Volume-05 Issue 12, December-2021 ISSN: 2456-9348
Impact Factor: 5.004

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management (IJETRM)
Journal Article
https://ijetrm.com/issue

ENERGY-AWARE NETWORK ARCHITECTURES: DESIGNING LOW-POWER FRAMEWORKS FOR NEXT-GENERATION UNITED STATES TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE

Damilare Samson Olaleye
MTN Nigeria Limited, Lagos, Nigeria
damilareol@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The rapid expansion of telecommunications in the United States has impacted the digital sector, enabled ubiquitous connectivity, and provided essential economic, social, and security services. However, this expansion has been accompanied by increased energy consumption in information and communication technology infrastructures, particularly data centres, base stations, and high-capacity transmission lines. The purpose of this study is to review architectural advancements that enable energy-efficient design in the next-generation United States telecommunications networks. The review found that energy-aware designs benefit from both hardware and software improvements. Emerging technologies such as 5G, 6G, renewable-powered network nodes, and smart grid integration have been indicated as critical facilitators of scalable, low-power frameworks. In conclusion, the study emphasizes the need to design telecommunications networks with energy efficiency as a core principle rather than a secondary factor.

Keywords:
Architecture optimization, Sustainable telecommunication, Smart grid, Efficient designs

1. INTRODUCTION

Global telecommunications infrastructure is the backbone of the digital economy, connecting continents through a complex web of fibre-optic cables, terrestrial broadband networks, cellular systems, satellites, and data centres (Liu et al., 2019). Between 2018 and 2020 the world experienced notable digital expansion, with mobile broadband and smartphone access emerging as a dominant platform for high-speed internet use and for reaching users who lack fixed broadband connections (Lai & Widmar, 2020). During this period, emerging markets experienced rapid digital growth, while advanced economies in North America and Europe accelerated technological innovations through large-scale investments in 5G deployment and next-generation network research (Dohler,, & Nakamura (2016).

The implementation of network technologies has contributed to industrial and digital transformation, enabling advances in telemedicine, remote work, smart cities, and autonomous mobility (Taleb et al., 2019). However, this expansion has come at the expense of increased energy use. As data traffic grows exponentially due to cloud computing, Internet of Things (IoT) applications, artificial intelligence (AI), and immersive digital services, the United States telecommunications industry faces increasing challenges in maintaining performance, reliability, and accessibility while minimizing its energy and environmental footprint (Malmodin & Lundén 2018).

Energy efficiency has emerged as a critical consideration in the design of next-generation networks, both as a technological requirement and as a sustainability imperative (Piovesan et al., 2018). Globally, the information and communications technology (ICT) sector is expected to contribute an increasing share of total greenhouse gas emissions, with telecommunications networks, particularly mobile base stations and data centers, consuming a substantial amount of energy (Malmodin & Lundén, 2018). Additionally, energy-aware networks are better positioned to adjust to variable demand and increase resilience during emergencies when power availability may be limited (Lorincz et al., 2019). The transition to 5G and the planned rollout of 6G networks highlight the importance of incorporating energy-efficient design concepts, as these technologies offer significantly faster data rates, ultra-low latency, and support for billions of connected devices. Without energy-conscious techniques, the magnitude of these networks may result in unsustainable levels of energy use (Piovesan et al., 2018).

The rationale for this study stems from the urgent need to meet the increase in data demand with the equally pressing imperative to minimize the energy footprint of telecommunications networks in the United States. Telecommunications systems have become critical to economic development, public safety, healthcare delivery, education, and national security, rendering them indispensable in modern life (Malmodin & Lundén, 2018). However, this crucial infrastructure comes at a cost: increased energy consumption, rising operational costs, and worsening environmental consequences (Lorincz et al., 2019). The rapid deployment of networks, combined with the planned transition to 6G, will exacerbate these difficulties, as they enable huge device connectivity, ultra-high data throughput, and latency-sensitive applications, putting unprecedented strain on network resources (Piovesan et al., 2018). Therefore, the aim of this review is to examine energy-aware network architectures as a foundation for developing low-power, next-generation telecommunications infrastructures in the United States.

2. Overview of United States Telecommunications Network

The telecommunications network in the United States has evolved from early telegraph and landline systems to fiber-optic backbones, wireless broadband, and emerging 5G infrastructure (Liu, 2019). This historical progression is characterized by ongoing innovation, which has enabled national connectivity and supported economic expansion. However, as networks have increased in size and complexity, energy consumption inside ICT infrastructures, particularly data centres, base stations, and transmission systems has increased making sustainability and efficiency critical concerns for the future of United States telecommunications.

2.1 Historical Perspective of Telecommunications

The telecommunications infrastructure in the United States has progressed from early analogue telephony and copper networks to modern fibre-optic backbones, widespread fixed and wireless broadband, and the rollout of fifth generation (5G) mobile technologies (Liu, 2019). This long term evolution has been driven by continuous technological innovation and regulatory and market changes that together enabled nationwide connectivity and large gains in digital productivity (Porambage et al., 2018; Pahlavan & Krishnamurthy, 2020). The broadband revolution of the 1990s and 2000s, through technologies such as DSL, cable modem and later widespread 3G and 4G mobile networks shifted a substantial share of Internet traffic from fixed lines to mobile platforms and laid the groundwork for smartphone-driven data growth (Pahlavan & Krishnamurthy, 2020; Porambage et al., 2018). The current 5G era, enabled by radio access advances, edge computing and network softwarization, represents the latest step in this trajectory but also increases network complexity and energy demand. As network density and traffic scale up, power requirements for data centres, base stations and transport networks become a central concern for sustainable operation (Malmodin & Lundén, 2018).

2.2 Energy Consumption Trends in ICT Infrastructure

The advancement of telecommunications has enabled a large increase in energy demand, especially in the information and communications technology (ICT) industry. Globally, ICT is expected to consume an increasing total electricity, with forecasts indicating that it might double in the coming years if unchecked (Belkhir & Elmeligi, 2018). As such, telecommunications networks in the United States, which include mobile base stations, core networks, and data centres, account for a substantial amount of the ICT energy footprint. Mobile network energy consumption has increased in tandem with the exponential expansion of data traffic, which is expected to expand over the next decade (Morley et al., 2018). Therefore, while newer equipment frequently highlights more energy efficiency per byte sent, the volume of traffic generated by streaming video, cloud computing, IoT devices, and AI-powered apps continues to outweigh these benefits.

Radio access networks consume the majority of mobile network energy, although data centers, particularly hyperscale facilities, make large contributions to overall ICT electrical demand. Therefore, the energy burden is unevenly spread across the network (Aslan et al., 2018). Cooling systems, power conversion losses, and inefficiencies in idle mode all contribute to the overall footprint. Seasonal and diurnal changes in network load also present issues for efficient energy management, as equipment is frequently left on during off-peak periods to ensure latency and reliability requirements (Pihkola et al., 2018). This combination of structural and operational inefficiencies therefore emphasizes the importance of energy-conscious network architectures that can dynamically adjust to traffic demands while minimizing waste.

3. Concept of Energy-Aware Network Architectures

Energy-aware network architectures are the strategic design and operation of telecommunications systems that explicitly include energy efficiency as a key performance metric alongside productivity, latency, and dependability (Behrouzi-Far & Karasan, 2019). Unlike conventional designs, which frequently prioritize capacity and speed over power consumption, energy-aware architectures are designed to reduce the total energy footprint across all network layers from physical hardware components to software control planes (Behrouzi-Far & Karasan, 2019). These systems use a combination of hardware optimization, intelligent traffic management, and dynamic resource allocation to match network power consumption to actual demand in real time.

Table 1: Principles of Energy-aware Network

Principles	Specifics	References
Intelligent control	entails using AI/ML algorithms to predict traffic patterns and manage energy more efficiently.	Liu et al., 2018
Resource virtualization and consolidation	Lowering the number of active physical components by pooling and virtualizing resources in order to efficiently fulfil numerous network activities.	Ismaeel et al., 2018; Thein et al., 2020
Renewable energy integration	Sourcing network power from solar, wind, or hybrid renewable energy systems to reduce carbon emissions.	Ahmed et al., 2018
Dynamic power scaling	Altering the operational power state of network devices in response to traffic demand, such as enabling low-power sleep modes during off-peak hours.	Feng et al., 2018
Localized processing	Employing edge and fog computing to process data closer to the source, minimizing backhaul gearbox energy.	Jiang et al., 2020

In standard telecommunications network topologies, energy economy is often intended to operate at full capacity regardless of real traffic demand (Assefa & Özkasap 2019). As such, conventional networks exhibit significant baseline power consumption, even during periods of low demand. In contrast, energy-aware architectures include adaptive systems that scale power consumption in proportion to network activity (Assefa & Özkasap 2019). The transition from standard to energy-efficient designs also changes the network's cost structure. While energy-aware networks may require more initial capital expenditure due to advanced hardware and control systems, they result in significant operational expenditure savings over time through lower energy bills, longer equipment lifespan, and fewer cooling requirements (Yan et al., 2019). Hence, environmental benefits such as lower carbon emissions and alignment with sustainability goals are becoming increasingly key differentiators in regulatory compliance and corporate social responsibility.

Table 2: Low-Power Frameworks for Telecommunications

Layers	Description	References
Hardware-level energy optimization	Low-power frameworks in telecommunications design energy-efficient components, such as processors, transceivers, and base stations, enabling efficient long-distance data transmission and reducing power consumption.	Ogbebor et al., 2020
Software-level power management	Software advancements enable dynamic energy consumption optimization through AI and machine learning, enabling flexible control of network resources and predictive analytics for next-generation networks.	Antonopoulos et al., 2020
Integration of multi-layer approaches	Low-power frameworks integrate hardware, software, and topology into a holistic energy-aware architecture, optimizing power across the data transmission lifecycle and addressing diverse network environments.	Auer et al., 2018
Network topology and routing for low energy utilization	Network topology and routing strategies are crucial for reducing telecommunications energy footprints. Conventional models prioritize throughput and latency, while low-power frameworks advocate adaptive, distributed topologies. Techniques like traffic aggregation and load balancing ensure even network utilization.	Sales et al., 2020

4. Technological Enablers for Energy Efficiency

Technological enablers play a critical role in improving energy efficiency in modern telecommunications infrastructures, providing realistic strategies to minimize power usage while meeting increased connectivity demand. Innovations such as 5G and 6G, edge and fog computing, smart grid integration, and renewable energy-powered nodes potentially enable the establishment of long-term, low-carbon networks (Piovesan et al., 2018). These innovations not only improve performance and scalability, but they also match telecommunications expansion with larger environmental and policy goals, making them crucial for designing energy-efficient network architectures.

- **Renewable Energy-Powered Network Nodes**

Deploying renewable energy sources at network nodes, such as base stations, small cells, and data centres, provides a direct solution for decreasing the carbon footprint linked to telecommunications infrastructure. Solar-powered base stations, for example, are currently being installed in rural and off-grid areas, illustrating the viability of clean energy integration. Similarly, hybrid systems that incorporate solar, wind, and backup storage can offer reliable power to telecom nodes while decreasing reliance on fossil fuels (Ahmed et al., 2018). However, in addition to rural contexts, renewable energy-powered nodes are becoming increasingly important in cities, where dense 5G and eventual 6G deployments necessitate localized energy solutions (Kibria et al., 2020). These renewable-powered nodes reduce operational expenses while also increasing resilience by supplying backup power during grid outages or natural disasters (Hu et al., 2020). Future research should concentrate on increasing the efficiency and affordability of renewable energy systems designed for telecom applications, developing adaptive power management mechanisms for hybrid renewable-storage configurations, and investigating large-scale deployment models that incorporate renewable-powered nodes into national telecommunications strategies.

- **The Role of 5G and 6G in Sustainable Networks**

The introduction of 5G and the continued development of 6G technologies are significant steps towards energy-efficient telecommunications. 5G provides technologies such as network slicing, massive multiple-input, multiple-output, and beamforming, which enable more targeted and effective spectrum utilization, hence decreasing wasteful energy expenditure (Jiang et al., 2020). However, unlike earlier generations, 5G is designed with energy-per-bit efficiency in mind, allowing for much more data transmission while using less power compared to capacity (Ghosh et al., 2019). Therefore, 6G is expected to further transform energy-aware networking by incorporating intelligent surfaces, terahertz communications, and AI-powered self-optimizing networks (Tataria et al., 2020). These characteristics will enable infrastructures to dynamically respond to changing traffic demands, deactivate unused resources, and maximize energy savings. Furthermore, the emphasis of 6G on ubiquitous connectivity and low-carbon performance is consistent with global sustainability goals, suggesting the possibility of carbon-neutral communication systems (Saad et al., 2019).

- **Smart Grid Integration with Telecommunications Systems**

Smart grids enable the confluence of telecommunications and energy infrastructures, providing a powerful path to sustainable network operation. Smart grids offer two-way communication between energy providers and customers, allowing for real-time monitoring and adaptive energy allocation (Chandrasekaran et al., 2019). Previous research revealed that when smart grids are connected with telecom infrastructures, they can provide dynamic power provisioning, allowing energy consumption to match network demand. Base stations and data centres, for example, can use more energy during peak traffic hours and less during off-peak hours without sacrificing service quality. Furthermore, smart grid systems can work with distributed renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar farms, to provide greener energy to telecom networks (Hu et al., 2020). This integration promotes energy flexibility by automatically aligning telecom networks' operations with both traffic loads and grid circumstances. Research opportunities include establishing protocols for smooth interoperability between telecom networks and smart grids, developing predictive analytics for energy demand forecasting, and investigating demand-response systems that optimize both network performance and energy sustainability.

5. Challenges to Implementing Energy-Aware Architectures

A major barrier to implementing energy-aware architectures in the United States telecommunications sector is the high initial implementation cost. Generally, capital expenditure is required to implement modern hardware, such as energy-efficient CPUs, low-power transceivers, and renewable-powered base stations. Existing infrastructures, many of which were developed with performance and scalability in mind rather than energy efficiency, may require considerable retrofitting to accommodate new technology. This approach is costly and disruptive to ongoing operations, which has raised opposition among service providers that prioritize short-term cost savings above long-term sustainability. Furthermore, the costs associated with legacy systems generate financial inertia, deterring operators from switching to newer, more efficient designs unless incentivized by strong legislative frameworks or market-driven demand (Jangale, 2020).

In addition, energy-efficient network architectures rely largely on advanced management systems that can dynamically allocate resources, scale processes, and turn off unused components in real time (Alam et al., 2020).

However, this level of optimization increases complexity. The integration of technologies such as software-defined networking, network function virtualization, and AI-based resource management necessitates high levels of interoperability among devices, protocols, and applications, many of which come from different vendors with distinct design philosophies (Alam et al., 2020). Therefore, balancing energy efficiency with quality of service and reliability is still a demanding technical challenge, necessitating ongoing innovation in adaptive algorithms, predictive analytics, and cross-layer optimization approaches.

Next-generation telecommunications infrastructures are intrinsically heterogeneous, encompassing 5G and future 6G systems, edge and fog nodes, cloud data centres, and billions of IoT devices (Sarhan, 2018). Therefore, while individual technologies can provide localized energy savings, achieving system-wide efficiency necessitates flawless coordination across various layers of the network. thus, lowering energy consumption at base stations may shift power demands to edge nodes or data centres, negating advantages. As such, the wide range of IoT ecosystems, with devices ranging from low-power sensors to energy-intensive industrial systems, challenges the development of standardized energy management protocols (Muhanji et al., 2019). This heterogeneity creates challenges to large-scale adoption and makes it difficult to ensure that sustainability measures are uniform and effective throughout the telecommunications network.

Furthermore, energy-aware networks, particularly those which utilize AI-driven optimization, edge computing, and smart grid integration, offer new vulnerabilities in cybersecurity and data privacy. The reliance on real-time monitoring and data interchange between telecom systems and energy providers opens up new attack surfaces for hostile actors (Neshenko et al., 2019). Cyberattacks on power management systems might interrupt both energy supply and network availability, increasing the danger to key infrastructures such as healthcare, defence, and banking. Likewise, the adoption of AI-powered energy management creates privacy concerns, as predictive analytics frequently rely on sensitive usage data to optimize performance (Al-Ali et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

Energy-efficient network architectures are important in defining the future of the telecommunications infrastructures of the United States. With rising data demands, a profusion of connected devices, and national support for digital inclusion, old network approaches that prioritize performance above power conservation have become untenable. The approach is therefore centred on scalable and modular low-power frameworks that can serve a wide range of situations. Urban locations require designs capable of efficiently handling dense, high-volume traffic loads, whereas rural installations necessitate adaptive solutions that provide cost-effective connections without incurring significant energy overhead. Therefore, the adoption of relevant designs to improve hardware efficiency and software intelligence is necessary, while also incorporating energy-efficient topologies, as the basis for widespread deployment.

REFERENCES

1) Ahmed, F., Naeem, M., Ejaz, W., Iqbal, M., & Anpalagan, A. (2018). Resource management in cellular base stations powered by renewable energy sources. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, *112*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2018.03.021
2) Ahmed, F., Naeem, M., Ejaz, W., Iqbal, M., & Anpalagan, A. (2018). Resource management in cellular base stations powered by renewable energy sources. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 112, 1-17.
3) Al-Ali, A. R., Zualkernan, I. A., Rashid, M., Gupta, R., & Alikarar, M. (2018). A smart home energy management system using IoT and big data analytics approach. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, 63(4), 426-434.
4) Alam, I., Sharif, K., Li, F., Latif, Z., Karim, M. M., Biswas, S., ... & Wang, Y. (2020). A survey of network virtualization techniques for Internet of Things using SDN and NFV. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 53(2), 1-40.
5) Antonopoulos, I., Robu, V., Couraud, B., Kirli, D., Norbu, S., Kiprakis, A., Flynn, D., Elizondo-Gonzalez, S., & Wattam, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence and machine learning approaches to energy demand-side response: A systematic review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *130*, 109899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109899
6) Aslan, J., Mayers, K., Koomey, J. G., & France, C. (2018). Electricity intensity of internet data transmission: Untangling the estimates. *Journal of industrial ecology*, *22*(4), 785-798.
7) Assefa, B. G., & Özkasap, Ö. (2019). A survey of energy efficiency in SDN: Software-based methods and optimization models. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 137, 127-143.

8) Behrouzi-Far, A., & Karasan, E. (2019). Dynamic Resource Allocation and Activity Management for Energy Efficiency and Fairness in Heterogeneous Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.08229.
9) Belkhir, L., & Elmeligi, A. (2018). Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 & recommendations. *Journal of cleaner production*, *177*, 448-463.
10) Chandrasekaran, Y. J., Gunamony, S. L., & Chandran, B. P. (2019). Integration of 5G Technologies in Smart Grid Communication — A Short Survey. International Journal of Renewable Energy Development, 8(3), 275-283.
11) Dohler, M., & Nakamura, T. (2016). *5G mobile and wireless communications technology*. Cambridge University Press.
12) Feng, M., Mao, S., & Jiang, T. (2018). Dynamic base station sleep control and RF-chain activation for energy-efficient millimeter-wave cellular systems. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 67(10), 9911–9921. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2018.286189
13) Ghosh, A., Maeder, A., Baker, M., & Chandramouli, D. (2019). 5G evolution: A view on 5G cellular technology beyond 3GPP release 15. IEEE Access, 7, 127639–127651.
14) Hu, S., Chen, X., Ni, W., Wang, X., & Hossain, E. (2020). Modeling and analysis of energy harvesting and smart grid-powered wireless communication networks: A contemporary survey. IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking, 4(2), 461-496.
15) Ismaeel, S., Karim, R., & Miri, A. (2018). Proactive dynamic virtual-machine consolidation for energy conservation in cloud data centres. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-018-0111-x
16) Jiang, B., Ren, B., Huang, Y., Chen, T., You, L., & Wang, W. (2020). Energy efficiency and spectral efficiency tradeoff in massive MIMO multicast transmission with statistical CSI. Entropy, 22(9), 1045.
17) Jiang, C., Fan, T., Gao, H., Shi, W., Liu, L., Cérin, C., & Wan, J. (2020). Energy aware edge computing: A survey. Computer Communications, 151, 556-580.
18) Kibria, M. G., Nguyen, K., Villardi, G. P., Zhao, O., Ishizu, K., & Kojima, F. (2018). Big data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence in next-generation wireless networks. IEEE access, 6, 32328-32338.
19) Lai, J., & Widmar, N. O. (2020). Revisiting the digital divide in the COVID‐19 era. *Applied economic perspectives and policy*, *43*(1), 458-464.
20) Liu X. (2019). Evolution of Fiber-Optic Transmission and Networking toward the 5G Era. *iScience*, *22*, 489–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.11.026
21) Liu, J., Krishnamachari, B., Zhou, S., & Niu, Z. (2018). DeepNap: Data-driven base station sleeping operations through deep reinforcement learning. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 5(6), 4273-4282.
22) Liu, X. (2019). Evolution of fiber-optic transmission and networking toward the 5G era. *Iscience*, *22*, 489-506.
23) Lorincz, J., Capone, A., & Wu, J. (2019). Greener, energy-efficient and sustainable networks: State-of-the-art and new trends. *Sensors*, *19*(22), 4864.
24) Malmodin, J., & Lundén, D. (2018). The energy and carbon footprint of the global ICT and E&M sectors 2010–2015. *Sustainability*, *10*(9), 3027.
25) Morley, J., Widdicks, K., & Hazas, M. (2018). Digitalisation, energy and data demand: The impact of Internet traffic on overall and peak electricity consumption. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *38*, 128–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.01.018
26) Muhanji, S. O., Flint, A. E., & Farid, A. M. (2019). The development of IoT within energy infrastructure. In eIoT: The Development of the Energy Internet of Things in Energy Infrastructure (pp. 27-90). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
27) Naranjo, P. G. V., Shojafar, M., Mostafaei, H., Pooranian, Z., & Baccarelli, E. (2019). P-SEP: A prolong stable election routing algorithm for energy-limited heterogeneous fog-supported wireless sensor networks. *The Journal of Supercomputing, 75*(10), 6663–6688. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-019-02807-1
28) Neshenko, N., Bou-Harb, E., Crichigno, J., Kaddoum, G., & Ghani, N. (2019). Demystifying IoT security: An exhaustive survey on IoT vulnerabilities and a first empirical look on internet-scale IoT exploitations. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 21(3), 2702-2733.
29) Ogbebor, J. O., Imoize, A. L., & Atayero, A. A.-A. (2020). Energy Efficient Design Techniques in Next-Generation Wireless Communication Networks: Emerging Trends and Future Directions. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, *2020*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7235362

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management (IJETRM)
Journal Article
https://ijetrm.com/issue

30) Pahlavan, K., & Krishnamurthy, P. (2020). Evolution and Impact of Wi-Fi Technology and Applications: A Historical Perspective. *International Journal of Wireless Information Networks*, *28*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10776-020-00501-8
31) Papavassiliou, S. (2020). Software Defined Networking (SDN) and Network Function Virtualization (NFV). *Future Internet*, *12*(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi12010007
32) Pihkola, H., Hongisto, M., Apilo, O., & Lasanen, M. (2018). Evaluating the energy consumption of mobile data transfer — from technology development to consumer behaviour and life cycle thinking. Sustainability, 10(7), 2494. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072494
33) Piovesan, N., Paterakis, N. G., & Erdinç, O. (2018). Energy sustainable paradigms and methods for future mobile networks: A survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 20*(4), 3221–3246. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2018.2849624
34) Porambage, P., Okwuibe, J., Liyanage, M., Ylianttila, M., & Taleb, T. (2018). Survey on multi-access edge computing for internet of things realization. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, *20*(4), 2961-2991.
35) Saad, W., Bennis, M., & Chen, M. (2019). A vision of 6G wireless systems: Applications, trends, technologies, and open research problems. IEEE network, 34(3), 134-142.
36) Sales, F. O., Marante, Y., Vieira, A. B., & Silva, E. F. (2020). Energy Consumption Evaluation of a Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks in Mesh Scenarios for Precision Agriculture. *Sensors*, *20*(14), 3814–3814. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20143814
37) Sarhan, Q. I. (2018). Internet of things: a survey of challenges and issues. International Journal of Internet of Things and Cyber-Assurance, 1(1), 40-75.
38) Taleb, T., Samdanis, K., Mada, B., Flinck, H., Dutta, S., & Sabella, D. (2019). On multi-access edge computing: A survey of the emerging 5G network edge cloud architecture and orchestration. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 21*(2), 1617–1671. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2018.2880843
39) Tataria, H., Shafi, M., Molisch, A. F., Dohler, M., Sjöland, H., & Tufvesson, F. (2020). 6G wireless systems: Vision, requirements, challenges, insights, and opportunities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.03213.
40) Thein, T., Myo, M. M., Parvin, S., & Gawanmeh, A. (2020). Reinforcement learning based methodology for energy-efficient resource allocation in cloud data centers. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, *32*(10), 1127–1139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.11.005
41) Yan, M., Chan, C. A., Gygax, A. F., Yan, J., Campbell, L., Nirmalathas, A., & Leckie, C. (2019). Modeling the total energy consumption of mobile network services and applications. Energies, 12(1), 184.