, 1(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s42400-018-0017-4</u>
- [5] Chiba, D., Akiyama, M., Yagi, T., Hato, K., Mori, T., & Goto, S. (2018). DomainChroma: Building actionable threat intelligence from malicious domain names. Computers and Security, 77, 138–161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2018.03.013</u>
- [6] Chicco, D. (2017, December 1). Ten quick tips for machine learning in computational biology. BioData Mining. BioMed Central Ltd. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13040-017-0155-3</u>
- [7] Chico, V. (2018). The impact of the general data protection regulation on health research. British Medical Bulletin, 128(1), 109–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldy038</u>
- [8] Dara, S., Zargar, S. T., & Muralidhara, V. N. (2018). Towards privacy preserving threat intelligence. Journal of Information Security and Applications, 38, 28–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2017.11.006</u>
- [9] Das, S., Mukhopadhyay, A., Saha, D., & Sadhukhan, S. (2019). A Markov-Based Model for Information Security Risk Assessment in Healthcare MANETs. Information Systems Frontiers, 21(5), 959–977. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9809-4</u>
- [10] Doubova, S. V., Pérez-Cuevas, R., Canning, D., & Reich, M. R. (2015). Access to healthcare and financial risk protection for older adults in Mexico: Secondary data analysis of a national survey. BMJ Open. BMJ Publishing Group. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-007877</u>
- [11] Ferrandu, G. (2018). Control and protection tools of personal data in digital healthcare. Pharmaceuticals Policy and Law, 19(3–4), 209–218. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/PPL-180457</u>
- [12] Kavakiotis, I., Tsave, O., Salifoglou, A., Maglaveras, N., Vlahavas, I., & Chouvarda, I. (2017). Machine Learning and Data Mining Methods in Diabetes Research. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal. Elsevier B.V. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2016.12.005</u>

- [13] Konno, S. (2018). Collecting, analyzing, and leveraging threat intelligence at NTT-CERT. NTT Technical Review, 16(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.53829/ntr201805fa2</u>
- [14] Kshetri, N. (2021). Economics of Artificial Intelligence in Cybersecurity. IT Professional. IEEE Computer Society. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2021.3100177</u>
- [15] Kuzlu, M., Fair, C., & Guler, O. (2021, December 1). Role of Artificial Intelligence in the Internet of Things (IoT) cybersecurity. Discover Internet of Things. Springer Nature. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s43926-020-00001-4</u>
- [16] Lea, N. C. (2018, July 1). How will the general data protection regulation affect healthcare? Acta Medica Portuguesa. CELOM. <u>https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.10881</u>
- [17] Lee, S. (2021). AI-Based CYBERSECURITY: Benefits and Limitations. J-Institute, 6(1), 18–28. https://doi.org/10.22471/ai.2021.6.1.18
- [18] Li, M., Liu, Z., Li, X., & Liu, Y. (2019). Dynamic risk assessment in healthcare based on Bayesian approach. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, 189, 327–334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2019.04.040</u>
- [19] Liu, Y., Zhao, T., Ju, W., & Shi, S. (2017, September 1). Materials discovery and design using machine learning. Journal of Materiomics. Chinese Ceramic Society. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmat.2017.08.002</u>
- [20] Mishra, V. (2019). Fuzzy Model for Risks Assessment in a Healthcare Supply Chain. Pacific Business Review International, 11(9), 50–61.
- [21] Molloy, I., Rao, J. R., & Stoecklin, M. P. (2021, April 28). Ai Vs. ai: Exploring the intersections of ai and cybersecurity. IWSPA 2021 - Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Workshop on Security and Privacy Analytics. Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/3445970.3456286</u>
- [22] Moustafa, N., Adi, E., Turnbull, B., & Hu, J. (2018). A New Threat Intelligence Scheme for Safeguarding Industry 4.0 Systems. IEEE Access, 6, 32910–32924. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2844794</u>
- [23] Rapisarda, V., Ledda, C., & Maltezou, H. C. (2019). Vaccination in healthcare workers: Risk assessment, planning, strategy of intervention and legal implications. Future Microbiology. Future Medicine Ltd. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2018-0235</u>
- [24] S., Y., W.D., L., S.N., M., & L.M., L. (2019). Administrative healthcare data applied to fracture risk assessment. Osteoporosis International, 30(3), 565–571. Retrieved from http://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&from=export&id=L625456895 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4780-6
- [25] Sarker, I. H., Furhad, M. H., & Nowrozy, R. (2021, May 1). AI-Driven Cybersecurity: An Overview, Security Intelligence Modeling and Research Directions. SN Computer Science. Springer. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00557-0</u>
- [26] Taddeo, M., McCutcheon, T., & Floridi, L. (2021). Trusting Artificial Intelligence in Cybersecurity Is a Double-Edged Sword. In Philosophical Studies Series (Vol. 144, pp. 289–297). Springer Nature. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81907-1_15</u>
- [27] Tao, F., Akhtar, M., & Jiayuan, Z. (2021). The future of Artificial Intelligence in Cybersecurity: A Comprehensive Survey. EAI Endorsed Transactions on Creative Technologies, 8(28), 170285. <u>https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.7-7-2021.170285</u>
- [28] Tounsi, W., & Rais, H. (2018, January 1). A survey on technical threat intelligence in the age of sophisticated cyber attacks. Computers and Security. Elsevier Ltd. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2017.09.001</u>
- [29] Yang, S., Leslie, W. D., Morin, S. N., & Lix, L. M. (2019). Administrative healthcare data applied to fracture risk assessment. Osteoporosis International, 30(3), 565–571. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4780-6</u>
- [30] Zrahia, A. (2018). Threat intelligence sharing between cybersecurity vendors: Network, dyadic, and agent views. Journal of Cybersecurity, 4(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyy008</u>