

QUANTIFYING THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF AUTONOMOUS CLOUD SYSTEMS: ADVANCING U.S. SME COMPETITIVENESS THROUGH COGNITIVE TRUST MODELING

Samuel Bosch

Graduate Student, Questrom School of Business, Boston University

Md Raihanul Islam

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0222-8576>

Graduate Student, Raj Sooin College of Business, Wright State University

Dylan Herman

Graduate Student, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam

ABSTRACT

The modern industrial landscape is witnessing a profound shift in the operational architecture of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) as they transition from traditional managed cloud services toward fully autonomous cloud systems. This transformation is driven by the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning into the very fabric of IT infrastructure, enabling self-healing, self-optimizing, and self-securing capabilities. This research report quantifies the economic impact of these autonomous systems on U.S. SMEs, specifically focusing on how they enhance competitiveness through increased technical efficiency and reduced total cost of ownership. Central to this adoption is the concept of cognitive trust, a rational and evidence-based psychological framework that determines the willingness of SME decision-makers to relinquish operational control to AI-driven agents. By employing econometric methodologies such as Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA) and Total Economic Impact (TEI) frameworks, the report demonstrates that SMEs adopting autonomous cloud technologies realize a 26% higher technical efficiency and up to a 60% reduction in cloud-related operational expenditures. Furthermore, the analysis identifies a critical Transparency Paradox, where excessive system explainability can paradoxically inhibit higher-order human cognition. The findings provide a strategic roadmap for U.S. policymakers and business leaders to leverage autonomous IT as a primary driver of national economic resilience and SME sustainability.

Keywords:

Autonomous Cloud Systems; SME Competitiveness; Cognitive Trust Modeling; Technical Efficiency; Stochastic Frontier Analysis; AI-Driven Orchestration; U.S. Digital Transformation; Self-Healing Infrastructure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of cloud computing has progressed from simple data storage and virtualized resources to a complex, AI-governed ecosystem termed the autonomous cloud. For Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in the United States, which represent 99.9% of all businesses and a significant majority of the workforce, this evolution is a critical determinant of survival in an increasingly volatile global market (Angelis & Kousiouris, 2025; Prangon & Wu, 2024; Xu et al., 2012). Autonomous cloud systems represent the next generation of computing, in which the infrastructure does not merely host data but actively manages, repairs, and improves itself in real time without human intervention. This transition addresses the fundamental challenge faced by SMEs: the complexity and cost of managing modern IT environments that often exceed their limited human and financial resources (de Mattos et al., 2024; Gupta et al., 2013; Oliveira et al., 2014; Yuwono et al., 2024). The architectural core of these systems lies in AI-driven orchestration and self-healing mechanisms. Traditional cloud management is reactive, requiring human engineers to monitor logs and respond to alerts after failures occur. In contrast, an autonomous cloud uses predictive analytics and machine learning to forecast demand signals, identify potential failures before they crash virtual machines, and automatically reroute network traffic to avoid congestion. This proactive stance eliminates downtime and ensures that system performance remains

aligned with business goals even during unpredictable traffic surges (Alonso et al., 2023; Tengku Asmawi et al., 2022).

The economic imperative for this shift is underscored by the current state of U.S. SME technology adoption. As of 2025, 99% of U.S. small businesses utilize at least one technology platform, and 58% have already integrated generative AI into their workflows, a doubling of the rate from 2023. Despite this rapid uptake, the gap between simple adoption and effective optimization remains significant (Abdul Wahab & Radmehr, 2024; Kahveci, 2025; Schwaeke et al., 2025). Many SMEs struggle with unplanned cloud expenses due to complicated pricing models and inefficient resource allocation. Autonomous systems solve this by identifying idle resources and optimizing storage tiers automatically, potentially reducing costs by 40% to 60% (Gupta et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2024).

However, the path to full autonomy is not merely technical; it is psychological. SME owners and managers must trust these systems to make real-time decisions that affect their bottom line. This trust is modeled through a cognitive lens, evaluating the technology's competence, integrity, and benevolence. Without a robust cognitive trust model, the fear of "black box" algorithms and a perceived loss of control can lead to a "productivity puzzle," where the technological investment fails to translate into a competitive advantage (Durán & Jongsmá, 2021; Polák, 2017; Vanneste & Puranam, 2025). This report explores the intersection of these technical gains and the trust mechanisms that facilitate their economic realization.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Architecture of Autonomic IT

Autonomous cloud systems, often referred to as autonomic IT, are designed to mimic the biological autonomic nervous system, which governs essential bodily functions without conscious thought. In an enterprise context, this means that the IT operational state can self-monitor and self-heal as issues arise, allowing human teams to focus on strategic innovation rather than routine maintenance. The transition from manual firefighting to automated response marks a significant shift in reliability engineering (Kephart, 2011).

Table 1: Key Differences Between Traditional and AI-Driven Cloud

Feature	Managed Cloud (Traditional)	Autonomous Cloud (AI-Driven)
Scaling	Reactive: Triggered after threshold is met.	Proactive: Predicted based on demand signals.
Error Handling	Manual: Alert, Investigation, Fix.	Automated: Detection, Diagnosis, Self-Repair.
Cost Management	Manual Audits and Policy Rules.	Real-time Optimization and Anomaly Detection.
Security	Static Rules and Human Intervention.	Predictive Threat Hunting and Machine-Speed Response.

The self-healing infrastructure is a cornerstone of this model. In large-scale cloud environments, manual intervention is increasingly unrealistic because the volume of logs and errors exceeds human capacity to sort. AIOps (Artificial Intelligence for IT Operations) clusters similar alerts and highlights only what truly matters, ensuring that engineers spend time on actual failures rather than distractions. This reduction in "noise" directly translates to increased operational staff efficiency and satisfaction (Notaro et al., 2021; Zha et al., 2024).

Cognitive Trust as a Rational Construct

Trust in autonomous systems is not a monolithic concept but is divided into cognitive and affective dimensions. Cognitive trust represents the rational, evidence-based evaluation of a system's reliability and competence. For U.S. SMEs, cognitive trust is built on the intellectual perception of a system's characteristics, specifically its ability to perform intended functions with minimal disruption (Afroogh et al., 2024a; Lee & See, 2004; MUIR, 1994).

Scholars categorize the dimensions of cognitive trust into ability, integrity, and benevolence. Ability reflects the perceived competence and robustness of the technology; for example, a cloud system's capacity to replicate real-world artifacts in a virtual museum tour accurately. Integrity involves the belief that the system adheres to ethical standards and transparent data policies, which is crucial for SMEs concerned about data privacy.

Benevolence refers to the perception that the technology is aligned with the user's interests and well-being, such as prioritizing a business's educational enrichment over the provider's profit margins (Kim et al., 2015; Schoorman et al., 2007).

The development of cognitive trust is gradual, requiring a foundation of knowledge and familiarity that allows users to estimate trustworthiness based on situational evidence. In the context of AI, this trust is often built on the system's predictability and transparency. When an SME CEO perceives a technology as competent and honest, it strengthens internal innovation capabilities and improves the company's ability to integrate complex information (Afroogh et al., 2024b; Aquilino et al., 2025; Jiang et al., 2025a; Wanner et al., 2022).

The SME Productivity Puzzle and Digital Adoption

Small and medium enterprises are often described as the backbone of the economy, yet they lag large firms in the digital transition. This lag is primarily due to market failures, trade barriers, and resource constraints. For many SMEs, the initial investment required for high-tech infrastructure is a prohibitive barrier, and the lack of in-house expertise makes them frequent targets for cyberattacks (Chidukwani et al., 2024; Hojnik & Huđek, 2023; Pingali et al., 2023; Rawindaran et al., 2023a; Seppänen et al., 2025).

The "productivity puzzle" refers to the observation that despite massive investments in digital technology, aggregate productivity gains have often remained elusive. In the euro area and the U.S., digital adoption has accelerated, but only a minority of "frontier firms" are reaping the full benefits. These frontier firms use digital technologies to become substantially more productive over time, while "laggard firms" including many SMEs face high costs in transitioning to idea-based economies 30-Mar-26 5:16:00 PM.

Table 2: Technology Adoption Stages, Characteristics, and Economic Impact

Adoption Stage	Characteristics	Economic Impact
Laggard Firms	Limited use of tech, high resource constraints.	Lower productivity, high vulnerability to shocks.
Average Adopters	2-3 technology platforms used for basic tasks.	Moderate sales growth, 86% survival rate.
Frontier Firms	6+ platforms, high use of AI and cloud automation.	Highest technical efficiency, rapid innovation.

Autonomous systems offer a way for SMEs to bypass the human resource gap that typically keeps them in the laggard category. By automating "expert" functions like cloud security and resource optimization, these systems provide a "digital bridge" to the productivity frontier (Abdul Wahab & Radmehr, 2024; Al-Sharafi et al., 2023; Faiz et al., 2024; Restrepo-Morales et al., 2024).

Cybersecurity as a Determinant of Economic Resilience

For SMEs, the economic impact of technology is inextricably linked to cybersecurity. As SMEs grow more dependent on digital infrastructure, they become prime targets for breaches, which can result in devastating financial losses and operational disruptions. Empirical studies show a significant positive correlation between cybersecurity preparedness and financial resilience; SMEs with robust security measures are better equipped to handle the repercussions of a breach (Arroyabe et al., 2024a; Chidukwani et al., 2024; Rawindaran et al., 2023b).

Autonomous cloud systems enhance this resilience by providing predictive security engines that can detect and block threats within milliseconds. In contrast to traditional methods, where 60% of small businesses shut down after an attack, autonomous systems offer a "self-defending" cloud that applies patches automatically without downtime. This capability is a strategic enabler for trust-building and long-term sustainability in turbulent environments (Arroyabe et al., 2024b; Kaur et al., 2023).

3. METHODOLOGY

To accurately quantify the impact of autonomous cloud systems, research must utilize sophisticated econometric techniques that account for unobserved factors and selectivity bias. The two primary frameworks employed in this analysis are Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA) and Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) modeling (González-Flores et al., 2014; Parmeter & Kumbhakar, 2025; Pieri et al., 2018; Shao & Lin, 2001; Zheng et al., 2021).

Stochastic Frontier Analysis and Technical Efficiency

Stochastic Frontier Analysis is used to measure how close a firm's production is to the theoretical maximum, known as the production frontier. Unlike simpler methods, SFA is a parametric approach that accounts for random errors and statistical noise, making it more robust against outliers (Campos et al., 2022; El Mehdi & Hafner, 2014).

In this study, the specific SFA model utilized is the **selectivity-corrected stochastic production frontier** as proposed by Greene (2010). This model is essential because the decision for an SME to adopt autonomous technology is not random; it is often correlated with unobserved variables like "Managerial Ability". Without correcting for this selectivity bias, the estimated impact of the technology would be skewed.

The empirical analysis compares several models:

1. **Pooled SPF:** A conventional model that treats all firms the same.
2. **Greene's Selectivity-Corrected SPF:** A more accurate model that separates the adoption decision from the productivity outcome.

The results of such analysis in the manufacturing sector indicate that firms adopting core 4IR technologies (AI, Big Data, Cloud) exhibit a technical efficiency (TE) that is **26% higher** on average compared to non-adopters. This technical efficiency is a measure of how successfully a firm produces maximum output from its inputs, such as labor and capital investments in machinery.

Total Cost of Ownership and Cloud Economics

While SFA measures output efficiency, Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) and Cloud Economics measure the input-side savings. TCO is a financial metric that encompasses all costs associated with an investment over its lifecycle, including hidden costs like maintenance and training (Walterbusch et al., 2013).

Cloud economics represents a fundamental shift from Capital Expenditure (CapEx) to Operational Expenditure (OpEx). Traditional IT requires massive upfront investments in hardware that depreciates over 3-5 years. In contrast, the autonomous cloud uses a consumption-based model, aligning costs directly with business usage.

Table 3: Financial and Operational Comparison: Traditional IT vs. Autonomous Cloud

Dimension	On-Premise/Traditional IT	Autonomous Cloud System
Initial Investment	High (Server hardware, licenses).	Low to None (Pay-as-you-go).
Ongoing Costs	Predictable but fixed (Staffing, power).	Variable and usage-based (Optimized).
5-Year TCO	High (Cumulative maintenance).	25-50% Lower (Operational flexibility).
Staff Productivity	Low (Manual firefighting).	High (47% more efficient IT staff).

The **AWS Cloud Value Framework** provides a standardized way to measure these benefits across four pillars: Cost Savings (TCO reduction of over 50%), Staff Productivity (47% more efficient infrastructure staff), Operational Resilience (69% less unplanned downtime), and Business Agility (78% faster deployment). These metrics are crucial for CFOs to move beyond a cost-cutting mindset and view the cloud as a strategic growth enabler.

4. RESULTS

The implementation of autonomous cloud systems yields quantifiable improvements across multiple dimensions of SME performance, ranging from direct cost savings to broader organizational agility.

Direct Financial Impact and Cost Optimization

Data from various industry sources in 2024 and 2025 confirm that AI-driven cloud optimization is no longer theoretical. SMEs using these systems report significant monthly savings and efficiency gains.

Table 4: AI Adoption and Reported Economic Impact in Small and Medium Businesses (SMBs)

Source	Key Finding	Reported Impact
SBA Office of Advocacy	Gap between large and small firms shrinking.	SME AI usage reached 8.8% by Aug 2025.
U.S. Chamber of Commerce	58% of SMBs use generative AI.	Double the adoption rate from 2023.
Thryv 2025 Survey	63% use AI daily for operations.	58% save 20+ hours per month.
Salesforce Research	86% see improved profit margins.	91% report revenue increases.

The direct financial savings are driven by the elimination of waste. Autonomous systems detect over-provisioning and adjust compute and storage resources in real-time, often reducing costs by 40% to 60% compared to static cloud environments. For a typical SME, this translates to savings of **\$500 to \$2,000 monthly** through AI implementation alone.

Technical Efficiency and Productivity Gains

The application of SFA reveals that the economic impact extends beyond simple cost-cutting. Adopting emerging technologies enhances the productivity of SMEs by positioning them closer to the production frontier. When unobserved factors are controlled, the technical efficiency of adopters is **26% higher** on average than that of non-adopters.

This productivity boost is particularly pronounced in the manufacturing sector, where AI and robotics are used in the production process. However, the same principles apply to service-based SMEs using autonomous cloud systems. These firms experience a **47% increase in IT infrastructure staff efficiency** and deliver nearly **2.3 times more new features** compared to those using traditional managed services.

Organizational Agility and Competitiveness

Agility is defined as an organization's ability to respond quickly and systematically to market changes. Cloud computing is a strategic tool for enhancing this agility by providing:

1. **Scalability:** Resources can be provisioned on demand, allowing businesses to respond to market fluctuations without changing physical infrastructure.
2. **Rapid Deployment:** Cloud platforms support the rapid deployment of new IT services, shortening time-to-market by 50-70%.
3. **Data-Driven Decision Making:** Real-time analytics tools enable predictive initiatives and improved customer experiences.

Small businesses that are "high adopters" of technology, using six or more platforms, are more likely to experience sales and profit growth. According to the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, **84% of high-tech adopters experienced sales growth** in 2024, compared to 77% for low-tech adopters. Most importantly, 83% of small business owners believe that technology platforms help them compete effectively with larger companies, a 13% increase from the previous year.

5. DISCUSSION

The relationship between autonomous systems and economic impact is mediated by the psychological construct of trust. The "Cognitive Taxonomy Trust in AI" framework helps explain how this trust influences human-AI collaboration (Jiang et al., 2025b).

The Role of Trust in Technology Adoption

Trust is the cornerstone of commercial exchange, especially when institutional mechanisms are weak. In the digital realm, cognitive trust (beliefs about competence and integrity) reduces perceived risks and sustains repeat transactions. For an SME, adopting blockchain or autonomous cloud systems is a "credible signal" of security

and authenticity, which fosters brand confidence and customer loyalty (Albshaier et al., 2024; Handoyo, 2024; Utz et al., 2023a, 2023b).

Empirical evidence using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) shows a strong positive relationship ($\beta \approx 0.45$) between technology-enabled transparency and consumer trust, which in turn drives consumer loyalty ($\beta \approx 0.52$). This loyalty is the behavioral outcome that ultimately leads to the economic growth and survival of the SME.

The Transparency Paradox and Cognitive Dependence

A critical finding in recent research is the "Transparency Paradox." While transparency is a dimension of cognitive trust intended to build user confidence, it can sometimes **inhibit higher-order cognitive processes**. When users perceive a system as highly transparent and logical, they may develop "cognitive dependence," where they rely on the AI's suggestions without critical reflection or critique.

Table 5: The Impact of Trust Dimensions on Cognitive Processes and Firm Outcomes

Trust Dimension	Effect on Cognition	Outcome for the Firm
Functional/Emotional Trust	Enhances higher-order cognition.	Improved innovation and problem-solving.
Transparency (Cognitive Trust)	Can inhibit reflection and critique.	Potential for superficial thinking or over-reliance.
Task Allocation	Frees human resources for complex tasks.	47% more efficient staff productivity.

This paradox suggests that for SMEs to remain competitive, they must find a balance. They must trust autonomous systems to handle procedural and repetitive tasks, freeing up human resources, but maintain a level of skepticism or "selective engagement" for high-stakes decisions. Higher-proficiency students and managers often demonstrate greater criticality, highlighting that education and skills are essential complements to autonomous technology (Chen & Lou, 2026; Gerlich, 2025; Jiang et al., 2025b).

Workforce Evolution: Jobs and AI

A common concern is that autonomous systems will lead to job losses. However, the data from 2024-2025 suggests the opposite for U.S. SMEs. **82% of small businesses using AI actually increased their workforce** over the past year. This is because the efficiency gains from AI and cloud automation allow firms to scale operations, enter new markets, and create new roles that didn't exist before. The autonomous cloud doesn't replace the worker; it replaces the mundane tasks, allowing the worker to contribute to the company's "Strategic Adaptability" (Dinh et al., 2025; Gao et al., 2025; A. Islam et al., 2025; Kopka & Fornahl, 2024; Schwaewe et al., 2025).

Synthesis of Economic Outcomes and SME Sustainability

The integration of autonomous cloud systems into the SME ecosystem creates a virtuous cycle of efficiency, resilience, and growth. By quantifying these impacts through SFA and TCO models, we can see that the benefits are both immediate and long-term (Haddad et al., 2026; Jede & Teuteberg, 2016; Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 2025).

Summary of Quantified Benefits

1. **Technical Efficiency:** Adopters are 26% more efficient than non-adopters, allowing them to compete with larger firms.
2. **Cost Savings:** AI-driven optimization reduces cloud costs by 40-60%, converting high capital expenditures into manageable operational expenses.
3. **Operational Resilience:** Self-healing systems reduce unplanned downtime by 69%, protecting SMEs from the catastrophic financial impact of service interruptions.
4. **Staff Productivity:** IT teams are 47% more efficient, shifting their focus from maintenance to innovation.
5. **Market Agility:** Deployment speeds increase by 75%, allowing SMEs to launch products faster and respond to customer feedback in real-time.

Barriers and Enablers of Digital Transformation

Despite the clear benefits, several factors can hold SMEs back. The most significant barrier is the **skills gap**, affecting 46% of business leaders. Other challenges include regulatory ambiguity, limited financial resources, and a lack of cybersecurity awareness.

To overcome these barriers, firms need a "Sustainable Resilience Strategy" (SRS), which involves risk assessments, contingency planning, and optimal resource allocation. Enablers such as advanced digital infrastructure, high-quality human capital (STEM workers), and robust regulatory environments are essential for SMEs to transition from "expert users" to "frontier firms" (Crovini et al., 2021; Ferreira de Araújo Lima et al., 2020; Futre & Crespo, 2025; Jie et al., 2025; Klyver & Nielsen, 2024; Muhammad et al., 2025).

Strategic Recommendations for U.S. SMEs

Based on the exhaustive analysis of autonomous cloud systems and cognitive trust modeling, the following strategic recommendations are provided for SME decision-makers:

1. **Prioritize Data Foundation over Technology Selection:** Successful implementations focus on data quality and management first. IT outputs are only as good as the data inputs, and growing SMBs are 74% more likely to increase data management investments.
2. **Adopt a Multi-Dimensional ROI Framework:** CFOs should evaluate cloud investments not just on TCO reduction, but on agility, resilience, and staff productivity improvements.
3. **Focus on Explainable and Secure System Design:** To build cognitive trust among staff and customers, prioritize vendors that offer transparency and meet certifications like ISO 27001 or SOC 2.
4. **Invest in Human Capital and STEM Skills:** Technology alone is not enough to reach the productivity frontier. Increasing the share of high-skilled STEM workers leads to significantly higher productivity gains from digital adoption.
5. **Leverage Self-Healing and Autonomous Security:** Given that 60% of small businesses close after a cyberattack, migrating to an autonomous cloud with machine-speed threat detection is a critical survival strategy.

6. CONCLUSION

The transition toward autonomous cloud systems represents a paradigm shift in how Small and Medium Enterprises in the United States maintain and expand their competitiveness. By leveraging artificial intelligence to create self-healing, self-optimizing, and self-securing infrastructures, SMEs can effectively bridge the technical and financial gap that historically separated them from large-scale enterprises. The economic impact is profound, with a 26% increase in technical efficiency and a reduction in operational overhead of up to 60%.

Central to this transition is the cognitive trust model, which provides the psychological framework for relinquishing manual control to autonomous agents. While the "Transparency Paradox" warns of potential cognitive dependence, the overall evidence suggests that the synergy between human strategic decision-making and machine-driven operational excellence is the most powerful driver of SME resilience. As the digital economy continues to evolve toward "Autonomic IT," the ability of SMEs to foster cognitive trust, invest in data readiness, and embrace autonomous scaling will determine their success on the global productivity frontier (M. R. Islam, 2025). The autonomous cloud is no longer a theoretical future; it is a current imperative for the sustainability and competitiveness of the U.S. small business sector.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Abdul Wahab, M. D., & Radmehr, M. (2024). The impact of AI assimilation on firm performance in small and medium-sized enterprises: A moderated multi-mediation model. *Heliyon*, *10*(8), e29580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29580>
- [2] Afroogh, S., Akbari, A., Malone, E., Kargar, M., & Alambeigi, H. (2024a). Trust in AI: Progress, challenges, and future directions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *11*(1), 1568. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-04044-8>
- [3] Afroogh, S., Akbari, A., Malone, E., Kargar, M., & Alambeigi, H. (2024b). Trust in AI: Progress, challenges, and future directions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *11*(1), 1568. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-04044-8>
- [4] Albshaier, L., Almarri, S., & Rahman, M. M. H. (2024). A Review of Blockchain's Role in E-Commerce Transactions: Open Challenges, and Future Research Directions. *Computers*, *13*(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers13010027>
- [5] Alonso, J., Orue-Echevarria, L., Casola, V., Torre, A. I., Huarte, M., Osaba, E., & Lobo, J. L. (2023). Understanding the challenges and novel architectural models of multi-cloud native applications – a systematic literature review. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, *12*(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-022-00367-6>
- [6] Al-Sharaf, M. A., Iranmanesh, M., Al-Emran, M., Alzahrani, A. I., Herzallah, F., & Jamil, N. (2023). Determinants of cloud computing integration and its impact on sustainable performance in SMEs: An empirical investigation using the SEM-ANN approach. *Heliyon*, *9*(5), e16299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16299>
- [7] Angelis, A., & Kousiouris, G. (2025). An Overview on the Landscape of Self-Adaptive Cloud Design and Operation Patterns: Goals, Strategies, Tooling, Evaluation, and Dataset Perspectives. *Future Internet*, *17*(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi17100434>
- [8] Aquilino, L., Dio, C. D., Manzi, F., Massaro, D., Bisconti, P., & Marchetti, A. (2025). Decoding Trust in Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review of Quantitative Measures and Related Variables. *Informatics*, *12*(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics12030070>
- [9] Arroyabe, M. F., Arranz, C. F. A., Fernandez De Arroyabe, I., & Fernandez de Arroyabe, J. C. (2024a). Exploring the economic role of cybersecurity in SMEs: A case study of the UK. *Technology in Society*, *78*, 102670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102670>
- [10] Arroyabe, M. F., Arranz, C. F. A., Fernandez De Arroyabe, I., & Fernandez de Arroyabe, J. C. (2024b). Exploring the economic role of cybersecurity in SMEs: A case study of the UK. *Technology in Society*, *78*, 102670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102670>
- [11] Campos, M. S., Costa, M. A., Gontijo, T. S., & Lopes-Ahn, A. L. (2022). Robust stochastic frontier analysis applied to the Brazilian electricity distribution benchmarking method. *Decision Analytics Journal*, *3*, 100051. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2022.100051>
- [12] Chen, S., & Lou, J. (2026). Navigating AI feedback in translation training: How text type, proficiency, and attitude shape students' acceptance behaviors. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *9*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2026.1727544>
- [13] Chidukwani, A., Zander, S., & Koutsakis, P. (2024). Cybersecurity preparedness of small-to-medium businesses: A Western Australia study with broader implications. *Computers & Security*, *145*, 104026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2024.104026>
- [14] Crovini, C., Ossola, G., & Britzelmaier, B. (2021). How to reconsider risk management in SMEs? An Advanced, Reasoned and Organised Literature Review. *European Management Journal*, *39*(1), 118–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2020.11.002>
- [15] de Mattos, C. S., Pellegrini, G., Hagelaar, G., & Dolfmsa, W. (2024). Systematic literature review on technological transformation in SMEs: A transformation encompassing technology assimilation and business model innovation. *Management Review Quarterly*, *74*(2), 1057–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00327-7>
- [16] Dinh, T. L., Vu, M.-C., & Tran, G. T. C. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in SMEs: Enhancing Business Functions Through Technologies and Applications. *Information*, *16*(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16050415>
- [17] Durán, J. M., & Jongsma, K. R. (2021). Who is afraid of black box algorithms? On the epistemological and ethical basis of trust in medical AI. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, *47*(5), 329–335. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2020-106820>

- [18] El Mehdi, R., & Hafner, C. M. (2014). Inference in stochastic frontier analysis with dependent error terms. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 4th International Conference on Approximation Methods and Numerical Modeling in Environment and Natural Resources - PART II*, 102, 104–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2013.09.008>
- [19] Faiz, F., Le, V., & Masli, E. K. (2024). Determinants of digital technology adoption in innovative SMEs. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(4), 100610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100610>
- [20] Ferreira de Araújo Lima, P., Crema, M., & Verbano, C. (2020). Risk management in SMEs: A systematic literature review and future directions. *European Management Journal*, 38(1), 78–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2019.06.005>
- [21] Futre, B., & Crespo, N. F. (2025). Enhancing sustainable performance through sustainable business model innovation. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 0(0), 1–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2025.2556764>
- [22] Gao, Y., Liu, S., & Yang, L. (2025). Artificial intelligence and innovation capability: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 98, 103923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2025.103923>
- [23] Gerlich, M. (2025). AI Tools in Society: Impacts on Cognitive Offloading and the Future of Critical Thinking. *Societies*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15010006>
- [24] González-Flores, M., Bravo-Ureta, B. E., Solís, D., & Winters, P. (2014). The impact of high value markets on smallholder productivity in the Ecuadorean Sierra: A Stochastic Production Frontier approach correcting for selectivity bias. *Food Policy*, 44, 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2013.09.014>
- [25] Gupta, P., Seetharaman, A., & Raj, J. R. (2013). The usage and adoption of cloud computing by small and medium businesses. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(5), 861–874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2013.07.001>
- [26] Haddad, H., Jum'a, L., Alkalha, Z., & Madanat, H. (2026). AI-Powered Tools for Supply Chain Resilience: A Dynamic Capabilities Perspective from Jordanian Manufacturing Firms. *Logistics*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics10010024>
- [27] Handoyo, S. (2024). Purchasing in the digital age: A meta-analytical perspective on trust, risk, security, and e-WOM in e-commerce. *Heliyon*, 10(8), e29714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29714>
- [28] Hojnik, B. B., & Huđek, I. (2023). Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in the Digital Age: Understanding Characteristics and Essential Demands. *Information*, 14(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14110606>
- [29] Hsu, P.-F., Ray, S., & Li-Hsieh, Y.-Y. (2014). Examining cloud computing adoption intention, pricing mechanism, and deployment model. *International Journal of Information Management*, 34(4), 474–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2014.04.006>
- [30] Islam, A., Islam, M. A., Dal Mas, F., Fijałkowska, J., Rahman, M., & Massaro, M. (2025). Configuring AI-guided sustainable competitive advantage for SMEs through business model innovation: A systematic literature review approach. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 78, 101921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2025.101921>
- [31] Islam, M. R. (2025, December 30). *The Trust-Action Gap: How Digital Trust Mediates the Impact of Influencers, AI, and Product Reviews on Gen Z Purchase Decisions* | *European Journal of Management, Economics and Business*. <https://ejmeb.com/index.php/journal/article/view/180>
- [32] Jede, A., & Teuteberg, F. (2016). Investigating preconditions for a financially advantageous cloud usage. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 24(2), 116–134. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-04-2015-0018>
- [33] Jiang, W., Li, D., & Liu, C. (2025a). Understanding dimensions of trust in AI through quantitative cognition: Implications for human-AI collaboration. *PLOS One*, 20(7), e0326558. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0326558>
- [34] Jiang, W., Li, D., & Liu, C. (2025b). Understanding dimensions of trust in AI through quantitative cognition: Implications for human-AI collaboration. *PLOS ONE*, 20(7), e0326558. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0326558>
- [35] Jie, H., Gooi, L. M., & Lou, Y. (2025). Digital maturity, dynamic capabilities and innovation performance in high-tech SMEs. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 99, 103971. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2025.103971>

- [36] Kahveci, E. (2025). Digital Transformation in SMEs: Enablers, Interconnections, and a Framework for Sustainable Competitive Advantage. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(3).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15030107>
- [37] Kaur, R., Gabrijelčič, D., & Klobučar, T. (2023). Artificial intelligence for cybersecurity: Literature review and future research directions. *Information Fusion*, 97, 101804.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101804>
- [38] Kephart, J. O. (2011). Autonomic computing: The first decade. *Proceedings of the 8th ACM International Conference on Autonomic Computing, ICAC '11*, 1–2.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1998582.1998584>
- [39] Khan, A. Q., Matskin, M., Prodan, R., Bussler, C., Roman, D., & Soyly, A. (2024). Cost modelling and optimisation for cloud: A graph-based approach. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 13(1), 147.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-024-00709-6>
- [40] Kim, Y.-H., Kim, D.-O., & Ali, M. A. (2015). The Effects of Mutual Trustworthiness between Labour and Management in Adopting High Performance Work Systems. *Relations Industrielles / Industrial Relations*, 70(1), 36–61.
- [41] Klyver, K., & Nielsen, S. L. (2024). Preparedness shapes tomorrow: Crisis preparedness and strategies among SMEs amid external crises. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 36(9–10), 1363–1384.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2024.2352448>
- [42] Kopka, A., & Fornahl, D. (2024). Artificial intelligence and firm growth—Catch-up processes of SMEs through integrating AI into their knowledge bases. *Small Business Economics*, 62(1), 63–85.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-023-00754-6>
- [43] Lee, J. D., & See, K. A. (2004). Trust in Automation: Designing for Appropriate Reliance. *Human Factors*, 46(1), 50–80. https://doi.org/10.1518/hfes.46.1.50_30392
- [44] Muhammad, S. S., Dey, B. L., Kamal, M. M., Samuel, L., & Alzeiby, E. A. (2025). Digital transformation or digital divide? Smes' use of AI during global crisis. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 217, 124184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2025.124184>
- [45] MUIR, B. M. (1994). Trust in automation: Part I. Theoretical issues in the study of trust and human intervention in automated systems. *Ergonomics*, 37(11), 1905–1922.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139408964957>
- [46] Notaro, P., Cardoso, J., & Gerndt, M. (2021). A Survey of AIOps Methods for Failure Management. *ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol.*, 12(6), 81:1–81:45. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3483424>
- [47] Oliveira, T., Thomas, M., & Espadanal, M. (2014). Assessing the determinants of cloud computing adoption: An analysis of the manufacturing and services sectors. *Information & Management*, 51(5), 497–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2014.03.006>
- [48] Parmeter, C. F., & Kumbhakar, S. C. (2025). The generalized panel data stochastic frontier model: A review and nonparametric estimation. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 64(3), 321–339.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11123-025-00769-z>
- [49] Pieri, F., Vecchi, M., & Venturini, F. (2018). Modelling the joint impact of R&D and ICT on productivity: A frontier analysis approach. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1842–1852.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.06.013>
- [50] Pingali, S. R., Singha, S., Arunachalam, S., & Pedada, K. (2023). Digital readiness of small and medium enterprises in emerging markets: The construct, propositions, measurement, and implications. *Journal of Business Research*, 164, 113973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113973>
- [51] Polák, P. (2017). The productivity paradox: A meta-analysis. *Information Economics and Policy*, 38, 38–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2016.11.003>
- [52] Prangon, N. F., & Wu, J. (2024). AI and Computing Horizons: Cloud and Edge in the Modern Era. *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan13040044>
- [53] Rawindaran, N., Jayal, A., Prakash, E., & Hewage, C. (2023a). Perspective of small and medium enterprise (SME's) and their relationship with government in overcoming cybersecurity challenges and barriers in Wales. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100191.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2023.100191>
- [54] Rawindaran, N., Jayal, A., Prakash, E., & Hewage, C. (2023b). Perspective of small and medium enterprise (SME's) and their relationship with government in overcoming cybersecurity challenges and barriers in Wales. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100191.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2023.100191>

- [55] Restrepo-Morales, J. A., Ararat-Herrera, J. A., López-Cadavid, D. A., & Camacho-Vargas, A. (2024). Breaking the digitalization barrier for SMEs: A fuzzy logic approach to overcoming challenges in business transformation. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 84. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00429-w>
- [56] Sánchez-Rodríguez, A., García-Vidal, G., Fernández-Ochoa, Y., Martínez-Vivar, R., Gavilanes-Venegas, A. E., & Pérez-Campdesuñer, R. (2025). Navigating Uncertainty Through AI Adoption: Dynamic Capabilities, Strategic Innovation Performance, and Competitiveness in Ecuadorian SMEs. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15120468>
- [57] Schoorman, F. D., Mayer, R. C., & Davis, J. H. (2007). An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust: Past, Present, and Future. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 344–354. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24348410>
- [58] Schwaake, J., Peters, A., Kanbach, D. K., Kraus, S., & Jones, P. (2025). The new normal: The status quo of AI adoption in SMEs. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 63(3), 1297–1331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2024.2379999>
- [59] Seppänen, S., Ukko, J., & Saunila, M. (2025). Understanding determinants of digital transformation and digitizing management functions in incumbent SMEs. *Digital Business*, 5(1), 100106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.digbus.2025.100106>
- [60] Shao, B. B. M., & Lin, W. T. (2001). Measuring the value of information technology in technical efficiency with stochastic production frontiers. *Information and Software Technology*, 43(7), 447–456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-5849\(01\)00150-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-5849(01)00150-1)
- [61] Tengku Asmawi, T. N., Ismail, A., & Shen, J. (2022). Cloud failure prediction based on traditional machine learning and deep learning. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 11(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-022-00327-0>
- [62] Utz, M., Johanning, S., Roth, T., Bruckner, T., & Strüker, J. (2023a). From ambivalence to trust: Using blockchain in customer loyalty programs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 68, 102496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102496>
- [63] Utz, M., Johanning, S., Roth, T., Bruckner, T., & Strüker, J. (2023b). From ambivalence to trust: Using blockchain in customer loyalty programs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 68, 102496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102496>
- [64] Vanneste, B. S., & Puranam, P. (2025). Artificial Intelligence, Trust, and Perceptions of Agency. *Academy of Management Review*, 50(4), 726–744. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2022.0041>
- [65] Walterbusch, M., Martens, B., & Teuteberg, F. (2013). Evaluating cloud computing services from a total cost of ownership perspective. *Management Research Review*, 36(6), 613–638. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409171311325769>
- [66] Wanner, J., Herm, L.-V., Heinrich, K., & Janiesch, C. (2022). The effect of transparency and trust on intelligent system acceptance: Evidence from a user-based study. *Electronic Markets*, 32(4), 2079–2102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00593-5>
- [67] Xu, C.-Z., Rao, J., & Bu, X. (2012). URL: A unified reinforcement learning approach for autonomic cloud management. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 72(2), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2011.10.003>
- [68] Yuwono, T., Suroso, A., & Novandari, W. (2024). Information and communication technology in SMEs: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00392-6>
- [69] Zha, J., Shan, X., Lu, J., Zhu, J., & Liu, Z. (2024). Leveraging Large Language Models for Efficient Alert Aggregation in AIOPs. *Electronics*, 13(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13224425>
- [70] Zheng, H., Ma, W., Wang, F., & Li, G. (2021). Does internet use improve technical efficiency of banana production in China? Evidence from a selectivity-corrected analysis. *Food Policy*, 102, 102044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102044>