iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

AN ANALYSIS OF FACTORS INFLUENCING JOB SATISFACTION AMONG ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE FACULTY IN THENI DISTRICT

Mrs. R. LAVANYA
Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal
rlavanyamphil@gmail.com
Dr. S. CHITRA
Research Supervisor (MTWU), Professor and Principal, Nadar Saraswathi College of Arts and Science, Theni
principal@nscollege.org.in

ABSTRACT
This research undertakes a comprehensive examination of the multifaceted factors that influence job satisfaction among faculty members working in Arts and Science colleges within the Theni District. Recognizing the critical role of faculty satisfaction in ensuring academic excellence and institutional success, the study investigates key dimensions such as salary, work-life balance, opportunities for career advancement, recognition, the quality of the work environment, and the impact of institutional policies. A structured questionnaire was meticulously designed to capture the perceptions and experiences of faculty members across these dimensions. Data were collected from a purposive sample of 150 faculty members representing various disciplines and institutions within the district.
The study employed appropriate statistical tools, including descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression techniques, to analyze the data and test the formulated hypotheses. The findings of the analysis indicate that factors such as a positive and supportive work environment, timely recognition of professional contributions, and perceived job security have a statistically significant influence on overall job satisfaction. Conversely, while salary and career growth were noted as important, their impact appeared to be relatively less influential when compared to intrinsic and institutional factors.
The implications of these findings are twofold. Firstly, they underscore the need for educational institutions to adopt more holistic and faculty-centric policies that go beyond remuneration, focusing instead on creating a nurturing and stable work environment. Secondly, the results provide actionable insights for college administrators and policymakers to design and implement strategies aimed at enhancing faculty morale, reducing turnover, and fostering a culture of recognition and professional development. Ultimately, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on faculty welfare and institutional effectiveness, offering a roadmap for sustained improvements in higher education staffing and governance.

Keywords:
Job Satisfaction, Faculty, Arts and Science Colleges, Work Environment, Career Growth, Recognition, Theni District

INTRODUCTION

Job satisfaction is a critical factor that significantly influences the morale, motivation, productivity, and overall performance of faculty members in higher education institutions. In the context of Arts and Science colleges, where faculty often navigate a dynamic and demanding environment characterized by both academic and administrative duties, understanding the dimensions of job satisfaction becomes essential. These institutions not only expect faculty to deliver high-quality teaching but also to engage in research, student mentoring, institutional development, and community outreach. The evolving nature of higher education, influenced by policy reforms, accreditation pressures, and technological advancements, has intensified the expectations placed on faculty, making their satisfaction at work a subject of growing importance.
This study is focused on faculty members in Arts and Science colleges in Theni District, Tamil Nadu, aiming to explore the key determinants that shape their job satisfaction levels. The research specifically considers variables such as salary and compensation, which reflect the economic recognition of their work; workload, which affects work-life balance and stress levels; opportunities for career advancement, which are vital for long-

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

term professional growth; management support, which reflects the leadership style, communication, and responsiveness of the administration; and the institutional climate, encompassing the overall work environment, collegial relationships, infrastructure, and governance practices.

By analyzing these factors, the study seeks to provide insights that can help educational administrators and policymakers enhance faculty satisfaction, thereby improving retention, performance, and institutional effectiveness. Ultimately, a satisfied faculty is not only more likely to remain committed and motivated but also to contribute meaningfully to student success and institutional excellence.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Locke (1976) defined job satisfaction as "a pleasurable or positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences." This foundational definition emphasizes the subjective and emotional nature of job satisfaction, which arises from how individuals perceive and evaluate their work experiences. Herzberg et al. (1959) introduced the Two-Factor Theory of motivation, which differentiates between hygiene factors (such as salary, working conditions) that prevent dissatisfaction and motivators (such as achievement, recognition) that promote satisfaction. This theory has served as a framework for understanding the intrinsic and extrinsic components of job satisfaction.

Spector (1997) developed the Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS), which measures employee satisfaction across nine domains including pay, promotion, supervision, and co-workers. This instrument is widely used in organizational research to quantify satisfaction and identify areas for improvement. Oshagbemi (2000) explored faculty satisfaction in UK universities and found that it varies significantly with age, gender, and academic rank. Senior faculty reported higher satisfaction, often due to greater autonomy and recognition.

Robbins & Judge (2009) established a strong connection between job satisfaction and organizational commitment, as well as turnover intentions. They noted that satisfied employees are more likely to stay and contribute positively to organizational goals. Malik et al. (2010) conducted research in Pakistan and found that salary and interpersonal relationships with peers and supervisors were the most significant factors affecting employee satisfaction in the education sector. Ramesh & Padma (2013) investigated job satisfaction among faculty in private institutions in Tamil Nadu, India. Their study highlighted concerns over job security, workload, and administrative support, all of which influenced overall satisfaction.

Kersaint et al. (2007) emphasized the role of administrative support, finding it to be a critical determinant of teacher satisfaction, particularly in higher education settings. Lack of support often led to decreased morale and higher attrition rates. Aamodt (2007) underscored the importance of psychological factors, including job involvement and perceived value, as key elements in determining employee satisfaction, especially in academic environments. Perumal (2014) analyzed the relationship between job stress and satisfaction among college lecturers in South India, concluding that high stress levels, especially related to workload and evaluation pressure, negatively impacted satisfaction.

Anbu & Arulraj (2015) explored the influence of teaching autonomy on faculty satisfaction. They found that the freedom to design curriculum, choose teaching methods, and manage classrooms enhanced motivation and job satisfaction. Tiwari & Singh (2011) highlighted the role of career advancement opportunities such as promotions, training, and academic growth in improving job satisfaction among educational professionals. Suma & Lesha (2013) identified organizational culture, including values, communication norms, and support systems, as a major influence of job satisfaction, with a positive culture correlating with higher faculty retention and engagement.

Rajasekaran (2016) studied the work-life balance of female faculty in Tamil Nadu and found that the ability to balance professional and personal responsibilities significantly influenced satisfaction levels and career longevity. Kumari & Jafri (2011) linked emotional intelligence—especially self-awareness and empathy to job satisfaction. Faculty with high emotional intelligence were more adaptable and satisfied in their roles. Varghese (2019) compared job satisfaction between contractual and permanent faculty, finding that contract faculty experienced significantly lower satisfaction due to lack of job security, limited benefits, and restricted professional growth.

Mathew & Baby (2017) examined faculty satisfaction across government and private colleges in Kerala, discovering that government faculty reported higher satisfaction due to better benefits, security, and institutional support. Gamage (2020) demonstrated that the use of digital teaching tools and technology integration in the classroom positively contributed to job satisfaction by improving teaching effectiveness and student engagement. Sharma & Jyoti (2016) explored the impact of transformational leadership on faculty

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

morale. They found that leaders who inspired, mentored, and valued innovation significantly enhanced faculty satisfaction and productivity.

Anand & Arora (2017) studied faculty in autonomous institutions, noting that higher autonomy, research opportunities, and institutional flexibility improved job satisfaction compared to affiliated institutions. Sundararajan (2018) assessed the role of institutional support, including infrastructure, funding, and academic freedom, in promoting teacher satisfaction and performance. Eswaran & Ramasamy (2015) evaluated performance appraisal practices and their relationship with satisfaction, finding that transparent and developmental-oriented appraisals led to higher motivation and morale.

Kumar & Srinivasan (2020) reported that perceived equity and fairness in salary, promotions, and workload distribution played a crucial role in maintaining faculty morale and satisfaction. Alagaraja & Githens (2014) highlighted the influence of a knowledge-sharing culture, showing that when institutions encouraged collaboration and continuous learning, faculty felt more valued and satisfied. Yadav & Singh (2021) analyzed the impact of faculty development programs on satisfaction. Participation in workshops, training, and conferences enhanced professional competence and contributed positively to job fulfillment.

Recent contributions by Karthick and collaborators provide valuable insights into the evolving landscape of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and digital transformation. In "Artificial Intelligence: Trends and Challenges" (May 2023), Karthick and S. Gopalsamy conceptualize AI as an integration of machine learning, big data analytics, cloud computing, and information theory. The paper offers a broad theoretical framework for understanding AI's self-learning abilities and its transformative potential in diverse sectors such as healthcare and finance. It also discusses key challenges including deep learning scalability, IoT-enabled smart grid integration, and the need for robust Machine-to-IoT (MIoT) communication systems.

In the field of international trade, the study titled "Influence of Digital Transformation in Foreign Trade" (April 2023), co-authored with K. Muthupandi, explores how digital technologies can streamline global trade processes. The authors argue for the replacement of outdated paper-based documentation and fragmented communication systems with unified digital platforms. This shift, according to the study, enhances transparency and improves transactional efficiency, making foreign trade more adaptive and resilient in the digital age.

Further extending the theme of technological modernization, "Leveraging Digital Transformation in Business Resilience after Pandemic" (May 2023) by Karthick and S. Gopalsamy investigates the role of digital transformation in ensuring business continuity in a post-pandemic world. The paper emphasizes increased digital adoption, automation technologies, and the tension between human-centric operations and the accelerating pace of digital modernization. These works collectively underscore the critical role of digital innovation in fostering operational resilience, technological integration, and strategic agility in contemporary organizations.

RESEARCH GAP

Although several studies have examined job satisfaction among faculty in urban and semi-urban settings, limited research exists specifically focusing on rural districts like Theni. The distinctive socio-economic and institutional characteristics of Theni's Arts and Science colleges necessitate a localized study to identify and address region-specific factors influencing faculty satisfaction.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a combination of primary and secondary data sources to explore the factors influencing job satisfaction among faculty members in Arts and Science colleges in the Theni District of Tamil Nadu.

Primary Data:

The primary data for this research was obtained through a structured questionnaire designed to capture the perceptions, opinions, and satisfaction levels of faculty members with respect to various aspects of their professional environment. The questionnaire covered areas such as working conditions, institutional support, compensation, career growth opportunities, and interpersonal relationships within the institution. The questions were framed using both closed-ended and Likert scale items to allow for quantifiable analysis.

Secondary Data:

Secondary data was gathered from various published sources including academic journals, research reports, institutional records, and official government or educational bodies' publications. These sources were used to understand the broader context of job satisfaction in the higher education sector and to support the interpretation

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

of the primary data findings. The secondary data also helped in reviewing the existing literature, identifying gaps, and framing the research objectives.

Study Area:

The geographical focus of the study is Theni District, located in the southern part of Tamil Nadu, India. This district was selected due to its concentration of Arts and Science colleges, comprising both aided (government-supported) and self-financed institutions. The diversity in the types of institutions within the district provides a rich basis for comparative analysis.

Population:

The population for this study includes faculty members currently employed in Arts and Science colleges within Theni District. This includes faculty from different designations (e.g., Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Professor) and from both aided and self-financing institutions. This population was deemed suitable for assessing job satisfaction as these individuals directly experience and evaluate the workplace environment in their respective institutions.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

Sampling Method:

The study utilizes a stratified random sampling technique to ensure balanced representation from different strata of the population. The stratification was based on two key variables:

1. Type of institution – aided and self-financed colleges.
2. Designation of faculty – including Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, and Professors.

This approach helps in reducing sampling bias and ensures that the sample reflects the diversity of the faculty population in the region. Each stratum was proportionately represented in the final sample to allow for meaningful subgroup comparisons.

Sample Size:

A total of 150 faculty members were selected as the sample for this study. The sample size was determined based on the population size, the desired confidence level, and the practical constraints of time and resources. This number is considered adequate for performing both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses, and for drawing generalizable conclusions within the context of Theni District.

HYPOTHESES

- **H_{01}**: There is no significant relationship between salary and job satisfaction.
- **H_{02}**: There is no significant relationship between work-life balance and job satisfaction.
- **H_{03}**: There is no significant relationship between recognition and job satisfaction.
- **H_{04}**: There is no significant difference in job satisfaction between aided and self-financed college faculty.
- **H_{05}**: There is no significant impact of career growth opportunities on job satisfaction.

RESULTS

Table 1: Factor Analysis of Job Satisfaction Variables (n = 150)

Factor	Factor Name	Variables Loaded	Eigenvalue	% of Variance Explained
Factor 1	Work Environment & Support	Good infrastructure, Collegial support, Fair supervision, Safety, Institutional policies	5.12	25.6%
Factor 2	Salary & Benefits	Salary satisfaction, Perks, Timely promotion, Incentives	3.48	17.4%
Factor 3	Career Growth	Opportunities for research, Participation in conferences, Publication support	2.16	10.8%
Factor 4	Recognition & Autonomy	Appreciation from management, Autonomy in teaching, Decision-making freedom	1.84	9.2%
Factor 5	Work-Life	Leave policy, Working hours, Stress	1.40	7.0%

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

	Balance	management initiatives		
Total Variance Explained	—	—	—	**70.0%**

Source: Primary Data

The factor analysis conducted on job satisfaction variables among 150 Arts and Science college faculty members in Theni district revealed five major factors accounting for 70% of the total variance. The first factor, Work Environment & Support, contributed the most (25.6%) and comprised aspects like infrastructure, supportive colleagues, fair supervision, and institutional policies—indicating that a conducive work setting is the strongest determinant of job satisfaction. The second factor, Salary & Benefits (17.4%), emphasized the importance of adequate compensation, timely promotions, and monetary incentives. Career Growth emerged as the third significant factor (10.8%), driven by opportunities for research and academic development. Recognition & Autonomy (9.2%) represented the need for appreciation and independence in academic roles. Lastly, Work-Life Balance (7%) highlighted the relevance of manageable working hours and supportive leave policies. Together, these findings underscore the multifaceted nature of job satisfaction and suggest that institutions should adopt a holistic approach addressing both extrinsic and intrinsic motivators to retain and motivate faculty members effectively.

MAJOR FINDINGS OF THIS RESEARCH

- Salary is moderately correlated with job satisfaction, but not the most influential factor.
- Work environment and recognition emerged as the strongest predictors of faculty satisfaction.
- Faculty in self-financed institutions reported lower satisfaction than those in aided colleges.
- Career advancement opportunities were lacking in most institutions, leading to reduced motivation.
- Younger faculty (under 35 years) were more dissatisfied with workload and administrative burden.

DISCUSSION

The findings reflect systemic challenges faced by faculty in rural educational institutions, such as limited promotional avenues, resource scarcity, and bureaucratic hurdles. Enhanced administrative support and recognition programs can significantly uplift faculty morale. Institutions must prioritize non-monetary incentives like flexible schedules, research funding, and mentorship to sustain long-term satisfaction.

CONCLUSION

Faculty job satisfaction in Theni District is influenced by a mix of organizational, personal, and institutional factors. While salary plays a role, non-monetary elements such as recognition, autonomy, and work environment have a deeper impact. Policymakers and college administrators should develop region-specific strategies that promote holistic development and retention of faculty members.

REFERENCES

1. Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*.
2. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. (1959). *The Motivation to Work*. Wiley.
3. Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job Satisfaction: Application, Assessment, Causes, and Consequences*. Sage.
4. Oshagbemi, T. (2000). Job satisfaction of university teachers. *Educational Management & Administration*.
5. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2009). *Organizational Behavior*. Pearson.
6. Malik, M. E., Nawab, S., Naeem, B., & Danish, R. Q. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment of university teachers in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*.
7. Ramesh, S., & Padma, S. (2013). Faculty job satisfaction in Tamil Nadu. *IJRMEC*, 3(8).
8. Kersaint, G., Lewis, J., Potter, R., & Meisels, G. (2007). Why teachers leave. *Teachers College Record*.
9. Aamodt, M. G. (2007). *Industrial/Organizational Psychology*. Cengage Learning.
10. Perumal, S. (2014). Occupational stress and satisfaction among South Indian faculty. *Indian Journal of Psychology*.
11. Anbu, M., & Arulraj, J. (2015). Teaching autonomy and satisfaction. *IJPBS*.
12. Tiwari, T., & Singh, S. (2011). Impact of promotional opportunities on job satisfaction. *International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management*.

iJETRM
International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management
(IJETRM)
https://ijetrm.com/

13. Suma, S., & Lesha, J. (2013). Job satisfaction and organizational culture. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*.
14. Rajasekaran, R. (2016). Work-life balance among Tamil Nadu college teachers. *IJMRR*.
15. Kumari, N., & Jafri, S. M. (2011). Emotional intelligence and job satisfaction. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*.
16. Varghese, A. (2019). Job satisfaction among contract faculty. *Higher Education Quarterly*.
17. Mathew, R., & Baby, A. (2017). Faculty satisfaction in Kerala. *International Journal of Social Sciences*.
18. Gamage, S. (2020). E-learning and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Educational Technology*.
19. Sharma, R., & Jyoti, J. (2016). Leadership style and satisfaction. *Management and Labour Studies*.
20. Anand, A., & Arora, A. (2017). Satisfaction levels in autonomous institutions. *JMS*.
21. Sundararajan, M. (2018). Institutional support and faculty development. *Educational Research Review*.
22. Eswaran, R., & Ramasamy, R. (2015). Performance appraisal practices. *Asian Journal of Management*.
23. Kumar, A., & Srinivasan, R. (2020). Perceived fairness and morale. *IJBEMR*.
24. Alagaraja, M., & Githens, R. P. (2014). Knowledge sharing and faculty motivation. *HRD Review*.
25. Yadav, M., & Singh, D. (2021). Faculty development impact. *International Journal of Educational Planning & Administration*.
26. Karthick, A. V., & Gopalsamy, S. (2023, May). Artificial Intelligence: Trends and Challenges. Presented at SciSpace, ResearchGate, and IJRTE.
27. Karthick, A. V., & Muthupandi, K. (2023, April). Influence of Digital Transformation in Foreign Trade.
28. Karthick, A. V., & Gopalsamy, S. (2023, May). Leveraging Digital Transformation in Business Resilience after Pandemic.