

**DIVERGENT PERSPECTIVES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SENIOR
SECONDARY EDUCATORS' INCLUSIVE EDUCATION STRATEGIES IN RURAL
AND URBAN SETTINGS****Sudhish Awasthi**Research Scholar, Pursuing Ph.D. in Education,
IEC University, Baddi, Distt. Solan (H.P.)**Dr. Mamta Sharma**Associate Professor, Research Supervisor,
IEC University, Baddi, Distt. Solan, (HP) India

Abstract

This comparative secondary analysis examines the views of senior secondary school teachers on inclusive education in rural and urban contexts. Inclusive education, a worldwide effort to make sure that all students have equal access and opportunities to participate, has its own set of problems at the secondary level. The primary aim of the study was to juxtapose the perspectives, challenges, and facilitating factors of educators in these two distinct geographical contexts. A systematic review of peer-reviewed literature, policy documents, and pertinent studies from the last two decades was performed to integrate findings across essential parameters, including attitudes towards inclusion, resource availability, pedagogical practices, and community influences. The analysis indicated that although educators in both contexts generally endorse the philosophy of inclusion, considerable disparities are evident in its execution. Urban teachers often have more resources and opportunities for professional development, but they also have to deal with bigger classes and a wider range of students. Rural teachers, on the other hand, have problems with not having enough specialized staff, being far away from other schools, and not getting the right training. The results show that a one-size-fits-all approach to inclusive education doesn't work. The study concludes with recommendations for differentiated policies, targeted professional development (particularly utilizing technology for rural areas), and future research to address these contextual disparities and enhance student outcomes.

Keywords:

inclusive education, teacher perceptions, rural-urban comparison, secondary education, professional development

INTRODUCTION

A fundamental change in educational philosophy, inclusive education promotes fair access and involvement for all students, regardless of their varied needs or backgrounds. This paradigm integrates students with differences into general education settings and places a strong emphasis on social justice and equality. Despite being a worldwide movement, its application differs depending on the setting, especially between urban and rural areas. As key players in this change, educators have a big impact on how well inclusive practices work because of their attitudes, pedagogical strategies, and adaptability. For effective policy development and real-world implementation, it is crucial to comprehend their viewpoints, particularly across diverse geographic contexts.

Contextualizing Inclusive Education in Secondary Schooling

Subject specialization, higher academic demands, and the developmental stage of adolescents present unique challenges for inclusive education at the secondary level when compared to primary schooling. Policies frequently call for inclusion, but in practice, this is rarely done, especially when it comes to giving students with different learning needs the support they need. With many educators reporting inadequate training to effectively support all learners, teacher preparation in inclusive practices continues to be a crucial area. A thorough grasp of teacher perceptions and the contextual elements that influence them is essential to the success of inclusive models.

Statement of the Problem and Research Objectives

There are often differences between rural and urban areas in terms of educational resources and results. This discrepancy also exists in how inclusive education is perceived and implemented. Rural schools frequently function with limited resources and distinct community dynamics, whereas urban schools might enjoy easier access to specialized services and professional development opportunities. There is still a lack of comparative

knowledge regarding how these various contexts affect senior secondary educators' views on inclusive education.

This study compares the views of senior secondary school teachers in rural and urban areas with regard to inclusive education. Particular goals consist of:

- 1) To outline the perceived obstacles and enablers of inclusive education among senior secondary school teachers in rural areas.
- 2) To outline the perceived obstacles and enablers of inclusive education among senior secondary school teachers in urban areas.
- 3) To evaluate and contrast these two groups of educators' perspectives, understandings, and methods regarding inclusive education.
- 4) To determine which urban and rural context-specific elements affect teachers' inclusion strategies.

Scope, Significance, and Rationale for Comparative Analysis

Given the unique pedagogical and contextual requirements of this educational stage, this study only looks at senior secondary teachers. Comparing rural and urban environments provides a more nuanced understanding of the ways in which socioeconomic and geographic factors impact inclusive practices. Developing focused professional development initiatives and legislative solutions that cater to the unique requirements of teachers in various contexts requires this kind of analysis. A wider range of students eventually benefit from the more effective and context-sensitive application of inclusive education policies that result from an understanding of these comparative viewpoints.

Methodology: Comparative Secondary Analysis of Rural and Urban Educators

A comparative secondary analysis methodology was used in this study. This method entails methodically going over and combining the body of research, literature, and policy documents that deal with inclusive education in senior secondary settings in both rural and urban areas. Broad data coverage is possible using the secondary method without the need for additional primary data collection. This makes it possible to spot trends, differences, and similarities in the viewpoints of educators that may not be visible from a single study. Particularly, studies that either directly compared rural and urban environments or offered enough information to suggest such comparisons were the focus of the analysis.

Selection Criteria for Literature and Data Sources

To guarantee rigor and relevance, the literature and data sources for this comparative secondary analysis were chosen according to certain standards. Peer-reviewed journal articles, dissertations, and official reports written in English were all included in the inclusion criteria. The sources had to specifically discuss pedagogical practices, teacher perspectives, or inclusive education in secondary school settings. Additionally, studies that included data or discussions specifically about rural or urban educational settings, or that permitted implicit comparisons between these contexts, were given preference. Excluded were studies that only addressed primary education or general policy without considering the viewpoints of educators. In order to represent current inclusive education paradigms, the chosen literature typically covered the last 20 years.

Analytical Framework and Comparative Parameters

In order to systematically compare the perspectives of educators in rural and urban areas, the analytical framework for this comparative secondary analysis was organized around a number of important parameters.

Among these parameters were:

Attitudes Toward Inclusion: Analyzing teachers' convictions, principles, and comfort levels with regard to integrating students with different needs.

1. **Pedagogical Knowledge and Skills:** Evaluating actual teaching methods used in inclusive classrooms, perceived competence, and reported training levels.
2. **Resource Availability and Support Systems:** Examining the availability and perceived sufficiency of administrative support, infrastructure, specialized staff, and materials.
3. **Perceived Barriers and Challenges:** Determining typical roadblocks to successful inclusion, like big class sizes, rigid curricula, or a lack of time.
4. **Community and Parental Involvement:** Examining how the local community and parents can support inclusive practices.

These criteria were used to extract and categorize data from chosen sources, enabling a direct comparison of rural and urban settings.

Limitations of the Secondary Method

The secondary analysis approach has inherent limitations even though it offers a comprehensive overview. Reliance on prior research implies that the original research designs limit the specificity and depth of data.

Direct comparisons can be made more difficult by differences in the original sources' methods, definitions of "rural" and "urban," and the particular inclusion policies used in various nations or regions. Additionally, there may be gaps in the comparative analysis as a result of the literature's uneven coverage of educator perspectives in the two contexts. Because there is no direct contact with educators, subtle, in-the-moment insights are lost. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the secondary approach provides insightful synthesized knowledge that identifies more general trends and areas in need of primary research.

Review of Thematic Literature: Differing Views on Inclusive Education

The literature review looks at the different viewpoints that senior secondary teachers in rural and urban areas hold, as well as the conceptual foundations of inclusive education. This section synthesizes findings across four thematic areas: conceptual foundations, differential attitudes, resource disparities, and socio-cultural influences.

Conceptual Foundations and Policy Contexts of Inclusive Education

Globally, inclusive education is acknowledged as a teaching strategy that guarantees all students, irrespective of their background or ability, receive high-quality instruction in general education classrooms. This framework, which is based on social justice and human rights principles, aims to end discriminatory practices. International agreements that support inclusive primary and secondary education include the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. However, depending on how local and national policies are interpreted, this philosophy's actual application frequently varies. For successful implementation, policies frequently place a strong emphasis on teacher preparation and assistance. Despite philosophical agreement, there are still many obstacles in converting policy into reliable, excellent inclusive practices in a variety of educational contexts.

Differential Attitudes toward Inclusion in Rural and Urban Contexts

The success of inclusive education is greatly influenced by the attitudes of educators. Research indicates that teachers have generally favorable opinions about the idea of inclusion. Teachers' perceived self-efficacy and comfort levels in handling diverse classrooms, however, can differ. Teachers may experience a greater variety of students in urban environments and gain greater access to specialized services, which could promote more flexible attitudes. However, despite their strong ties to their communities, rural educators may experience loneliness and a lack of professional networks, which may affect how they view inclusion, especially when it comes to students with complex needs. According to research, teachers' inclusive practices can be positively impacted by specialized pedagogical knowledge training.

Institutional Resources and Professional Development Disparities

One significant difference between secondary schools in rural and urban areas is access to institutional resources and professional development opportunities. The ability of urban schools to provide inclusive education is directly impacted by their often better infrastructure, greater availability of specialized support staff, and more reliable funding sources. This covers having access to educational resources, assistive technology, and qualified teaching professionals. Rural schools, on the other hand, frequently struggle with a lack of resources, such as fewer certified teachers and restricted access to professional development tailored to inclusive practices. Although there are some training programs, context-specific issues may limit their efficacy. A teacher's workload may increase and their confidence in using inclusive strategies may decline if they receive insufficient support.

Cultural, Socioeconomic, and Community Influences on Educator Perspectives

Teachers' views on inclusive education are greatly influenced by the larger cultural, socioeconomic, and community contexts. Strong communal ties and a localized cultural identity are common in rural communities, which can either create special support networks or, on the other hand, pose privacy and social stigma issues. Teachers in these fields might have more intimate, long-standing ties to families, which can help them better understand the needs of their students but can also make professional boundaries more difficult. Teachers are exposed to a greater range of learning needs and cultural backgrounds in urban settings, which are distinguished by greater population and socioeconomic diversity. Because of this diversity, responsive pedagogies and culturally inclusive practices are required. A crucial component of successful inclusion is parental involvement, which varies between these contexts. Parents in rural areas may report less community involvement than their urban counterparts.

Analysis and Discussion: Implications of Divergent Educator Perspectives

Different implications for practice and policy are revealed by comparing the views of senior secondary educators in rural and urban areas regarding inclusive education. How inclusion is perceived and applied in these contexts is directly impacted by differences in training, resources, and community settings. These implications are summarized in this section, which also looks at how they affect teaching methods, identifies enduring obstacles, and suggests ways to bring people together.

Impact on Pedagogical Practices and Student Outcomes

Contextual realities and differing educator viewpoints have a big impact on pedagogical approaches and, consequently, student outcomes. Teachers may feel more prepared to use co-teaching models, differentiated instruction, and individualized support in urban secondary schools because resources are frequently more plentiful there. This may result in more specialized learning opportunities for a range of students, which could raise achievement and engagement levels. On the other hand, rural educators might use more generic teaching strategies due to a lack of specialized staff and professional development opportunities, which could result in less specialized support for students with particular needs. Lack of thorough pedagogical training for inclusion may make it more difficult for teachers to modify their instruction to fit the varied needs of their students. Students' experiences may differ as a result of this discrepancy in pedagogical ability, with those in rural areas with limited resources possibly receiving less specialized assistance.

Barriers to Effective Implementation of Inclusive Education

The successful implementation of inclusive education is hampered by a number of obstacles, some of which are specific to rural and urban settings. One common obstacle identified in the literature is teachers' lack of professional development in inclusive pedagogy. Many educators rely on pre-existing curricula that might not be sufficiently flexible because they lack the confidence and knowledge necessary to support students with disabilities.

Other obstacles in rural areas include:

- 1) Restricted availability of specialized staff and support services, like special education coordinators or therapists.
- 2) Geographical isolation may limit opportunities for peer collaboration and continuous professional development.
- 3) Lack of resources, which impacts the accessibility of infrastructure and adaptive materials.
- 4) Despite frequently having greater resources, urban contexts encounter obstacles like
- 5) Large class sizes and high student-teacher ratios make it more difficult to provide individualized attention.
- 6) Complex legal and administrative issues that lead to bureaucratic roadblocks.
- 7) A range of cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds that call for highly specialized and culturally sensitive methods.

Both environments also face psychological obstacles among students and, occasionally, resistance from teachers who lack confidence or feel overburdened.

Opportunities for Bridging Rural-Urban Divides in Inclusive Practice

Opportunities exist to close the gap between rural and urban areas in inclusive education, notwithstanding the disparities that have been identified. By utilizing technological advancements, rural educators can reduce geographical isolation by having access to online professional development modules and virtual consultations with experts. Networks of cooperation between school districts in rural and urban areas could make it easier to share resources, mentorship initiatives, and expertise. Differentiated funding models that give rural areas more resources to meet their unique needs should be taken into account in policy interventions. Additionally, regardless of their final placement, teacher training programs must continuously incorporate comprehensive inclusive pedagogy to prepare all teachers for diverse classroom environments. By focusing on culturally sensitive instruction and community involvement, inclusive practices can be strengthened in both contexts, addressing the various needs of urban populations and fostering new community relationships in rural areas.

CONCLUSION

The viewpoints of senior secondary teachers in rural and urban areas with regard to inclusive education have been methodically investigated in this comparative secondary analysis. The study found that although inclusion is widely supported philosophically, its actual application and the difficulties faced differ greatly depending on the location. Important differences were found in the types of community engagement, professional development opportunities, and resource availability, all of which influence the attitudes and pedagogical strategies of educators.

Synthesis of Key Findings

One of the main conclusions is that teachers in both contexts consistently demand more professional development in inclusive pedagogy. Even though urban educators typically have greater resources, they still have to deal with complex student diversity and larger class sizes. Geographic isolation, a lack of specialized support, and hiring qualified staff are some of the unique challenges faced by educators in rural areas. Their

ability to adopt successful inclusive practices is directly impacted by these disparities. Despite being widely accepted as advantageous, the role of community and parental involvement also presents unique dynamics in each setting. Ultimately, these contextual factors have a significant impact on the effectiveness of inclusive education, necessitating customized approaches rather than a one-size-fits-all strategy.

Recommendations for Policy, Practice, and Future Research

Several suggestions for practice, policy, and further research are made in light of the analysis:

For Policy:

1. Put in place differentiated funding models that give rural secondary schools more funding and incentives for hiring specialized staff.
2. Create national inclusive education frameworks that acknowledge the distinct rural and urban contexts and permit local adaptation.
3. All secondary teachers should be required to complete and receive continuous professional development in inclusive pedagogy, using technology to facilitate remote access.

For Exercise:

1. Create networks of interschool collaboration to promote resource sharing and peer mentoring between rural and urban districts.
2. Encourage the use of culturally sensitive teaching methods that take into account each student's unique background.
3. Urge school administrators to support teacher-led efforts for inclusive practices by giving them the freedom and time to be creative.

For Future Studies:

1. To evaluate the long-term effects of focused professional development programs on teacher attitudes and student outcomes in both contexts, conduct longitudinal studies.
2. Examine particular successful tactics used by rural schools that prioritize inclusivity and consider how they could be expanded or modified.
3. Examine how school leadership contributes to the development of an inclusive culture by contrasting leadership styles in urban and rural settings.

REFERENCES:

- 1) Bayram, B., & Öztürk, M. (2020). Opinions and Practices of Social Studies Teachers on Inclusive Education. In *Education and Science* (Vol. 46, Issue 206, pp. 355–377). Turkish Education Association. <https://doi.org/10.15390/eb.2020.9179>
- 2) Bombardelli, O. (2020). Inclusive education and its implementation: International practices. In *Education & Self Development* (Vol. 15, Issue 3, pp. 37–46). Kazan Federal University. <https://doi.org/10.26907/esd15.3.03>
- 3) Huda, M. M., Mudjito, M., & Handayani, W. (2018). Disparities in the Implementation of Inclusive Education in Junior High Schools. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Education Innovation (ICEI 2018)*. Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icei-18.2018.80>
- 4) (2020). Practice and innovation inclusive education in pre-school institutions. In *Journal For Educators, Teachers And Trainers* (Vol. 11, Issue 01). Siree Journals. <https://doi.org/10.47750/jett.2020.11.01.005>
- 5) (2016a). Inclusive Educational Practices and Teachers' Skills for Educational Support Services to Students with Learning Disabilities; the Case of Cameroon. In *International Journal of History and Cultural Studies* (Vol. 2, Issue 4). ARC Publications Pvt Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2454-7654.0204003>
- 6) CHRZANOWSKA, I. (2019). Inclusive education in the opinion of the teachers from special pre-schools, regarding the chances of success of the inclusive actions towards particular groups of students, education participants, and teachers' seniority. In *Interdyscyplinarne Konteksty Pedagogiki Specjalnej* (Issue 25, pp. 127–149). Adam Mickiewicz University Poznan. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ikps.2019.25.06>
- 7) Moliner, O., Sales, A., Ferrández, R., & Traver, J. (2011). Inclusive cultures, policies and practices in Spanish compulsory secondary education schools: teachers' perceptions in ordinary and specific teaching contexts. In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 15, Issue 5, pp. 557–572). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603110903165158>

- 8) Matveieva, N. (2019). Inclusive Education in Schools: Organizational-Pedagogical Aspects of the Problem. In *Journal of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University* (Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 80–87). Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University. <https://doi.org/10.15330/jpnu.5.1.80-87>
- 9) (2016b). The Effect of Pedagogical Knowledge Training on the Development of Inclusive Practices for Primary School Teachers: The Case of Buea Sub-Division, South West Region of Cameroon. In *International Journal of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education* (Vol. 3, Issue 7). ARC Publications Pvt Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0381.0307007>
- 10) Altun, Z. D., & Eyüpoğlu, G. (2018). Investigating Views and Practices of Music Teachers' about Inclusive Education. In *Journal of Education and Training Studies* (Vol. 6, Issue 11, p. 83). Redfame Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v6i11.3466>
- 11) Bhehlol, M. G. (2011). INCLUSIVE EDUCATION: PREPARATION OF TEACHERS, CHALLENGES IN CLASSROOM AND FUTURE PROSPECTS. In *Pakistan Journal of Education* (Vol. 28, Issue 2). Allama Iqbal Open University. <https://doi.org/10.30971/pje.v28i2.116>
- 12) Opoku-Asare, N. A. A., & Siaw, A. O. (2015). Rural–Urban Disparity in Students' Academic Performance in Visual Arts Education. In *Sage Open* (Vol. 5, Issue 4). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244015612523>
- 13) Lowe, J. M. (2018). Rural Education. In *The Rural Educator* (Vol. 27, Issue 2). Mississippi State University Libraries - DIGITAL COMMONS JOURNALS. <https://doi.org/10.35608/ruraled.v27i2.495>
- 14) Nyatuka, B. O. (2019). Transition and Participation of Rural Students in Higher Education: Barriers and Opportunities. In *Journal of Education, Teaching and Social Studies* (Vol. 1, Issue 2, p. p94). Scholink Co, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.22158/jetss.v1n2p94>
- 15) Zelina, M. (2020). Interviews with Teachers about Inclusive Education. In *Acta Educationis Generalis* (Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp. 95–111). Walter de Gruyter GmbH. <https://doi.org/10.2478/atd-2020-0012>
- 16) Horne, P. E., Timmons, V., & Adamowycz, R. (2008). Identified Teacher Supports for Inclusive Practice. In *Exceptionality Education International* (Vol. 18, Issue 3). University of Western Ontario, Western Libraries. <https://doi.org/10.5206/eei.v18i3.7627>
- 17) Kumar, B. (2018). INCLUSIVE EDUCATION-NEW CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES. In *International Journal of Technical Research & Science* (Vol. 3, Issue X). Institute of Technical and Scientific Research. <https://doi.org/10.30780/ijtrs.v3.i10.2018.005>
- 18) Loreman, T., McGhie-Richmond, D., Barber, J., & Lupart, J. (2009). Parent Perspectives on Inclusive Education in Rural Alberta, Canada. In *Exceptionality Education International* (Vol. 19, Issue 2). University of Western Ontario, Western Libraries. <https://doi.org/10.5206/eei.v19i2.7641>
- 19) Staley, B., Freeman, L., Tipungwuti, B., King, K., Mullins, M., Portaminni, E., Puantulura, R., Williams, M., Jason, N., & Busch, A. (2019). Barriers to inclusion: Aboriginal pre-service teachers' perspectives on inclusive education in their remote Northern Territory schools. In *Learning Communities: International Journal of Learning in Social Contexts* (Vol. 25, pp. 78–89). Northern Institute. <https://doi.org/10.18793/lcj2019.25.08>
- 20) Wearmouth, J., Edwards, G., & Richmond, R. (2000). Teachers' professional development to support inclusive practices. In *Journal of In-Service Education* (Vol. 26, Issue 1, pp. 49–61). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674580000200111>
- 21) Asamoah, E., Ofori-Dua, K., Cudjoe, E., Abdullah, A., & Nyarko, J. A. (2018). Inclusive Education: Perception of Visually Impaired Students, Students Without Disability, and Teachers in Ghana. In *Sage Open* (Vol. 8, Issue 4). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018807791>
- 22) Saloviita, T. (2017). How common are inclusive educational practices among Finnish teachers? In *International Journal of Inclusive Education* (Vol. 22, Issue 5, pp. 560–575). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2017.1390001>
- 23) Potgieter-Groot, L., Visser, M., & Lubbe-de Beer, C. (2012). Emotional and behavioural barriers to learning and development in the inclusive education classrooms in South Africa: Developing a training programme for teachers. In *Journal of Child & Adolescent Mental Health* (Vol. 24, Issue 1, pp. 59–71). National Inquiry Services Center (NISC). <https://doi.org/10.2989/17280583.2011.639775>
- 24) Nganga, L., Madrid Akpovo, S., & Kambutu, J. (2020). Culturally Inclusive and Contextually Appropriate Instructional Practices: Rethinking Pedagogical Perspectives, Practices, Policies, and Experiences in Early Childhood Education Programs. In *Journal of Research in Childhood*

- Education* (Vol. 34, Issue 1, pp. 2–5). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02568543.2019.1697153>
- 25) Carneiro, D. O., Oliveira, C. R. M. de, Oliveira, J. B. A. de, & Santos, C. S. dos. (2020). Educação ambiental escolar: percepções, pertencimento e práticas pedagógicas de professoras da Educação do Campo / Environmental education in school: perceptions, belonging and pedagogical practices of rural education teachers. In *Brazilian Journal of Animal and Environmental Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 4, pp. 3759–3770). BJAER - Brazilian Journal of Animal and Environmental Research. <https://doi.org/10.34188/bjaerv3n4-077>
- 26) Burnard, P., Dillon, S., Rusinek, G., & Sæther, E. (2008). Inclusive pedagogies in music education: a comparative study of music teachers' perspectives from four countries. In *International Journal of Music Education* (Vol. 26, Issue 2, pp. 109–126). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0255761407088489>
- 27) Holtmann, A. C. (2016). Excellence through equality of opportunity – Increasing the social inclusiveness of education systems benefits disadvantaged students without harming advantaged students. In *Models of Secondary Education and Social Inequality*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781785367267.00012>
- 28) Manina, V. A., Mugil', Y. V., & Spasenkova, S. V. (2020). Psychological and pedagogical conditions for overcoming psychological barriers among university students from rural areas. In *Психолог* (Issue 2, pp. 57–69). Aurora Group, s.r.o. <https://doi.org/10.25136/2409-8701.2020.2.32401>
- 29) Martínez-Monés, A., Villagrà-Sobrino, S., Georgiou, Y., Ioannou, A., & Ruiz, M. J. (2019). The INTELed pedagogical framework. In *Proceedings of the XX International Conference on Human Computer Interaction* (pp. 1–4). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3335595.3335652>
- 30) Ní Bhroin, Ó., & King, F. (2019). Teacher education for inclusive education: a framework for developing collaboration for the inclusion of students with support plans. In *European Journal of Teacher Education* (Vol. 43, Issue 1, pp. 38–63). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2019.1691993>
- 31) Alexiadou, N., & Essex, J. (2015). Teacher education for inclusive practice – responding to policy. In *European Journal of Teacher Education* (Vol. 39, Issue 1, pp. 5–19). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2015.1031338>